

# Tensor product and Weights

Set up: •  $\mathfrak{K}$  is alg. closed of char. 0

- $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  is a pair consisting of a f.d. <sup>s.s.</sup> Lie alg.  $\mathfrak{g}$  and a maximal toral subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$
- Recall from the lecture notes the notion of weight space of a representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  (cf. V.1).

• Let  $V$  and  $W$  be representations of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and ~~suppose~~ let  $\pi(V)$  and  $\pi(W)$  be their resp. sets of weights. Supp. that  $V$  and  $W$  are the (direct) sum of their weight spaces:

$$V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \pi(V)} V_{\lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad W = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \pi(W)} W_{\mu}. \quad (*)$$

1. Show that,  $\forall v \in V_{\lambda}, \forall w \in W_{\mu}, \lambda, \mu \in \Lambda^*$   
Then  $v \otimes w \in (V \otimes W)_{\lambda + \mu}$ .
2. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{E}$ ) be a basis of  $V$  (resp.  $W$ ) adapted to the decomposition (\*). Using  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{E}$ , show that  $V \otimes W$  is the sum of its weight spaces and describe the set of weights of  $V \otimes W$  and the corresponding weight spaces.
3. Let  $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda^+$ . (Here,  $\Lambda^+$  is the set of dominant weights relative to the choice of a base of the root syst. attached to the pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ .)  
Put  $V = V(\lambda)$  and  $W = V(\mu)$ .

3.1. Show that  $(V \otimes W)_{\lambda + \mu}$  is a one-dimensional subspace of  $V \otimes W$ .

3.2 Show that the subrepresentation of  $V \otimes W$  generated by  $(V \otimes W)_{\lambda + \mu}$  is isomorphic (as a rep.) to  $V(\lambda + \mu)$ .

## Solution

1. By definition of the tensor product of representations (cf. Ex. I.2.6),  $\forall x \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\forall v \in V$ ,  $\forall w \in W$ , we have:

$$x \cdot (v \otimes w) = (x \cdot v) \otimes w + v \otimes (x \cdot w)$$

Now, if  $\lambda, \mu$  are  $\mathfrak{h}^*$ ,  $v \in V_\lambda$ ,  $w \in V_\mu$  and  $x \in \mathfrak{h}$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} x \cdot (v \otimes w) &= \lambda(x)v \otimes w + v \otimes \mu(x)w \\ &= (\lambda(x) + \mu(x))v \otimes w \\ &= (\lambda + \mu)(x)v \otimes w. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $v \otimes w \in (V \otimes W)_{\lambda + \mu}$ .

2. Let  $I, J$  be sets and suppose  $\mathcal{B} = \{v_i, i \in I\}$  and  $\mathcal{C} = \{w_j, j \in J\}$  are bases of  $V$  and  $W$  resp. We know that  $\{v_i \otimes w_j, (i,j) \in I \times J\}$  is a basis of  $V \otimes W$ . Of course, we may choose  $\mathcal{B}$  adapted to the dec.  $V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*} V_\lambda$ . This means that,  $\forall i \in I$ ,  $v_i$  is a weight vector, whose weight we denote  $\lambda_i$ . (Beware that the  $\lambda_i, i \in I$ , need not be pairwise distinct.) We do the same for  $\mathcal{C}$ , choosing the  $w_j, j \in J$ , of weight  $\mu_j$ . Then, the pure tensors  $v_i \otimes w_j, (i,j) \in I \times J$  form a basis of  $V \otimes W$  of weight vectors of weight  $\lambda_i + \mu_j$ . Hence  $V \otimes W$  is the direct sum of its weight spaces.

More precisely, if  $\nu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ , then the weight space  $(V \otimes W)_\nu$  is the subspace of  $V \otimes W$  generated by those  $v_i \otimes w_j$  such that  $\lambda_i + \mu_j = \nu$ . In addition: the set of weights of  $V \otimes W$  is  $\pi(V \otimes W) = \{ \lambda + \mu, \lambda \in \pi(V), \mu \in \pi(W) \} \subseteq \mathfrak{h}^*$ .

3. Recall that  $V(\lambda)$  and  $V(\mu)$  are irreducible rep. of  $\mathfrak{g}$  of highest weights  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  resp. Choose by  $v$  a highest weight vector of  $V(\lambda)$  and  $w$  a highest weight vector of  $V(\mu)$ . Therefore,  $V(\lambda)$  is generated (as a rep.) by  $v$  and  $v$  has weight  $\lambda$  and similarly for  $w$  and  $V(\mu)$ . In addition  $\pi(\lambda) \subseteq \lambda - \mathbb{N}\Delta$  and  $\pi(\mu) \subseteq \mu - \mathbb{N}\Delta$ . 3.1) See below.

3.2) Consider the Cartan-Chewallay dec. of  $\mathfrak{g}$ :  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ . Since  $v$  is a highest weight of  $V(\lambda)$ , by definition:  $\mathfrak{n}.v = 0$ . Similarly  $\mathfrak{n}.w = 0$ . From the def. of the tensor product of rep. it follows at once that  $\mathfrak{n}.v \otimes w = 0$ . So  $v \otimes w$  is a highest weight vector of weight  $\lambda + \mu$  of  $V(\lambda) \otimes V(\mu)$ . Let now  $\mathcal{U}$  be the subrepresentation of  $V(\lambda) \otimes V(\mu)$  generated by  $v \otimes w$ . By definit<sup>o</sup>,  $\mathcal{U}$  is a highest weight rep. of  $\mathfrak{g}$  of weight  $\lambda + \mu$  and it is finite dimensional as  $V(\lambda) \otimes V(\mu)$  is. By Theorem V.2.6, it must be indecomposable.

But, being indecomposable and finite dimensional, by Weyl's Theorem of complete reducibility, it must be simple. Hence  $U$  must be isomorphic to  $V(\lambda + \mu)$ .

3.1) By question 1,  $v \otimes w$  is a weight vector of weight  $\lambda + \mu$ . (Notice that  $v \otimes w \neq 0$  since we are tensoring vector spaces.) We consider bases  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$ , as in question 2, with  $v$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $w \in \mathcal{C}$ . Let  $v'$  be a vector in  $\mathcal{B}$  with  $v' \neq v$ . By Theorem V.2.6,  $v'$  has weight  $\lambda' = \lambda - \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} n_{\alpha} \alpha$ , where  $n_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \Delta$ , are ints of  $\mathbb{N}$ , not all equal to zero. Likewise, any  $w' \neq w$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  has weight  $\mu' = \mu - \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} m_{\alpha} \alpha$ . Therefore  $v' \otimes w'$  has weight  $\lambda + \mu - \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} (n_{\alpha} + m_{\alpha}) \alpha \neq \lambda + \mu$  (because  $\Delta$  is linearly independent). And the same holds for  $v \otimes w'$  and  $v' \otimes w$ . All in all, all the elems of the basis of  $V(\lambda) \otimes V(\mu)$  built out of  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  have weights distinct from  $\lambda + \mu$ . This shows that  $(V(\lambda) \otimes V(\mu))_{\lambda + \mu}$  is a one dimensional vector space generated by  $v \otimes w$ .

## Complement:

- a) Questions 1 and 2 ~~may~~ still hold in a larger context: no hypoth. on  $\mathfrak{k}$  is needed and it is enough to have a weight decomp. of the rep. of  $\mathfrak{g}$  w.r.t. some adequate Lie subalg.  $\mathfrak{h}$ .
- b) Question 1 <sup>and 2</sup> extends verbatim with more than two representations ...
- c) Let  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_\ell$  be the fundamental weights of the pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  associated to  $\Delta$ . Suppose we are given the corresponding irred. rep.  $V(\omega_1), \dots, V(\omega_\ell)$ . Let  $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$  be a dominant weight. By Lemma III.9.10,  $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} n_i \omega_i$ , where  $n_1, \dots, n_\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ . Taking b) above into consideration, this gives a way to realise  $V(\lambda)$  as a subrepresentation of the tensor product  $V(\omega_1)^{\otimes n_1} \otimes \dots \otimes V(\omega_\ell)^{\otimes n_\ell}$ . (This is Exercise 8 p 117 of [Humphreys].)