Existence and stability of a solution with a new prescribed behavior for a heat equation with or without a critical nonlinear gradient term

Hatem ZAAG

CNRS and LAGA Université Paris 13

Tunis, July 22-23, 2015

Joint work with:

M.A. Ebde,

F. Merle (Université de Cergy-Pontoise and IHES),

S. Tayachi (Faculté des Sciences de Tunis).

Hatem ZAAG (P13 & CNRS) Existence and stability of a solution for a heat equation with or without a critical nonlinear gradient term Equadiff2015 Lyon, July 6-10, 2015

Introduction: The equation

We consider the following PDE:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = \Delta u + \mu |\nabla u|^q + |u|^{p-1}u, \\ u(\cdot, 0) = u_0 \end{cases}$$

where:

•
$$p > 3, \mu \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \le q \le q_c = \frac{2p}{p+1},$$

•
$$u(t): x \in \mathbb{R}^N \to u(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}$$
,

• $u_0 \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N).$

History of the equation $\mu = 0$

This is the simplest case of a heat equation which may exhibit blow-up. Indeed, if $u_0 \equiv \alpha_0 > 0$, then

$$u(x,t) = u(t) = \kappa (T-t)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \to \infty \text{ as } t \to T,$$

with

$$\kappa = (p-1)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$$
 and $T = \frac{1}{(p-1)\alpha_0^{p-1}}$

is called *the blow-up time*.

This is a *lab model* to develop new techniques for the study of blow-up in more physical situations (*Ginzburg-Landau, Navier-Stokes, etc...*),

E nar

History of the equation $\mu \neq 0$

- *Introduction*: Chipot-Weissler (1989), mathematical motivation ($\mu < 0$).
- Population dynamics interpretation: Souplet (1996).
- *Mathematical analysis*: Chipot, Weissler, Peletier, Kawohl, Fila, Quittner, Deng, Alfonsi, Tayachi, Souplet, Snoussi, Galaktionov, Vázquez, Ebde, Z., Nguyen, ...
- *Elliptic version* : Chipot, Weissler, Serrin, Zou, Peletier, Voirol, Fila, Quittner, Bandle ...

E nar

- When $\mu = 0$, this is the well-known *semilinear heat equation*:

 $\partial_t u = \Delta u + |u|^{p-1} u.$

- When $\mu = +\infty$, we recover (after rescaling) the *Diffusive Hamilton-Jacobi equation*:

 $\partial_t u = \Delta u + |\nabla u|^q.$

3

A critical case: $q = q_c$

When $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and w(y, s) is the similarity variables version of u(x, t):

$$w(y,s) = (T-t)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}u(x,t), y = \frac{x}{\sqrt{T-t}} \text{ and } s = -\log(T-t),$$

we have for all $s \ge -\log T$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$:

$$\partial_s w = \Delta w - \frac{1}{2} y \cdot \nabla w - \frac{w}{p-1} + |w|^{p-1} w + \mu e^{\alpha s} |\nabla w|^q.$$

with

$$\alpha = \frac{q(p+1)}{2(p-1)} - \frac{p}{p-1} = \frac{(p+1)}{2(p-1)}(q-q_c) \text{ and } q_c = \frac{2p}{p+1}.$$

Therefore, we have 3 cases:

- subcritical when $q < q_c$: we have a "perturbation" of the semilinear heat equation;
- supercritical when $q > q_c$: we are in the Hamilton-Jacobi limit;
- critical when $q = q_c$: this is the aim of the talk.

Other indications for the criticality of $q_c = 2p/(p+1)$

• *Scaling:* Only when $q = q_c$, we have "*u* solution $\Rightarrow u_\lambda$ solution", where

 $u_{\lambda}(x,t) = \lambda^{2/(p-1)} u(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t), \ \forall \lambda > 0, \ \forall t > 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$

as for the equation without gradient term ($\mu = 0$).

- *Large time behavior:* it depends on whether $q < q_c$, $q = q_c$, $q > q_c$; see Snoussi-Tayachi-Weissler (1999) and Snoussi-Tayachi (2007).
- *Blow-up behavior for* $\mu < 0$: it depends also on whether $q < q_c$, $q = q_c$, $q > q_c$; see Souplet (2001, 2005), Chlebik, Fila and Quittner (2003) (Bounded Domain)
- Also for the elliptic version.

Cauchy problem and blow-up solutions

- Cauchy problem:
 - for $\mu = 0$, wellposed in $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$,
 - for μ ≠ 0, wellposed in W^{1,∞}(ℝ^N) (fixed point argument, see Alfonsi-Weissler (1993), Souplet-Weissler (1999)).
- *Blow-up solutions*: If $T < \infty$, then $\lim_{t\to T} ||u(t)||_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \infty$.

Definition: x_0 is a blow-up point if $\exists (t_n, x_n) \to (T, x_0)$ s.t. $|u(x, t)| \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$.

Aim of the talk

Take

$$q = q_c$$
 and either $\mu = 0$ or $\mu \neq 0$.

We have 3 goals:

- construct a blow-up solution,
- determine its blow-up profile,

• prove its stability (with respect to perturbations in initial data).

Remark. The case $q < q_c$ is treated as a perturbation of the case $\mu = 0$, but we don't mention that here (see Ebde and Zaag (2011)).

E SQA

The blow-up profile

- History of the problem ($q \le q_c$ and $\mu = 0$)
- Existence of the new profile ($\mu \neq 0$ and $q = q_c$)
- The stablity result

The blow-up profile

- History of the problem ($q \le q_c$ and $\mu = 0$)
- Existence of the new profile ($\mu \neq 0$ and $q = q_c$)
- The stablity result

2 The proofs ($\mu \ge 0$)

- A formal approach for the existence result
- A sketch of the proof of the existence result
- Proof of the stability result



- History of the problem ($q \le q_c$ and $\mu = 0$)
- Existence of the new profile ($\mu \neq 0$ and $q = q_c$)
- The stablity result

The proofs $(\mu \ge 0)$

▶ ∢ ≣

Case $\mu = 0$: the standard semilinear heat equation

• The (generic) profile is given by

 $(T-t)^{1/(p-1)}u(z\sqrt{(T-t)|\log(T-t)|},t) \sim f_0(z)$ as $t \to T$,

where

$$f_0(x) = (p - 1 + b_0 |x|^2)^{-1/(p-1)}$$
 and $b_0 = (p - 1)^2/(4p)$.

See Galaktionov-Posashkov (1985), Berger-Kohn (1988), Herrero-Velázquez (1993). *The constructive existence proof* by Bricmont-Kupiainen (1994), Merle-Z. (1997) is based on:

- The reduction of the problem to a finite-dimensional one;
- The solution of the finite-dimensional problem thanks to the degree theory.
- Other profiles are possible.

Remark. We will give the proof in this case.

I naa

History of the problem ($q \leq q_c$ and $\mu = 0$)

E nar

Subcritical case: $\mu \neq 0$ and $q < q_c = \frac{2p}{p+1}$

Ebde and Z. (2011) could adapt the previous existence strategy and *find the same behavior* as for $\mu = 0$, since the gradient term is subcritical in size in similarity variables:

$$\partial_s w = \Delta w - \frac{1}{2} y \cdot \nabla w - \frac{w}{p-1} + |w|^{p-1} w + \mu e^{\alpha s} |\nabla w|^q.$$

with

$$\alpha=\frac{(p+1)}{2(p-1)}(q-q_c)<0.$$

Remark. We don't mention the proof in this case.

Critical case: $q = q_c$, with $-2 < \mu < 0$ and p - 1 > 0 small

Exact self-similar blow-up solution by Souplet, Tayachi and Weissler (1996):

$$u(x,t) = (T-t)^{-1/(p-1)} W\left(\frac{|x|}{\sqrt{T-t}}\right)$$

where *W* satisfies the following elliptic equation:

$$W'' + rac{N-1}{r}W' - rac{1}{2}rW' - rac{W}{p-1} + W^p + \mu|W'|^{q_c} = 0.$$

= 990

Critical case: $\mu \neq 0$ and $q = q_c$; A numerical result

A similar profile to the case $\mu = 0$ was discovered *numerically* by Van Tien Nguyen (2014):

$$(T-t)^{1/(p-1)}u(z\sqrt{(T-t)|\log(T-t)|},t) \sim f_0(z)$$
 as $t \to T_1$

where

$$f_{\mu}(x) = (p - 1 + b_{\mu}|x|^2)^{-1/(p-1)}$$

with

$$b_{\mu} > 0$$
 and $b_0 = (p-1)^2/(4p)$,

the same as for $\mu = 0$.

Remark: We initially wanted to confirm this result, and ended by finding a *new* type of behavior.

Critical case: $\mu > 0$ and $q = q_c$; Our new profile

Theorem (Tayachi and Z.) There exists a solution u(x, t) s.t.:

- Simultaneous Blow-up: Both u and ∇u blow up as $t \to T > 0$ only at the origin;
- Blow-up Profile:

$$(T-t)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}u(z\sqrt{T-t}|\log(T-t)|^{\frac{p+1}{2(p-1)}},t)\sim \bar{f}_{\mu}(z) \text{ as } t\to T$$

with

$$\bar{f}_{\mu}(z) = \left(p - 1 + \bar{b}_{\mu}|z|^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \text{ with } \bar{b}_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2}(p-1)^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}} \left(\frac{(4\pi)^{\frac{N}{2}}(p+1)^2}{p\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|y|^q e^{-|y|^2/4}dy}\right)^{\frac{p+1}{p-1}} \mu^{-\frac{p+1}{p-1}} > 0$$

• *Final profile When* $x \neq 0$, $u(x, t) \rightarrow u(x, T)$ *as* $t \rightarrow T$ *with*

$$u(x,T) \sim \left(\frac{\bar{b}_{\mu}}{2} \frac{|x|^2}{|\log |x||^{\frac{p+1}{p-1}}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} as x \to 0.$$

Hatem ZAAG (P13 & CNRS) Existence and stability of a solution for a heat equation with or without a critical nonlinear gradient term

Comments

The exhibited behavior is new in two respects:

- The scaling law: $\sqrt{T-t} |\log(T-t)|^{\frac{p+1}{2(p-1)}}$ instead of the laws of the case $\mu = 0$, $\sqrt{(T-t)|\log(T-t)|}$ or $(T-t)^{\frac{1}{2m}}$ where $m \ge 2$ is an integer;
- *The profile function*: $\bar{f}_{\mu}(z) = (p 1 + \bar{b}_{\mu}|z|^2)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$ is different from the profile of the case $\mu = 0$, namely $f_0(z) = (p 1 + b_0|z|^2)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$, in the sense that $\bar{b}_{\mu} \neq b_0$.

Note in particular, that

 $\bar{b}_{\mu} \rightarrow \infty$ as $\mu \rightarrow 0$.

Remark: Our solution is different already in the scaling from the numerical solution of Van Tien Nguyen, which is in the $\mu = 0$ style.

I naa

Idea of the proof

We follow *the constructive existence proof* used by Bricmont-Kupiainen (1994), Merle-Z. (1997) for the *standard semilinear heat equation*.

That method is based on:

- The reduction of the problem to a finite-dimensional one (N + 1 parameters);
- The solution of the finite-dimensional problem thanks to the degree theory.

э.

Stability of the constructed solution for $\mu \geq 0$

Thanks to the interpretation of the (N + 1) parameters of the finite-dimensional problem in terms of the blow-up time (in \mathbb{R}) and the blow-up point (in \mathbb{R}^N), the existence proof yields the following:

Theorem (Merle and Z. ($\mu = 0$), **Tayachi and Z.** ($\mu > 0$): **Stability**)

The constructed solution is stable with respect to perturbations in initial data in $W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Applications: Perturbed Hamilton-Jacobi Equation ($\mu > 0$)

Corollary (Tayachi and Z.)

After an appropriate scaling, our results yield stable blow-up solutions for the following *Viscous Hamilton-Jacobi equation:*

 $\partial_t v = \Delta v + |\nabla v|^q + \nu |v|^{p-1} v;$

with

$$\nu > 0, \ 3/2 < q < 2, \ p = \frac{q}{2-q}.$$

The solution and its gradient blow up simultaneously, only at one point.

Of course, the blow-up profile is given after an appropriate scaling.

1) The blow-up profile

- 2 The proofs $(\mu \ge 0)$
 - A formal approach for the existence result
 - A sketch of the proof of the existence result
 - Proof of the stability result

► < Ξ > <</p>

A formal approach to find the ansatz (N = 1)

Following the standard semilinear heat equation case, we work in similarity variables:

$$w(y,s) = (T-t)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}u(x,t), y = \frac{x}{\sqrt{T-t}} \text{ and } s = -\log(T-t).$$

We need to *find a solution* for the following equation defined for all $s \ge s_0$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\partial_s w = \partial_y^2 w - \frac{1}{2} y \partial_y w - \frac{w}{p-1} + |w|^{p-1} w + \mu |\partial_y w|^q,$$

such that

$$0 < \epsilon_0 \le \|w(s)\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \le rac{1}{\epsilon_0}$$
 (type 1 blow-up).

Simple ideas

Idea 1: Look for a (non trivial) stationary solution:

• for $\mu = 0$ and $p < p_S \equiv \frac{N+2}{N-2}$, we know from Giga and Kohn that we have only 3 solutions: $\kappa, -\kappa, 0$, where

$$\kappa \equiv (p-1)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}.$$

Problem: These are "trivial" solutions.

- $\mu = 0$ and $p \ge p_S \equiv \frac{N+2}{N-2}$: there are some non trivial solutions.
- for $\mu \neq 0$, there is a non trivial solution, by Souplet, Tayachi and Weissler (1996), for *p* close to 1 (self-similar solution in the u(x, t) setting).

Idea 2: Since $w \equiv \kappa \equiv (p-1)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$ is a trivial solution, let us look for a solution w such that

 $w \to \kappa$, as $s \to \infty$.

I naa

Inner expansion

We write

$$w = \kappa + \overline{w},$$

and *look for* \overline{w} such that

 $\overline{w} \to 0$ as $s \to \infty$.

The equation to be satisfied by \overline{w} is the following:

 $\partial_s \overline{w} = \mathcal{L} \overline{w} + \overline{B}(\overline{w}) + \mu |\nabla \overline{w}|^{q_c},$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $q_c = \frac{2p}{p+1}$,

$$\mathcal{L}v = \partial_y^2 v - \frac{1}{2} y \partial_y v + v,$$

and

$$\overline{B}(\overline{w}) = |\overline{w} + \kappa|^{p-1}(\overline{w} + \kappa) - \kappa^p - p\kappa^{p-1}\overline{w}.$$

Note that \overline{B} is quadratic:

$$\left|\overline{B}(\overline{w}) - \frac{p}{2\kappa}\overline{w}^2\right| \le C|\overline{w}^3|.$$

Hatem ZAAG (P13 & CNRS) Existence and stability of a solution for a heat equation with or without a critical nonlinear gradient term

Equadiff2015 Lyon, July 6-10, 2015

3

The linear operator

Note that \mathcal{L} is self-adjoint in $D(\mathcal{L}) \subset L^2_{\rho}(\mathbb{R})$ where

$$L^2_{\rho}(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ f \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}) \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f(y))^2 \, \rho(y) dy < \infty \right\}$$

and

$$\rho(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{e^{-\frac{|\mathbf{y}|^2}{4}}}{\sqrt{4\pi}}.$$

The spectrum of \mathcal{L} is explicitly given by

$$spec(\mathcal{L}) = \left\{ 1 - \frac{m}{2} \mid m \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

All the eigenvalues are simple, and the eigenfunctions h_m are (rescaled) Hermite polynomials, with

$$\mathcal{L}h_m = \left(1 - \frac{m}{2}\right)h_m.$$

In particular, for $\lambda = 1$, $\frac{1}{2}$, 0, the eigenfunctions are $h_0(y) = 1$, $h_1(y) = y$ and $h_2(y) = y^2 - 2$. Hatem ZAAG (P13 & CNRS) Existence and stability of a solution for a heat equation with or without a critical nonlinear gradient term Equadifi

= nar

Naturally, we expand $\overline{w}(y, s)$ according to the eigenfunctions of \mathcal{L} :

$$\overline{w}(y,s) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \overline{w}_m(s) h_m(y).$$

Since h_m for $m \ge 3$ correspond to negative eigenvalues of \mathcal{L} , assuming \overline{w} even in y, we may consider that

$$\overline{w}(y,s) = \overline{w}_0(s)h_0(y) + \overline{w}_2(s)h_2(y),$$

with

$$\overline{w}_0, \ \overline{w}_2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } s \rightarrow \infty.$$

Plugging this in the equation to be satisfied by \overline{w} :

 $\partial_s \overline{w} = \mathcal{L} \overline{w} + \overline{B}(\overline{w}) + \mu |\partial_y \overline{w}|^{q_c},$

E nar

we first see that

$$\mu |\partial_y \overline{w}|^{q_c} = \mu 2^{q_c} |y|^{q_c} |\overline{w}_2|^{q_c},$$

then, projecting on h_0 and h_2 , we get the following ODE system:

$$\begin{split} \overline{w}_0' &= \overline{w}_0 + \frac{p}{2\kappa} \left(\overline{w}_0^2 + 8\overline{w}_2^2 \right) + \tilde{c_0} |\overline{w}_2|^{q_c} + O\left(|\overline{w}_0|^3 + |\overline{w}_2|^3 \right), \\ \overline{w}_2' &= 0 + \frac{p}{\kappa} \left(\overline{w}_0 \overline{w}_2 + 4\overline{w}_2^2 \right) + \tilde{c_2} |\overline{w}_2|^{q_c} + O\left(|\overline{w}_0|^3 + |\overline{w}_2|^3 \right), \end{split}$$

where

$$1 < q_c = rac{2p}{p+1} < 2, \;\; ilde{c}_0 = \mu 2^{q_c} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |y|^{q_c}
ho
ight), \;\; ilde{c}_2 = \mu q_c 2^{q_c-2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |y|^{q_c}
ho
ight).$$

Note that the sign of \tilde{c}_0 and \tilde{c}_2 is the same as for μ . Remark. The heart of the argument is to find a solution for this system. The solution will depend on the value of μ , singularly when $\mu \to 0$.

(Case $\mu = 0$) Looking at the equation to be satisfied by \overline{w}_2

Let us write it as follows:

$$\overline{w}_2' = \frac{4p}{\kappa} \overline{w}_2^2 (1 + O(\overline{w}_2)) + \frac{p}{\kappa} \overline{w}_0 \overline{w}_2 + O\left(|\overline{w}_0|^3\right).$$

Assuming that

 $|\overline{w}_0| \ll |\overline{w}_2|,$ (H1)

we end-up with

$$\overline{w}_2' \sim \frac{4p}{\kappa} \overline{w}_2^2,$$

.

$$\overline{w}_2 \sim -\frac{\kappa}{4ps}$$

Equadiff2015 Lyon, July 6-10, 2015

3

(Case $\mu = 0$) Looking at the equation to be satisfied by \overline{w}_0

Let us recall it

$$\overline{w}_0' = \overline{w}_0 + \frac{p}{2\kappa} \left(\overline{w}_0^2 + 8\overline{w}_2^2 \right) + O\left(|\overline{w}_0|^3 + |\overline{w}_2|^3 \right),$$

then write it as follows:

$$\overline{w}_{0}^{\prime}=\overline{w}_{0}\left(1+O\left(\overline{w}_{0}
ight)
ight)+rac{4p}{\kappa}\overline{w}_{2}^{2}\left(1+O\left(\overline{w}_{2}
ight)
ight).$$

Assuming that

$$|\overline{w}_0'| \ll |\overline{w}_0|, \ |\overline{w}_0'| \ll |\overline{w}_2|^2, \ \text{(H2)}$$

we end-up with

$$\overline{w}_0 \sim -\frac{4p}{\kappa} \overline{w}_2^2 \sim -\frac{\kappa}{4ps^2} \ll \overline{w}_2 \sim -\frac{\kappa}{4ps}.$$

Note that both hypotheses (H1) and (H2) are satisfied by the solution we have just found.

∃ na

Case $\mu \neq 0$

Let us recall the system to be satisfied by \overline{w}_0 and \overline{w}_2 :

$$egin{aligned} \overline{w}_0' &= \overline{w}_0 + rac{p}{2\kappa} \left(\overline{w}_0^2 + 8 \overline{w}_2^2
ight) + ilde{c}_0 |\overline{w}_2|^{q_c} + O\left(|\overline{w}_0|^3 + |\overline{w}_2|^3
ight), \ \overline{w}_2' &= 0 + rac{p}{\kappa} \left(\overline{w}_0 \overline{w}_2 + 4 \overline{w}_2^2
ight) + ilde{c}_2 |\overline{w}_2|^{q_c} + O\left(|\overline{w}_0|^3 + |\overline{w}_2|^3
ight), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$1 < q_c = \frac{2p}{p+1} < 2, \ \ \tilde{c}_0 = \mu 2^{q_c} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |y|^{q_c} \rho \right), \ \ \tilde{c}_2 = \mu q_c 2^{q_c-2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |y|^{q_c} \rho \right).$$

Note that the sign of \tilde{c}_0 and \tilde{c}_2 is the same as for μ .

A = A = A

(Case $\mu \neq 0$) Looking at the equation to be satisfied by \overline{w}_2

Let us write it as follows:

$$\overline{w}_2' = ilde{c_2} |\overline{w}_2|^{q_c} \left(1 + O\left(|\overline{w}_2|^{2-q_c}
ight)
ight) + rac{p}{\kappa} \left(\overline{w}_0 \overline{w}_2
ight) + O\left(|\overline{w}_0|^3
ight).$$

Assuming that

$$|\overline{w}_0\overline{w}_2| \ll |\overline{w}_2|^{q_c}, \ |\overline{w}_0|^3 \ll |\overline{w}_2|^{q_c}, \ (\text{H1})$$

we end-up with

 $\overline{w}_2 \sim sign(\mu) |\tilde{c}_2| |\overline{w}_2|^{q_c}$

which yields

$$\overline{w}_2 = -sign(\mu) \frac{B}{S^{\frac{1}{q_c-1}}}, \text{ for some } B > 0.$$

(remember that $q_c = \frac{2p}{p+1} \in (1,2)$).

Equadiff2015 Lyon, July 6-10, 2015

3

(Case $\mu \neq 0$) Looking at the equation to be satisfied by \overline{w}_0

Let us write it as follows:

$$\overline{w}_0' = \overline{w}_0 \left(1 + O\left(\overline{w}_0\right)\right) + \tilde{c_0} |\overline{w}_2|^{q_c} \left(1 + O\left(|\overline{w}_2|^{2-q_c}\right)\right).$$

Assuming that

 $|\overline{w}_0'| \ll \overline{w}_0, \ |\overline{w}_0'| \ll |\overline{w}_2|^{q_c}, \ (\text{H2})$

we end-up with

$$\overline{w}_0 \sim -\widetilde{c}_0 |\overline{w}_2|^{q_c} \sim -\frac{\widetilde{c}_0 B^{q_c}}{s^{\frac{q_c}{q_c-1}}} \ll \overline{w}_2 = -sign(\mu) \frac{B}{s^{\frac{1}{q_c-1}}}.$$

Note that both hypotheses (H1) and (H2) are satisfied by the found solution.

э.

Conclusion for the inner expansion

Recalling the ansatz

$$w(y,s) = \kappa + \overline{w}(y,s) = \kappa + \overline{w}_0(s)h_0(y) + \overline{w}_2(s)h_2(y)$$
with $h_2(y) = y^2 - 2$,

we end-up with:

$$w(y,s) = \kappa - \tilde{b}\frac{y^2}{s^{2\beta}} + \frac{2\tilde{b}}{s^{2\beta}} + o\left(\frac{1}{s^{2\beta}}\right),$$

with

• for
$$\mu = 0$$
: $\tilde{b} = \frac{\kappa}{4p}$ and $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$;
• for $\mu \neq 0$: $\tilde{b} = sign(\mu)B$ and $\beta = \frac{1}{2(q_c-1)} = \frac{p+1}{2(p-1)} > \frac{1}{2}$, with
 $B = \left[2^{q_c-2}q_c(q_c-1)\int_{\mathbb{R}}|y|^{q_c}\rho\right]^{-\frac{1}{q_c-1}}\mu^{-\frac{p+1}{p-1}}$.

Remark: This expansion is valid in L^2_{ρ} and uniformly on compact sets by parabolic regularity. However, for *y* bounded, we see no shape: the expansion is asymptotically a constant.

Idea: What if $z = \frac{y}{s^{\beta}}$ is the relevant space variable for the solution shape?

Outer expansion

To have a *shape*, following the inner expansion, (*valid for* |y| *bounded*),

$$w(y,s) = \kappa - \tilde{b}z^2 + \frac{2\tilde{b}}{s^{2\beta}} + o\left(\frac{1}{s^{2\beta}}\right)$$
 with $z = \frac{y}{s^{\beta}}$,

let us look for a solution of the following form (*valid for* |z| *bounded*):

$$w(y,s) = f(z) + \frac{a}{s^{2\beta}} + O(\frac{1}{s^{\nu}}), \ \nu > 2\beta,$$

with $z = \frac{y}{s^{\beta}}$, $f(0) = \kappa$ and f bounded. Plugging this ansatz in the equation,

$$\partial_s w = \partial_y^2 w - \frac{1}{2} y \partial_y w - \frac{w}{p-1} + |w|^{p-1} w + \mu |\partial_y w|^{q_c},$$

then, keeping only the main order, we get

$$-\frac{1}{2}zf'(z) - \frac{1}{p-1}f(z) + (f(z))^p = 0,$$

hence,
$$f(z) = \left(p - 1 + \bar{b}|z|^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$$
, for some constant $\bar{b} > 0$.

Existence and stability of a solution for a heat equation with or without a critical nonlinear gradient term

Equadiff2015 Lyon, July 6-10, 2015

Matching asymptotics

For *y* bounded, both the inner expansion (*valid for* |y| *bounded*)

$$w(y,s) = \kappa - \tilde{b} \frac{y^2}{s^{2\beta}} + \frac{2\tilde{b}}{s^{2\beta}} + o\left(\frac{1}{s^{2\beta}}\right),$$

and the outer expansion (valid for |z| bounded)

$$w(y,s) = f(z) + \frac{a}{s^{2\beta}} + O(\frac{1}{s^{\nu}})$$
 where $\nu > 2\beta$, $z = \frac{y}{s^{\beta}}$

and

$$f(z) = \left(p - 1 + \bar{b}|z|^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} = \kappa - \frac{\bar{b}\kappa}{(p-1)^2}z^2 + O(z^4),$$

have to agree. Therefore,

$$\tilde{b} = \frac{b\kappa}{(p-1)^2}$$
 and $a = 2\tilde{b}$.

Equadiff2015 Lyon, July 6-10, 2015

Matching asymptotics (continued)

In particular:

- For $\mu = 0$, $\tilde{b} = \frac{\kappa}{4p}$, hence $\bar{b} = \frac{(p-1)^2}{4p}$ and $a = \frac{\kappa}{2p}$;
- For $\mu \neq 0$, $\tilde{b} = sign(\mu)B$ with $B = \left[2^{q_c-2}q_c(q_c-1)\int_{\mathbb{R}} |y|^{q_c}\rho\right]^{-\frac{1}{q_c-1}}\mu^{-\frac{p+1}{p-1}}$.

Since B > 0 and $\bar{b} > 0$ from the inner and the outer expansions, it follows that

$$\mu > 0, \ \ \bar{b} = \frac{(p-1)^2}{\kappa} B \text{ and } a = 2B, \text{ with } B = \left[2^{q_c-2}q_c(q_c-1)\int_{\mathbb{R}}|y|^{q_c}\rho\right]^{-\frac{1}{q_c-1}}\mu^{-\frac{p+1}{p-1}}.$$

Conclusion of the formal approach

We have just derived the blow-up profile for $|y| \leq Ks^{\beta}$:

$$\varphi(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{s}) = \bar{f}\left(\frac{\mathbf{y}}{s^{\beta}}\right) + \frac{a}{s^{2\beta}} = \left(p - 1 + \bar{b}\frac{|\mathbf{y}|^2}{s^{2\beta}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} + \frac{a}{s^{2\beta}},$$

where:

• For
$$\mu = 0$$
,
 $\beta = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \overline{b} = \frac{(p-1)^2}{4p} \text{ and } a = \frac{\kappa}{2p};$

• Form
$$\mu \neq 0$$
,
 $\beta = \frac{p+1}{2(p-1)}, \quad \bar{b} = \frac{(p-1)^2}{\kappa} B \text{ and } a = 2B,$
with
 $B = \left[2^{q_c-2}q_c(q_c-1)\int_{\mathbb{R}} |y|^{q_c}\rho\right]^{-\frac{1}{q_c-1}}\mu^{-\frac{p+1}{p-1}}.$

Hatem ZAAG (P13 & CNRS)

Existence and stability of a solution for a heat equation with or without a critical nonlinear gradient term

Equadiff2015 Lyon, July 6-10, 2015

Strategy of the proof

We follow the strategy used by Bressan (1992), Bricmont and Kupiainen (1994) then Merle and Z. (1997) for the standard semilinear heat equation, based on:

- The reduction of the problem to a finite-dimensional one;
- The solution of the finite-dimensional problem thanks to the degree theory.

This strategy was later adapted for:

- the present equation with *subcritical* gradient exponent $q < q_c$ in Ebde and Z. (2011);
- the Ginzburg-Landau equation:

 $\partial_t u = (1+i\beta)\Delta u + (1+i\delta)|u|^{p-1}u - \gamma u$

in Z. (1998) and Masmoudi and Z. (2008);

- the supercritical gKdV and NLS in Côte, Martel and Merle (2011);
- the semilinear wave equation

$$\partial_t^2 u = \partial_x^2 u + |u|^{p-1} u$$

in Côte and Z. (2013), for the construction of a blow-up solution showing multi-solitons.

Construction of solutions of PDEs with prescribed behavior

More generally, we are in the framework of constructing a solution to some PDE with some *prescribed behavior*:

- NLS: Merle (1990), Martel and Merle (2006);
- KdV (and gKdV): Martel (2005), Côte (2006, 2007),
- water waves: Ming-Rousset-Tzvetkov (2013),
- Schrödinger maps: Merle-Raphaël-Rodniansky (2013),
- etc....

э.

The strategy of the proof (N = 1)

We recall our aim: to construct a solution w(y, s) of the equation in similarity variables:

$$\partial_s w = \partial_y^2 w - \frac{1}{2} y \partial_y w - \frac{w}{p-1} + |w|^{p-1} w + \mu |\partial_y w|^{q_c},$$

such that

$$w(y,s) \sim \varphi(y,s)$$
 where $\varphi(y,s) = \left(p - 1 + \overline{b} \frac{|y|^2}{s^{2\beta}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} + \frac{a}{s^{2\beta}}$.

Idea: We linearize around $\varphi(y, s)$ by introducing

$$v(y,s) = w(y,s) - \varphi(y,s).$$

In that case, our aim becomes to construct v(y, s) such that

$$\|v(s)\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \to 0 \text{ as } s \to \infty,$$

and v(y, s) satisfies for all $s \ge s_0$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\partial_s v = (\mathcal{L} + V)v + B(v) + G(\partial_y v) + R(y, s),$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}v = \partial_y^2 v - \frac{1}{2} y \partial_y v + v,$$

$$V(y,s) = p \varphi(y,s)^{p-1} - \frac{p}{p-1},$$

$$B(v) = |\varphi + v|^{p-1} (\varphi + v) - \varphi^p - p \varphi^{p-1} v,$$

$$G(\partial_y v) = \mu |\partial_y \varphi + \partial_y v|^{q_c} - \mu |\partial_y \varphi|^{q_c},$$

$$R(y,s) = -\partial_s \varphi + \partial_y^2 \varphi - \frac{1}{2} y \partial_y \varphi - \frac{\varphi}{p-1} + \varphi^p + \mu |\partial_y \varphi|^{q_c}.$$

Effect of the different terms

- The linear term: Its spectrum is given by {1 m/2, | m ∈ N} and its eigenfunctions are Hermite polynomials with Lh_m = (1 m/2)h_m. Note that we have two positive directions λ = 1, 1/2 and a null direction λ = 0.
- The potential term V: it has two fundamental properties:
 - (i) $V(.,s) \to 0$ in $L^2_{\rho}(\mathbb{R})$ as $s \to \infty$. In practice, the effect of V in the blow-up area $(|y| \le Ks^{\beta})$ is regarded as a perturbation of the effect of \mathcal{L} (except on the null mode).
 - (ii) $V(.,s) \to -\frac{p}{p-1}$ as $s \to \infty$. and $\frac{|y|}{s^{\beta}} \to \infty$. Since $-\frac{p}{p-1} < -1$ and 1 is the largest eigenvalue of the operator \mathcal{L} , outside the blow-up area (i.e. for $|y| \ge Ks^{\beta}$), we may consider that the operator $\mathcal{L} + V$ has negative spectrum, hence, easily controlled.
- The nonlinear term in v: It is quadratic: $|B(v)| \le C|v|^2$,
- The nonlinear term in $\partial_y v$: It is sublinear: $\|G(\partial_y v)\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{s}} \|\partial_y v\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}$.
- The rest term: It is small: $||R(.,s)||_{L^{\infty}} \leq \frac{C}{s}$.

I naa

Space localization

• From the properties of the profile and the potential, the variable

$z = \frac{y}{s^{\beta}}$

plays a fundamental role, and our analysis will be different in the regions

|z| > K and |z| < 2K.

Decomposition of v(y, s) into "inner" and "outer" parts

Consider a cut-off function

$$\chi(y,s) = \chi_0\left(rac{|y|}{K s^{eta}}
ight),$$

where $\chi_0 \in C^{\infty}([0,\infty), [0,1])$, s.t. $supp(\chi_0) \subset [0,2]$ and $\chi_0 \equiv 1$ in [0,1]. Then, introduce

$$v(y,s) = v_{inner}(y,s) + v_{outer}(y,s),$$

with

$$v_{inner}(y,s) = v(y,s)\chi(y,s)$$
 and $v_{outer}(y,s) = v(y,s)(1-\chi(y,s)).$

Note that

$$\operatorname{supp} v_{inner}(s) \subset [-2Ks^{\beta}, 2Ks^{\beta}], \ \operatorname{supp} v_{outer}(s) \subset (-\infty, -Ks^{\beta}] \cup [Ks^{\beta}, \infty).$$

Remark: $v_{outer}(y, s)$ is easily controlled, because $\mathcal{L} + V$ has a negative spectrum (less than $1 - \frac{p}{p-1} + \epsilon < 0$).

I naa

Decomposition of the "inner" part

We decompose v_{inner} , according to the sign of the eigenvalues of \mathcal{L} :

$$v_{inner}(y,s) = \sum_{m=0}^{2} v_m(s)h_m(y) + v_-(y,s),$$

where v_m is the projection of v_{inner} (and not v on h_m , and $v_-(y, s) = P_-(v_{inner})$ with P_- being the projection on the negative subspace $E_- \equiv Span\{h_m \mid m \ge 3\}$ of the operator \mathcal{L} .

Remark: $v_{-}(y, s)$ is easily controlled because the spectrum of \mathcal{L} restricted to E_{-} is less than $-\frac{1}{2}$.

It remains then to control v_0 , v_1 and v_2 .

E nar

Control of v_2

This is delicate, because it corresponds to the direction $h_2(y)$, the null mode of the linear operator \mathcal{L} .

Projecting the equation

$$\partial_s v = (\mathcal{L} + V)v + B(v) + G(\partial_y v) + R(y, s)$$

on $h_2(y)$, and recalling that $\mathcal{L}h_2 = 0$, we need to refine the contributions of Vv and $G(\partial_y v)$ to the linear term (this is delicate), and write:

$$v_2'(s) = -\frac{2}{s}v_2(s) + O\left(\frac{1}{s^{4\beta}}\right) + O\left(\|v(s)\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R})}^2\right).$$

Working in the slow variable $\tau = \log s = \log |\log(T - t)|$,

we see that

$$\frac{d}{d\tau}v_2 = -2v_2 + O\left(\frac{1}{s^{4\beta-1}}\right) + O\left(s\|v(s)\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R})}^2\right),$$

which shows a negative eigenvalue.

Conclusion: v_2 can be controlled as well.

We are left only with two components v_0 and v_1 : A finite dimensional problem.

Hatem ZAAG (P13 & CNRS)

Existence and stability of a solution for a heat equation with or without a critical nonlinear gradient term

Dealing with v_0 and v_1

These remaining components correspond repectively to the projections along $h_0(y) = 1$ and $h_1(y) = y$, the *positive* directions of \mathcal{L} . Projecting the equation

$$\partial_s v = (\mathcal{L} + V)v + B(v) + G(\partial_y v) + R(y, s)$$

on $h_m(y)$ with m = 0, 1, we write

$$\begin{aligned} v_0'(s) &= v_0(s) + O\left(\frac{1}{s^{2\beta+1}}\right) + O\left(\|v(s)\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R})}^2\right), \\ v_1'(s) &= \frac{1}{2}v_1(s) + O\left(\frac{1}{s^{2\beta+1}}\right) + O\left(\|v(s)\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R})}^2\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since all the other components are easy to control, we may assume that

$$v(y,s) = v_0(s)h_0(y) + v_1(s)h_1(s) = v_0(s) + v_1(s)y,$$

ending with a "baby" problem, *which is two-dimensional*, with initial data at $s = s_0$ given by

$$v_0(s_0) = d_0, v_1(s_0) = d_1,$$

E Sac

Solution of the baby problem

Recall the baby problem:

$$\begin{aligned} v_0'(s) &= v_0(s) + O\left(\frac{1}{s^{2\beta+1}}\right) + O\left(v_0(s)^2\right) + O\left(v_1(s)^2\right), \\ v_1'(s) &= \frac{1}{2}v_1(s) + O\left(\frac{1}{s^{2\beta+1}}\right) + O\left(v_0(s)^2\right) + O\left(v_1(s)^2\right), \end{aligned}$$

with initial data at $s = s_0$ given by

$$v_0(s_0) = d_0, \ v_1(s_0) = d_1.$$

This problem can be easily solved by contradiction, based on *Index Theory*:

There exist a particular value $(d_0, d_1) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that the "baby" problem has a solution $(v_0(s), v_1(s))$ which converges to (0, 0) as $s \to \infty$.

Conclusion for the full problem

For the full problem (which is *infinite-dimensional*), recalling that

$$v(y,s) = v_{inner}(y,s) + v_{outer}(y,s) = \sum_{m=0}^{2} v_m(s)h_m(y) + v_-(y,s) + v_{outer}(y,s),$$

and that all the three other components correspond to negative eigenvalues, hence easily converging to zero, we have the following statement:

Consider the equation

$$\partial_s v = (\mathcal{L} + V)v + B(v) + G(\partial_y v) + R(y, s)$$

equipped with initial data at $s = s_0$:

$$\psi_{s_0,d_0,d_1}(y) = \left(d_0h_0(y) + d_1h_1(y)\right)\chi(2y,s_0).$$

Then, there exists a particular value (d_0, d_1) such that the corresponding solution v(y, s) exists for all $s \ge s_0$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}$, and satisfies

$$\|v(y,s)\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \to 0 \text{ as } s \to \infty.$$

End of the proof of the existence proof

Introducing

$$T=e^{-s_0},$$

and recalling that

$$v(y,s) = w(y,s) - \varphi(y,s)$$
 and $u(x,t) = (T-t)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} w\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{T-t}}, -\log(T-t)\right)$,

and

$$\varphi(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{s}) = \bar{f}_{\mu}(\frac{\mathbf{y}}{s^{\beta}}) + \frac{a}{s^{2\beta}},$$

we derive the existence of u(x, t), a solution to the equation

$$\partial_t u = \Delta u + \mu |\nabla u|^{q_c} + |u|^{p-1} u,$$

such that

$$(T-t)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}u(z\sqrt{T-t}|\log(T-t)|^{\frac{p+1}{2(p-1)}},t)\sim \bar{f}_{\mu}(z)$$
 as $t\to T$.

Using refined parabolic regularity estimates, we derive that:

- u(x, t) blows up only at the origin;
- the final profile satisfies $u(x,T) \sim \left(\frac{2}{b_{\mu}}|x|^{-2} |\log |x||^{\frac{p+1}{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$ as $x \to 0$.

Proof of the stability result (N = 1)

Let us recall the statement:

Theorem (Tayachi and Z.: Stability)

The constructed solution is stable with respect to perturbations in initial data in $W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Proof: It follows from the existence proof, through the interpretation of the parameters of *the finite-dimensional problem* in terms of the blow-up time and the blow-up point.

Consider $\hat{u}(x,t)$ the constructed solution, with initial data \hat{u}_0 , blowing up at time \hat{T} only at one blow-up point \hat{a} (not necessarily 0). Consider now $u_0 \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that

 $u_0 = \hat{u}_0 + \epsilon_0$ with $\|\epsilon_0\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R})}$ small,

and u(x, t) the corresponding maximal solution and $T(u_0) \leq +\infty$ its maximal existence time.

We would like to prove that $T(u_0) < +\infty$, and that u(x, t) blows up only at one single point $a(u_0)$ with the same profile as for $\hat{u}(x, t)$, with

 $T(u_0) \rightarrow \hat{T} \text{ and } a(u_0) \rightarrow \hat{a} \text{ as } u_0 \rightarrow \hat{u}_0.$

Our finite dimensional parameters

At this stage, we don't even know that $T(u_0) < +\infty$, don't mention the blow-up point $a(u_0)$.

Since our goal is to show that $T(u_0)$ and $a(u_0)$ are close to \hat{T} and \hat{a} respectively, let us study ALL the similarity variables versions of u(x, t) considered with *arbitrary* (T, a) close to (\hat{T}, \hat{a}) :

$$w_{u_0,T,a}(y,s) = e^{-\frac{s}{p-1}}u(a+ye^{\frac{s}{2}},T-e^{-s})$$

and

$$v_{u_0,T,a}(y,s) = w_{u_0,T,a}(y,s) - \varphi(y,s) = e^{-\frac{s}{p-1}}u(a+ye^{\frac{s}{2}}, T-e^{-s}) - \varphi(y,s)$$

where the profile:

$$\varphi(y,s) = \overline{f}_{\mu}(rac{y}{s^{eta}}) + rac{a}{s^{2eta}}.$$

The stability problem as an "existence" problem

Note that for any (T, a), $v_{u_0,T,a}(y, s)$ satisfies the same equation as for the existence proof:

 $\partial_s v = (\mathcal{L} + V)v + B(v) + G(\partial_y v) + R(y, s),$

with initial data, say at some time $s = s_0$ large enough, given by

$$v_{u_0,T,a}(y,s_0) = \bar{\psi}_{u_0,T,a}(y) \equiv e^{-\frac{s_0}{p-1}}u(a+ye^{\frac{s_0}{2}}, T-e^{-s_0}) - \varphi(y,s_0).$$

Idea: These initial data depend on two parameters, exactly as in the existence proof, where initial data was

$$\psi_{s_0,d_0,d_1}(y) = \left(d_0h_0(y) + d_1h_1(y)\right)\chi(2y,s_0),$$

depending also on two parameters. It happens that the behaviors of

$$(d_0, d_1) \mapsto \psi_{s_0, d_0, d_1}$$
 and $(T, a) \mapsto \overline{\psi}_{u_0, T, a}$

are similar, so the existence proof starting from $\bar{\psi}_{u_0,T,a}$ works also, in the sense that:

= nar

Statement for the "new" existence problem

For $||u_0 - \hat{u}_0||_{W^{1,\infty}}$ small and s_0 large enough, there exists some $(\bar{T}(u_0), \bar{a}(u_0))$ such that the solution of equation

 $\partial_s v = (\mathcal{L} + V)v + B(v) + G(\partial_y v) + R(y, s),$

with initial data at $s = s_0$ given by

$$\bar{\psi}_{u_0,T,a}(y) \equiv e^{-\frac{s_0}{p-1}}u(a+ye^{\frac{s_0}{2}},T-e^{-s_0}) - \varphi(y,s_0)$$

with $(T, a) = (\overline{T}(u_0), \overline{a}(u_0))$, converges to 0 as $s \to \infty$.

But remember !

$$\bar{\psi}_{u_0,\bar{T}(u_0),\bar{a}(u_0)}(y) = v_{u_0,\bar{T}(u_0),\bar{a}(u_0)}(y,s_0),$$

so we know that solution: it is simply $v_{u_0,\overline{T}(u_0),\overline{a}(u_0)}(y,s)$!!!!

Therefore, this is in reality the statement we have just proved:

There exists some $(\overline{T}(u_0), \overline{a}(u_0))$ such that $\|v_{u_0, \overline{T}(u_0), \overline{a}(u_0)}\|_{W^{1,\infty}} \to 0$ as $s \to \infty$.

Going back in the transformations, we see that for all $t \in [0, \overline{T}(u_0))$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$u(x,t) = (\bar{T}(u_0) - t)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} w_{u_0,\bar{T}(u_0),\bar{a}(u_0)}(y,s) = (\bar{T}(u_0) - t)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \left[\varphi(y,s) + v_{u_0,\bar{T}(u_0),\bar{a}(u_0)}(y,s) \right]$$

where

$$y = \frac{x - \bar{a}(u_0)}{\sqrt{\bar{T}(u_0) - t}}$$
 and $s = -\log(\bar{T}(u_0) - t)$.

From this identity, we see that:

- The blow-up time of u(x, t) is in fact $\overline{T}(u_0)$;
- u(x, t) blows up at the point $\bar{a}(u_0)$;
- u(x, t) has $\varphi(y, s)$ as blow-up profile, the same as for $\hat{u}(x, t)$,

and this is the desired conclusion for the stability.

Thank you for your attention.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

2