

Chromatic unstable homotopy, plethories, and the Dieudonné correspondence

Alpine Algebraic and Applied Topology Conference

Tilman Bauer, KTH Stockholm

August 18, 2016

Adams-type spectral sequences for computing $[X, Y]$

The classical, homological Adams spectral sequence:

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_*}^{**}(H_*X, H_*Y) \implies [X, Y]_*$$

X, Y spectra

\mathcal{A}_* dual Steenrod algebra, a commutative \mathbf{F}_p -Hopf algebra

H_*X, H_*Y coefficients in \mathbf{F}_p , comodules over \mathcal{A}_*

$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_*}$ of comodules over a coalgebra

$[X, Y]_*$ graded homotopy classes, more realistically $[X, Y_p^\wedge]$

Adams-type spectral sequences for computing $[X, Y]$

The stable Adams-Novikov spectral sequence based on a ring spectrum E :

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{E_*E}^{**}(E_*X, E_*Y) \implies [X, Y]_*$$

X, Y spectra

E_*E stable cooperations, a Hopf algebroid

E_*X, E_*Y comodules over E_*

Ext_{E_*E} of comodules over a Hopf algebroid

$[X, Y]_*$ graded homotopy classes, more realistically $[X, Y_E^\wedge]$

Hopf algebroids vs. bialgebras

(E_*, E_*E) is a Hopf algebroid if E_*E is a flat E_* -module. A Hopf algebroid is a cogroupoid object in E_* -algebras.

Alternatively: E_*E is an E_* -bimodule with a multiplication

$$E_*E \otimes_{E_*} E_*E \rightarrow E_*E \quad (\text{tensor over } E_* \otimes E_*)$$

and a comultiplication

$$E_*E \rightarrow E_*E \otimes_{E_*} E_*E \quad (\text{left-right tensor product}).$$

It is a bialgebra with respect to two different tensor structures, only one of which is symmetric monoidal. This is the point of view that generalizes to the unstable setting.

Adams-type spectral sequences for computing $[X, Y]$

The unstable spectral sequence based on a ring spectrum E :

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{K}}^{**}(E_*X, E_*Y) \implies [X, Y]_*$$

X, Y CW complexes

\mathcal{K} “unstable cooperations”, a “bialgebra” in coalgebras

E_*X, E_*Y comodules over \mathcal{K} (in coalgebras), free E_* -modules

$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{K}}$ some nonlinear derived functor

$[X, Y]_*$ graded homotopy classes, more realistically $[X, Y_E^\wedge]$. Fringed,
i. e. $[X, Y]_{0,1}$ are only sets/groups.

Adams-type spectral sequences for computing $[X, Y]$

There are adjoint functors

$$\Omega^\infty : E\text{-module spectra} \rightleftarrows \text{Top} : E \wedge \Sigma^\infty(-)_+$$

The associated monad $Y \mapsto E(Y) = \Omega^\infty(E \wedge Y_+)$ gives a bar construction

$$(Y \rightarrow) \quad E(Y) \rightrightarrows E(E(Y)) \cdots$$

with $\text{Tot } E^\bullet(Y) = Y_{\hat{E}}$.

The Bousfield spectral sequence from applying $[X, -]_*$ to the associated tower gives the unstable Adams spectral sequence we are looking for (Bendersky-Curtis-Miller 1978).

It is not obvious how to algebraically describe the E_2 -term.

Adams-type spectral sequences for computing $[X, Y]$

A comonadic point of view

Simplifying assumption: E_* is a graded field, e.g. $E = K(n)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Mod}_E & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\Omega^\infty} \\ \xleftarrow{E \wedge \Sigma^\infty(-)_+ EM} \end{array} & \text{Top} \\ & \begin{array}{c} \searrow \sim \\ \swarrow E_* \end{array} & \\ & & \text{Mod}_{E_*} \end{array}$$

Let \mathcal{K} be the comonad $E_* \circ EM$ on Mod_{E_*} . For any space X , E_*X is a \mathcal{K} -comodule.

Theorem (BCM 78 for certain connective E , Bendersky-Thompson 00 for nonconnective E)

$$E_2^{s,t} = \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{K}\text{-comodules}}(E_*X, E_*Y)$$

Adams-type spectral sequences for computing $[X, Y]$

A comonadic point of view

Example

For $E = H\mathbf{F}_p$, \mathcal{K} is the free unstable algebra functor on a graded vector space (rather, its linear dual).

This defines the spectral sequence and identifies its E_2 -term. But

- It forces us to use the cobar construction – unsuitable for daily use!
- It does not exhibit the bialgebraic structure we saw in the stable case

Aim: Give an algebraic description of the object \mathcal{P} for which \mathcal{K} is the cofree construction.

History, previous work

Aim: Give an algebraic description of the object \mathcal{P} for which \mathcal{K} is the cofree construction.

Boardman-Johnson-Wilson 95: \mathcal{P} is $E_*(\underline{E}_*)$. This has the following structure:

- An E_* -coalgebra because \underline{E}_n are spaces
- A Hopf algebra because \underline{E}_n are infinite loop spaces, furthermore a morphism $E_* \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{Hopf}}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P})$
- A Hopf ring because \underline{E}_* is a ring space
- An “enriched” Hopf ring by including the action of $E^*(\underline{E}_*)$ in an ad-hoc way.

Problem: what is the (co)composition actually defined on?

History, previous work

A similar algebraic structure has appeared in algebra: *Tall-Wraith monoids* (**Tall-Wraith 70**), a.k.a. *plethories*, studied extensively by Borger-Wieland 2005.

Problem: this works for cohomology $E^*(\underline{E}_*)$, and a profinite topology has to be taken into account.

Stacey-Whitehouse 09: give a description of a “completed” version of plethories when E_* is a field (and some more general situations)

B 14: “formal plethories”: definition that works when E_* is a Prüfer domain, informed by algebraic geometry.

But these structures are complex and unwieldy.

Formal groups

(but not what you think)

From now on, assume that $k = E_*$ is a *perfect* graded field, i.e.:

- k_0 is perfect
- If k has period l and of characteristic p then $(l, p) = 1$.

Definition

A formal scheme is a directed colimit of functors represented by finite-dimensional k -algebras.

Top \rightarrow formal schemes/ k

$$X \mapsto \mathrm{Spf} E^*(X) = \operatorname{colim}_{F \subset X \text{ finite}} \mathrm{Spec} E^*(F)$$

X double loop space \mapsto formal (abelian) group / k

Formal groups

For simplicity, assume even grading, so that everything is commutative.

Lemma (Fontaine)

Every formal group G over perfect k splits naturally

$$G = G^c \times G^{\acute{e}t}, \quad \text{where}$$

G^c is connected, i. e. $G^c(k') = 0$ for all extensions k' of k
 $G^{\acute{e}t}$ is étale.

There is an equivalence

étale group schemes \leftrightarrow discrete $\text{Gal}(k)$ -modules

$$G \mapsto \text{colim}_{k < k'} G(k')$$

$$\text{Spf map}_{\text{Gal}(k)}(M, \bar{k}) \leftarrow M$$

Formal groups

If A is an abelian group, considered as a trivial $\text{Gal}(k)$ -module, then

$$\text{Spf map}_{\text{Gal}(k)}(A, \bar{k}) = \text{Spf map}(A, k) = \underline{A}$$

is the constant group scheme.

Observation: If X is a space, $E^*(X)/\text{nil} \cong E^*(\pi_0 X)$ has trivial $\text{Gal}(k)$ -action. In particular, when X is a commutative, associative grouplike H -space, $(\text{Spf } E^*(X))^{\text{ét}}$ is always constant.

Definition

A *cohomological formal group* is a formal group with constant étale part.

The category of cohomological formal groups

Theorem (B)

The category of cohomological formal groups

- *is abelian*
- *has all colimits and limits, and directed colimits are exact*
- *has a generator and a cogenerator*
- *is well-powered and co-well-powered.*

Corollary (Freyd)

Any functor from cohomological formal groups to another category \mathcal{C} that preserves limits, has a left adjoint. Any functor that preserves colimits has a right adjoint.

In particular, the forgetful functor to formal schemes has a left adjoint Fr .

Tensor products of formal groups

Corollary (Goerss 1999)

The category of cohomological formal groups is closed monoidal with respect to a tensor product \otimes classifying bilinear maps of formal groups.

Example

$$\underline{A} \otimes \underline{B} \cong \underline{A \otimes B}$$

Example

$$\mathrm{Fr}(X \times Y) \cong \mathrm{Fr}(X) \otimes \mathrm{Fr}(Y)$$

In characteristic 0, every connected formal group is the zero component of a free formal group (Milnor-Moore), so this is nearly a complete description.

Formal l -algebra schemes

Definition

Let l be a graded commutative ring. A formal l -algebra scheme is a formal group A with $l \rightarrow A$, $A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ making it into a functor from k -algebras to l -algebras.

Example

If F is a commutative ring spectrum, $\mathrm{Spf} E^* \underline{F}_*$ is a cohomological F_* -algebra scheme.

Lemma

Composition gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \{f. \text{ } l\text{-alg schemes}/k\} \times \{f. \text{ schemes}/k\} &\rightarrow \{f. \text{ schemes}/l\} \\ \{f. \text{ } l\text{-alg schemes}/k\} \times \{f. \text{ } k\text{-alg schemes}/k\} &\rightarrow \{f. \text{ } l\text{-alg schemes}/k\} \end{aligned}$$

Formal plethories

Lemma

Composition gives:

$$\{f. l\text{-alg schemes}/k\} \times \{f. schemes/k\} \rightarrow \{f. schemes/l\}$$

$$\{f. l\text{-alg schemes}/k\} \times \{f. k\text{-alg schemes}/k\} \rightarrow \{f. l\text{-alg schemes}/k\}$$

In particular, composition \circ is a non-symmetric monoidal structure on k -algebra schemes over k , and schemes over k are tensored over it.

Definition

A **formal plethory** is a cohomological formal k -algebra scheme P with a comonoid structure

$$P \rightarrow P \circ P, P \rightarrow \text{id}$$

A (left) comodule over a formal plethory P is a formal scheme X with a coaction $X \rightarrow P \circ X$.

Thus: algebra for \otimes , coalgebra for \circ .

Formal plethories

Example

$\mathrm{Spf} E^* \underline{E}_*$ is a formal plethory, and $\mathrm{Spf} E^*(X)$ is a comodule over it for any space X .

Theorem (2014, “nonlinear Künneth theorem”)

For E, F commutative ring spectra, E_* a graded field, and X a space, there is an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Spf}(E^*(F(X))) = \mathrm{Spf} E^*(\Omega^\infty(F \wedge X)_+) \cong \mathrm{Spf} E^* \underline{E}_* \circ \mathrm{Spf} F^* X$$

Corollary

The functor $C \mapsto \mathrm{Spf} C^*$ from coalgebras to formal schemes extends to an equivalence between \mathcal{K} -coalgebras and $\mathrm{Spf} E^* \underline{E}_*$ -comodules. Thus

$$E_2 = \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{Spf} E^* \underline{E}_* \text{-comod}}(\mathrm{Spf} E^*(S^*), \mathrm{Spf} E^*(X)) \Rightarrow \pi_* X_{\hat{E}}$$

Corollary

The functor $C \mapsto \mathrm{Spf} C^*$ from coalgebras to formal schemes extends to an equivalence between \mathcal{K} -coalgebras and $\mathrm{Spf} E^* \underline{E}_*$ -comodules. Thus

$$E_2 = \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{Spf} E^* \underline{E}_* \text{-comod}}(\mathrm{Spf} E^*(S^*), \mathrm{Spf} E^*(X)) \Rightarrow \pi_* X_{\hat{E}}.$$

- + Fully algebraic description of E_2
- Cobar construction still the only obvious resolution – what are injective $\mathrm{Spf} E^* \underline{E}_*$ -comodules??

From now on, $\text{char}(k) = p > 0$. Semi-classically:

Theorem

Let I be a \mathbf{Z}_p -algebra. Then there is an equivalence of abelian categories

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{discrete cohomological} \\ I\text{-module schemes}/k \end{array} \right\} \xrightarrow{D} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Modules } M \text{ over} \\ \mathcal{R} = W(k) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} I\langle F, V \rangle / (FV - p) \\ \text{s. t. } M = M_0 \oplus M_c \text{ where} \\ V|_{M_0} = \text{id}, V|_{M_c} \text{ nilpotent} \end{array} \right\}$$

Remark: If one drops the cohomologicality requirement, V has finite order on M_0 instead of order 1.

The Dieudonné correspondence

Example

$$D(\underline{A}) = A \quad \text{with } V = \text{id}, F = p$$

Example

$$D(\hat{\mathbb{G}}_a) = k[V^{\pm 1}]/k[V] = \langle \bullet \xleftarrow{V} \bullet \xleftarrow{V} \dots \rangle, \quad F = 0$$

Example (and Proposition)

For the free formal group $\text{Fr}(X)$ on a scheme $X = \text{Spec } A$, A finite:

$$D(\text{Fr}(X)) = \text{Hom}(CW(A), CW(k))$$

$CW(A)$ = p -typical “co-Witt vectors” are to $W(A)$ what \mathbf{Z}/p^∞ is to \mathbf{Z}_p .

The tensor product

Theorem (Goerss '99, Buchstaber-Lazarev '07)

Given two formal l -modules M, N ,

$$D(M \otimes N) \cong D(M) \boxtimes D(N),$$

where

$$A \boxtimes B = \mathcal{R} \otimes_{W(k)\langle V \rangle} (A \otimes B) / \sim,$$

$$Fx \otimes Va \otimes b \sim x \otimes a \otimes Fb, \quad Fx \otimes a \otimes Vb \sim x \otimes Fa \otimes b$$

In particular, formal l -algebra schemes correspond to \boxtimes -algebras A with unit $l \rightarrow A$.

The evaluation product

Theorem (B)

The evaluation product

$$\{\text{cohomological formal } l\text{-modules}\} \times \{k\text{-algebras}\} \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} \{l\text{-modules}\}$$

satisfies $G(A) = D(G) \circ A$, where

$$M \circ A = \text{Tor}^{W(k)}(M, CW(A))^{F, V} = \ker \begin{pmatrix} \text{Tor}(F, \text{id}) - \text{Tor}(\text{id}, V) \\ \text{Tor}(V, \text{id}) - \text{Tor}(\text{id}, F) \end{pmatrix}$$

Note: \circ is linear on the left, but not on the right.

The evaluation product, simplified

If I is an \mathbb{F}_p -algebra, there is a simpler description.

Theorem

If I is an \mathbb{F}_p -algebra, $M \circ A \cong M \otimes_F^V W(A)$, where

$$M \otimes_F W(A) = M \otimes W(A) / (Fm \otimes a - m \otimes Va)$$

and

$$M \otimes_F^V W(A) = \ker(V \otimes \text{id} - \text{id} \otimes F) \text{ on } M \otimes_F W(A)$$

The evaluation product, simplified

$$M \otimes_F W(A) = M \otimes W(A) / (Fm \otimes a - m \otimes Va)$$

in more explicit terms:

Define polynomials $c_i(x, y)$ inductively by

$$x^{p^n} + y^{p^n} = c_0(x, y)^{p^n} + p c_1(x, y)^{p^{n-1}} + \cdots + p^n c_n(x, y)$$

$$c_0(x, y) = x + y, \quad c_1(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{p} \binom{p}{i} x^i y^{p-i}, \dots$$

Then $M \otimes_F W(A)$ is generated by symbols (m, a) modulo left linearity and

$$(m, a) + (m, b) \sim \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (F^i m, c_i(a, b))$$

The composition product

Theorem (B)

Given a two k -algebra scheme F and G , $D(G \circ F) = D(F) \circ D(G)$. Here,

$$M \circ N = (M \otimes_F^V N),$$

where $M \otimes_F N$ is generated by (m, n) modulo left linearity and

$$(m, n) + (m, n') = (m, n + n') + (Fm, Vc_1(n, n')) + \dots,$$

$$(M \otimes_F^V N) = \ker(V \otimes \text{id} - \text{id} \otimes V(-)^P).$$

The \mathcal{R} -module structure on $M \circ N$ is given by $V(m, n) = (m, Vn)$ and $F(m, n) = (m, Fn) + (Fm, n^P)$. The multiplication is componentwise.

The plethory for $K(1)$, $p > 2$

Classical stable computation:

$$K(n)_*(K(n)) = P(b_1, b_2, \dots) / (b_i^{p^n} - v_n^? b_i) \otimes \bigwedge (a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}).$$

Make this an \mathcal{R} -module by defining $F = 0$, $V(a_i) = a_{i-1}$, $V(b_i) = b_{i-1}$.

Then it becomes a (\boxtimes, \circ) -bialgebra.

Let $P = D(\mathrm{Spf} K(n)_* \underline{K(n)}_*)$ be the plethory for $K(n)$ under the Dieudonné correspondence.

Stabilization: $P \rightarrow K(n)_* K(n)$ is *surjective* [Kuhn, Wilson].

Theorem

There is a short exact sequence of (\boxtimes, \circ) -bialgebras

$$k \rightarrow k[e] / (e^{2p-1} - e) \rightarrow P \rightarrow K(1)_* K(1) \rightarrow k.$$

Theorem

There is a short exact sequence of (\boxtimes, \circ) -bialgebras

$$k \rightarrow k[e]/(e^{2p-1} - e) \rightarrow P \rightarrow K(1)_*K(1) \rightarrow k.$$

More precisely, $P \cong k[e]/(e^{2p-1} - e) \otimes K(1)_*K(1)$ as algebras,

- $|e| = (1, 1)$, $|a_0| = (2, 1)$, $|b_i| = (2p^i, 2)$
- $V(b_i) = b_{i-1}$, $V(b_1) = e^2 =: b_0$, $V(e) = V(a_0) = 0$
- $F(a_0) = (1 - v_1^{-1}e^{2p-2}v_1)a_0$, $F(b_i) = 0 = F(e)$
- $\psi(e) = e \circ e$, $\psi(a_0) = a_0 \circ e_1 + e_1^2 \circ a_0$, $\sum_{n \geq 0} {}^F\psi(b_n) = \sum_{i,j \geq 0} {}^Fb_i^{p^j} \circ b_j$