

Master Vietnam-France in HCMC

High Performance computing

TP 3 : Why is it difficult to resolve the waves. Preconditioning



FIGURE 1 – Waves in a pool

Diving in a pool creates surface waves which can be modeled by the wave equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{tt}u - \Delta u = 0 & \text{in } D \times (0, +\infty) \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial D \times (0, +\infty) \\ u = u_0 & \text{on } D \times (0) \\ \partial_t u = u_1 & \text{on } D \times (0) \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

We suppose the initial conditions to be smooth enough ($u_0 \in H_0^1(D) \cap H^2(D)$, $u_1 \in H^1(D)$) so that the theory ensures a unique solution in $C([0, \infty[; H_0^1(D) \cap H^2(D)) \times C^1([0, \infty[; H_0^1(D)) \times C^2([0, \infty[; L^2(D))$. We suppose the initial conditions to be smooth enough ($u_0 \in H_0^1(D) \cap H^2(D)$, $u_1 \in H^1(D)$) so that the theory ensures a unique solution in $C([0; 1[; H_0^1(D) \cap H^2(D)) \times C^1([0; 1[; H_0^1(D)) \times C^2([0; 1[; L^2(D))$.

1 Separation of variables

We suppose that the domain is exactly the square of length 1. The pool is at rest at initial time, $u_1 = 0$. We search a solution in the form $u(x, t) = v(x)\psi(t)$.

1. 1. Show that u and ϕ are solutions of two problems, and give explicitly the equations, the initial equations and the boundary data.

1. 2. Prove that v is solution of the **Helmholtz** equation in D , *i.e.* $\Delta v + \omega^2 v = 0$ in D with Dirichlet data on the boundary. Deduce the behavior of ψ .

1. 3. ω^2 is therefore an eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ in D . By separation of variables again, compute the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions.

2 Solution of the Helmholtz equation

We suppose that a disturbance is injected in the pool, with a frequency k which is NOT an eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ in D . We therefore have to solve

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + k^2 u = f & \text{in } D \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial D \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

2. 1. Write the variational formulation in $H^1(D)$. Does the Lax-Milgram lemma applies? Why? Prove that the bilinear form satisfy a Garding inequality, which ensures well-posedness for this problem (see ref 4).

3 Numerical solution of the Helmholtz equation

3. 1. Discretize in space with P_1 finite elements the Dirichlet problem. The matrix is symmetric but not definite positive!

3. 2. In the FEM script, replace the `matlab` backslash `\` by a Jacobi, Gauss-Seidel algorithm, or Krylov algorithm (choose the last one carefully, the matrix is not definite). Run the algorithm with a source close to a Dirac on the north-east corner. Study the performance of the algorithm with respect to the mesh size (and hence the size of the matrix) and the frequency k . What happens when j is close to an eigenvalue?

3. 3. Propose a preconditioner seen in the lecture for the problem, and study again the performance. Compare with the Laplace equation.

3. 4. Apply a multigrid solver with relaxed Jacobi smoother to the problem. What happens?

4 Further documents

- *When all else fails, integrate by parts, an overview of new and old variational formulations for linear elliptic PDEs*. E.A. Spence. <http://people.bath.ac.uk/eas25/ibps.pdf>
- *Why it is Difficult to Solve Helmholtz Problems with Classical Iterative Methods*, Oliver G. Ernst and Martin J. Gander. <https://www.unige.ch/~gander/Preprints/HelmholtzReview.pdf>