

L^p bounds and uniqueness for a chemotaxis model

Hatem ZAAG

CNRS & DMA

Ecole Normale Supérieure
Paris

Bures sur Yvette, March 10, 2006

Joint work with L. Corrias (Université d'Evry) and Benoît Perthame (ENS)

The classical model of Patlak / Keller-Segel

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t n &= \operatorname{div}[\kappa(n, c) \nabla n - \chi(n, c) \nabla c], \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \\ \partial_t c &= \eta \Delta c + \beta(n, c)n - \gamma(n, c)c, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \\ n(0, t) &= n_0(x), \quad c(0, x) = c_0(x).\end{aligned}$$

n = population density, c = density of the chemical.
 χ = chemotactic sensitivity. Generally, $\chi(n, c) = n\chi(c)$.
 $\chi(c) > 0$ (decreasing): attraction, positive chemotaxis.
 $\chi(c) < 0$ (increasing): repulsion, negative chemotaxis.
 β and γ : production and decay rate of the chemical.
Boundary condition to have the mass conservation:

$$\int_{\Omega} n(x, t) dx \equiv \int_{\Omega} n_0(x) dx.$$

Maximum principle: $n_0 \geq 0, c_0 \geq 0 \implies n \geq 0, c \geq 0$.

Angiogenesis version (parabolic-ode or P.O.)

A Model for the development of capillary vascular vessels near a cancer tumor.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} n = \kappa \Delta n - \nabla \cdot [n \chi(c) \nabla c], \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} c = -c^m n, \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \\ n(0, x) = n_0(x), \quad c(0, x) = c_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{array} \right.$$

where $m > 0$,

n : endothelial cells, c : the tumor angiogenic factor,
and $\chi(c) \geq 0$, the chemotactic sensitivity.

$$\begin{aligned} c^{1-m}(x, t) &= (m-1) \int_0^t n(x, \tau) d\tau + c_0^{1-m}(x) \text{ if } m \neq 1 \text{ and} \\ c(x, t) &= c_0(x) e^{-\int_0^t n(x, \tau) d\tau} \text{ if } m = 1. \end{aligned}$$

The questions

- 1- Existence of global solutions in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for small initial data.
- 2- L^∞ bound.

An existence result for the P.O. model

Th PO1 (Global existence)

Assume $d \geq 2$, $m \geq 1$ and $\mathcal{X}(c)$ a positive say continuous function on $[0, \infty)$.

Consider some $n_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $c_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $n_0 \geq 0$ and $c_0 \geq 0$.

There exists a constant $K_0(\kappa, \mathcal{X}, d, \|c_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)})$ such that if $\|n_0\|_{L^{\frac{d}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq K_0$, then the **P.O** model has a global (in time) weak solution (n, c) such that

$$n \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, L^1 \cap L^{\frac{d}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d)), \quad c \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^d)$$

and $\forall \max\{1; \frac{d}{2} - 1\} \leq p^* < \infty$,

$$\|n(t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C(t, K_0, p^*, \|n_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}), \quad \forall \max\{1; \frac{d}{2} - 1\} \leq p \leq p^*.$$

An L^∞ bound for the P.O. model

The PO2 (An L^∞ bound)

Moreover, if $\|n_0\|_{L^{\frac{d}{2}}(\mathbf{R}^d)} \leq \frac{K_0}{2}$ and $n_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$, then

$$\|n(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \left(\kappa, \chi, \|n_0\|_{L^{\frac{d}{2}}(\mathbf{R}^d)} \right) \max \{ \|n_0\|_{L^\infty}, \|n_0\|_{L^1} \}.$$

Remark: In both results, the smallness condition is only on the critical norm $L^{d/2}$.

A twin result for the chemotaxis version (parabolic-elliptic or P.E.)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} n = \kappa \Delta n - \chi \nabla \cdot [n \nabla c], \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \\ -\Delta c = n - \alpha c, \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \\ n(0, x) = n_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{array} \right.$$

n = population density, c = density of the chemical.

κ = diffusion coefficient.

χ = constant chemotactic sensitivity.

$\alpha \geq 0$ degradation of the chemical.

Comment: Unlike the P.O. system, the results we present for the P.E. system were known before. However, 3 facts in the P.E. results are new (see later).

The existence result for the P.E. model

Th PE1 (Global existence)

Assume $d \geq 2$ and consider some $n_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $n_0 \geq 0$.

There exists a constant $K_0(\kappa, \chi, d)$ such that if $\|n_0\|_{L^{\frac{d}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq K_0$, then the P.E system has a global (in time) weak solution (n, c) such that for all $t > 0$

$$\|n(t)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \|n_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)},$$

$$\|n(t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|n_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \text{ when } \max\{1; \frac{d}{2} - 1\} \leq p \leq \frac{d}{2},$$

$$\text{and } \|n(t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C(t, K_0, \|n_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}) \text{ when } \frac{d}{2} < p < \infty.$$

Remark: In the second case, the L^p norm is decreasing, unlike the P.O. system (were weighed L^p norms decrease).

The L^∞ bound for the P.E. model

Th PE2 (L^∞ bound)

If $\|n_0\|_{L^{\frac{d}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \frac{K_0}{2}$ and $n_0 \in L^1 \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then

$$\|n(t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|n_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad \max\left\{1, \frac{d}{2} - 1\right\} \leq p \leq d,$$

and

$$\|n(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\left(\kappa, \chi, \|n_0\|_{L^d(\mathbb{R}^d)}\right) \max\{\|n_0\|_{L^1}, \|n_0\|_{L^\infty}\}.$$

Uniqueness for the P.E. model with bounded initial data

Th PE3 (Uniqueness)

Under the hypotheses of Th PE2, the solution is unique.

Remark: We don't have a uniqueness result for the P.O. system. Unlike the global existence and the L^∞ bound, the method for uniqueness is specific to the P.E. system.

Comments

The results were known before for the P.E. model except for three facts:

(i) the smallness condition for the global existence is needed only in the critical

norm $\|n_0\|_{L^{\frac{d}{2}}(\mathbf{R}^d)}$,

(ii) the L^∞ bound of n ,

(iii) the uniqueness of the solution in the case of bounded initial data n_0 .

Idea of the proof

- The proofs of the global existence and the L^∞ bound follow the same pattern in the P.O. and the P.E. systems.
- For the P.E, we handle L^p norms with respect to the Lebesgue measure, whereas for the P.O., we handle L^p norms with respect to weighted measures in the P.E.
- In the P.E., we have an additional result, the uniqueness, which is a new fact.

Conclusion: We present an outline of the proof for the P.E. system, though our result for the P.O. is our main contribution.

Outline of the proof

- Part 1: Global existence for small (in the $L^{d/2}$ norm) initial data (Th PE1).
- Part 2: The L^∞ bound (Th PE2).
- Part 3: The uniqueness result for initial data in L^∞ (Th PE3).

Part 1: Global existence for small (in the $L^{d/2}$ norm) initial data (Th PE1)

We recall the first equation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} n = \kappa \Delta n - \chi \nabla \cdot [n \nabla c].$$

We multiply it by n^{p-1} and integrate to get:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} n^p + 4\kappa \frac{p-1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla n^{p/2}|^2 = \chi p(p-1) \int_{\Omega} n^{p-1} \nabla n \cdot \nabla c.$$

Since $-\Delta c = n - \alpha c$ with $\alpha \geq 0$, we integrate by parts to have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int n^p + 4\kappa \frac{p-1}{p} \int |\nabla n^{p/2}|^2 \leq \chi(p-1) \int n^{p+1}.$$

Using Gagliardo-Nirenberg (condition : $p \geq \max\left(1, \frac{d}{2} - 1\right)$) :

$$\int n^{p+1} \leq C(d, p) \|\nabla n^{p/2}\|_{L^2}^2 \|n\|_{L^{\frac{d}{2}}},$$

we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int n^p \leq (p-1) \|\nabla n^{p/2}\|_{L^2}^2 \left[\chi \tilde{C}(d) \|n\|_{L^{\frac{d}{2}}} - \frac{4\kappa}{p} \right].$$

Dimension $d = 2$

$\|n\|_{L^{\frac{d}{2}}} = \|n\|_{L^1} \equiv \|n_0\|_{L^1}$, therefore

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int n^p \leq (p-1) \|\nabla n^{p/2}\|_{L^2}^2 \left[\chi \tilde{C}(d) \|n_0\|_{L^1} - \frac{4\kappa}{p} \right].$$

Hence, if

$$\chi \tilde{C}(d) \|n_0\|_{L^1} - \frac{4\kappa}{p^*} \leq 0,$$

then for all $p \leq p^*$, $\int n^p$ decreases and stays bounded.

Dimension $d = 3$

$\|n\|_{L^{\frac{d}{2}}}$ is not conserved, but with $p = \frac{d}{2}$, we write

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int n^{\frac{d}{2}} \leq \left(\frac{d}{2} - 1\right) \|\nabla n^{\frac{d}{4} - \frac{1}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2 \left[\chi \tilde{C}(d) \|n\|_{L^{\frac{d}{2}}} - \frac{4\kappa}{\frac{d}{2}} \right].$$

therefore, if

$$\chi \tilde{C}(d) \|n_0\|_{L^{\frac{d}{2}}} - \frac{4\kappa}{\frac{d}{2}} \leq 0,$$

then $\|n\|_{L^{\frac{d}{2}}}$ decreases.

Hence,

$$\|n(t)\|_{L^{\frac{d}{2}}} \leq \|n_0\|_{L^{\frac{d}{2}}}$$

and we write for any other p :

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int n^p \leq (p-1) \|\nabla n^{p/2}\|_{L^2}^2 \left[\chi\tilde{C}(d) \|n_0\|_{L^{\frac{d}{2}}} - \frac{4\kappa}{p} \right].$$

Therefore, (like in 2 dimensions, but with the $L^{\frac{d}{2}}$ norm instead of the mass), if

$$\chi\tilde{C}(d) \|n_0\|_{L^{\frac{d}{2}}} \leq \min\left(\frac{4\kappa}{\frac{d}{2}}, \frac{4\kappa}{p^*}\right)$$

then, for all $p \leq p^*$, $\int n^p$ decreases and stays bounded.

We actually would like a uniform condition

$p \in [\max(1, \frac{d}{2} - 1), +\infty)$ without the restriction $p \leq p^*$. For this, we work with $(n - K)_+$ instead of n , and we take K sufficiently large.

After that, we regularize the system by introducing

$$-\Delta c_\varepsilon = n_\varepsilon \star \rho_\varepsilon - \alpha c_\varepsilon$$

where ρ_ε is a regularizing kernel. We obtain Th PE1 that I recall here:

The existence result for the P.E. model

Th PE1 (Global existence)

Assume $d \geq 2$ and consider some $n_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $n_0 \geq 0$.

There exists a constant $K_0(\kappa, \chi, d)$ such that if $\|n_0\|_{L^{\frac{d}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq K_0$, then the P.E system has a global (in time) weak solution (n, c) such that for all $t > 0$

$$\|n(t)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \|n_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)},$$

$$\|n(t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|n_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \text{ when } \max\{1; \frac{d}{2} - 1\} \leq p \leq \frac{d}{2},$$

$$\text{and } \|n(t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C(t, K_0, \|n_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}) \text{ when } \frac{d}{2} < p < \infty.$$

Part 2: The L^∞ bound

We assume here that

$$n_0 \in L^1 \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

We will show that if

$$\|n_0\|_{L^{\frac{d}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \frac{K_0}{2},$$

then

$$\|n(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \left(\kappa, \chi, \|n_0\|_{L^d(\mathbb{R}^d)} \right) \max \{ \|n_0\|_{L^1}, \|n_0\|_{L^\infty} \}.$$

Let us prove now that it is sufficient to control the L^d norm of n in order to obtain the L^∞ bound of n .

Part 2, Step 1: the main differential inequality

Recall the following:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int n^p + 4\kappa \frac{p-1}{p} \int |\nabla n^{p/2}|^2 \leq \chi(p-1) \int n^{p+1}.$$

By interpolation and the Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequality, we have:

- in dimension $d = 2$:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} n^{p+1} \leq \|n\|_{L^2} \|n^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L^4}^2 \leq C \|n\|_{L^2} \|n^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L^2} \|n^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{H^1},$$

- in dimension $d \geq 3$:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} n^{p+1} \leq \|n^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L^{\frac{2d}{d-2}}} \|n^{\frac{p}{2}+1}\|_{L^{\frac{2d}{d+2}}} \leq C \|\nabla n^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L^2} \|n\|_{L^p}^{\frac{p}{2}} \|n\|_{L^d}.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} n^p + 2\kappa \frac{p-1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla n^{p/2}|^2 \leq p(p-1)C(\chi, \kappa, \|n\|_{L^d}^2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} n^p.$$

Finally, taking $n_0 \in (L^1 \cap L^\infty)(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and the smallness condition on $\|n_0\|_{L^{\frac{d}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$

so that

$$\|n(t)\|_{L^d} \leq \|n_0\|_{L^d},$$

we have **the main differential inequality**

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} n^p + 2\kappa \frac{p-1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla n^{p/2}|^2 \leq C_0 p(p-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} n^p$$

with

$$C_0 = C_0(\chi, \kappa, \|n_0\|_{L^d}^2)$$

independent of p .

Part 2, Step 2: Argument of Alikakos

Taking $p = 2^k$ and using again the Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequality, we get as $k \rightarrow \infty$ the following L^∞ bound of n

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \|n(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \max\{\|n_0\|_{L^1}; \|n_0\|_{L^\infty}\}.$$

Part 3: the uniqueness for the P.E. system

Remark: Unlike Part 1 and 2, this does not work for the P.O. system.

We follow an idea of Gajewski and Zacharias.

We assume that initial data is in L^∞ and that $\|n_0\|_{L^{d/2}}$ is small enough to have $\|n(t)\|_{L^\infty}$ bounded uniformly in t .

Consider (n_1, c_1) and (n_2, c_2) two solutions of the P.E. system with the same initial data.

Consider the function

$$f(n_1, n_2) = n_1 \ln n_1 + n_2 \ln n_2 - (n_1 + n_2) \ln\left(\frac{n_1 + n_2}{2}\right),$$

which satisfies

$$f(n_1, n_2) \geq \frac{1}{4} (\sqrt{n_1} - \sqrt{n_2})^2.$$

Then, using the first equation in the P.E. system that we recall:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} n = \kappa \Delta n - \chi \nabla \cdot [n \nabla c],$$

and Cauchy-Schwarz, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(n_1, n_2) \\ &= -\kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{n_1 n_2}{n_1 + n_2} |\nabla \ln(\frac{n_1}{n_2})|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{n_1 n_2}{n_1 + n_2} \nabla \ln(\frac{n_1}{n_2}) \cdot [\nabla c_1 - \nabla c_2] \\ &\leq -\frac{\kappa}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{n_1 n_2}{n_1 + n_2} |\nabla \ln(\frac{n_1}{n_2})|^2 + \frac{1}{8\kappa} \|n_1 + n_2\|_{L^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla(c_1 - c_2)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using the second equation in the P.E. system that we recall:

$$-\Delta c = n - \alpha c,$$

we estimate

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |\nabla(c_1 - c_2)|^2 \leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |n_1 - n_2|^2 = C \|\sqrt{n_1} - \sqrt{n_2}\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Therefore, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(n_1, n_2) \leq C \|n_1 + n_2\|_{L^\infty} \|\sqrt{n_1} - \sqrt{n_2}\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Recall that

$$f(n_1, n_2) \geq \frac{1}{4}(\sqrt{n_1} - \sqrt{n_2})^2. \quad (1)$$

Therefore, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(n_1, n_2) \leq C \|n_1 + n_2\|_{L^\infty} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(n_1, n_2).$$

Since

$$\|n_1 + n_2\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_0,$$

and $\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(n_1(0), n_2(0)) = 0$, we get by Gronwall's inequality

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(n_1(t), n_2(t)) = 0, \text{ hence by (1), } n_1 \equiv n_2.$$