

Dynamics of semilinear wave equations.

2024-2025

Final exam. Correction

Problem 1

1.1) We have $\frac{1}{a} + \frac{3}{b} = \frac{28}{56} = \frac{1}{2}$, and $\frac{1}{14} + \frac{3}{7} = \frac{7}{14} = \frac{1}{2}$

According to Theorem of the course, this gives the desired inequality.

1.2) By definition, $E = \frac{1}{2} \|\tilde{u}'(t)\|_{\dot{H}^1}^2 + \frac{1}{6} \|u(t)\|_{L^6}^6 \approx \frac{1}{2} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^1}^2$

As proved in the course, it is independent of t .

Using 1.1) we have

$$\|u\|_{L^a([T_0, T], L^b)} \leq C \|u(T_0)\|_{\dot{H}^1} + C \|u^5\|_{L^1([T_0, T], L^2)}$$

By Hölder inequality, $\|u^5\|_{L^1([T_0, T], L^2)} \leq \|u\|_{L^{14}([T_0, T], L^2)}^5 \|u\|_{(\ast)}$

$$(\ast) = L^{\frac{14}{13}}([T_0, T], L^{\frac{14}{3}})$$

This gives the desired inequality (taking a larger C) since $\|u(T_0)\|_{\dot{H}^1} \leq \sqrt{2E}$

1.3) We use a bootstrap argument. Assume that

$$\|u\|_{L^a([T_0, T], L^b)} \leq 2C\sqrt{E} \text{ for some } T \in (T_0, T_+)$$

Then by 1.2),

$$\|u\|_{L^q([T_0, T]; L^6)} \leq C\sqrt{E} + CE(2C\sqrt{E})^4$$

Fixing $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{(2C\sqrt{E})^4} + \frac{\sqrt{E}}{2}$, we deduce $\|u\|_{L^q([T_0, T]; L^6)} \leq \frac{3}{2}C\sqrt{E}$

Since $F(T) = \|u\|_{L^q([T_0, T]; L^6)}$ defines a continuous function of T and that $F(T_0) = 0$, the intermediate value theorem implies:

$$\forall T \in [T_0, T_+], \quad \|u\|_{L^q([T_0, T]; L^6)} \leq \frac{3}{2}C\sqrt{E}, \text{ and by}$$

$$\|u\|_{L^q([T_0, T_+]; L^6)} \leq \frac{3}{2}C\sqrt{E}. \text{ In particular,}$$

$$u \in L^q([T_0, T_+]; L^6). \quad (\text{we have also used that by 1.1, and the def. of } u \in L^q([0, T_0]; L^6))$$

1.4) We have $\frac{1}{5} = \frac{\theta}{a} + \frac{1-\theta}{14}$ (where $\theta = \frac{4}{5}$)

$$\frac{1}{10} = \frac{\theta}{8} + \frac{1-\theta}{7}$$

$$\text{Thus } \|u\|_{L^5([0, T_+]; L^{10})} \leq \|u\|_{L^q([0, T_+]; L^6)}^{\frac{4}{5}} \|u\|_{L^{\frac{14}{3}}([0, T_+]; L^2)}^{\frac{1}{5}}$$

(i.e. $L^{14}([0, T_+]; L^2)$)

By 1.3 and the assumption $u \in L^{14}([0, T_+]; L^2)$, we obtain $u \in L^5([0, T_+]; L^{10})$. Thus by the blow-up criterion $T_+ = +\infty$, and by the scattering criterion, there exist, $(v_0, v_1) \in \dot{H}^1$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \| \tilde{u}(t) - \tilde{S}_L^1(t)(v_0, v_1) \|_{\dot{H}^1} = 0$$

By (1), (**) and the Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem:

$$\|e^{it|D|} f\|_{L^p} \leq \left(\frac{2^{2j}}{|H|}\right)^\theta \|f\|_{L^q}; \quad \theta \in [0,1]$$

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{2} \quad \frac{1}{q} = \theta + \frac{1-\theta}{2} = \frac{1+\theta}{2}$$

Since θ is arbitrary in $[0,1]$, that gives an inequality for arbitrary $\frac{1}{p} \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$, that is for arbitrary $p \in [2, \infty]$, with $\theta = 1 - \frac{2}{p}$,

$$\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\theta}{2} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p} = 1 - \frac{1}{p} \quad \text{ie } q = p'. \text{ Hence}$$

$$\|e^{it|D|} f\|_{L^p} \leq \left(\frac{2^{2j}}{|H|}\right)^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \|f\|_{L^{p'}}$$

2.2) By definition, for $f \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $g \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4)$, we have

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3} T_j f \bar{g} \, dx dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \overline{T_j^* g} \, dx$$

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3} e^{it|D|} \Delta_j f \bar{g} \, dx dt &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f e^{-it|D|} \Delta_j g \, dx dt \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \overline{\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-it|D|} \Delta_j g \, dt} \, dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Thus } T_j^* g = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-it|D|} \Delta_j g \, dt$$

2.3)

1.5) Assume u satisfies $T_+(u) = 0$ and

$\exists (v_0, v_1) \in \dot{H}^1$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|\tilde{u}(t) - \tilde{g}_L(t)(v_0, v_1)\|_{\dot{H}^1} = 0$$

By the scattering criterion of the case, $u \in L^5([0, +\infty); L^{10})$. Hence

$$\|u\|_{L^4([0, +\infty); L^7)} \leq \|u\|_{L^{\frac{9}{14}}([0, +\infty); L^6)}^{\frac{9}{14}} \|u\|_{L^5([0, +\infty); L^{10})}^{\frac{5}{14}}$$

by Hölder, we obtain $u \in L^{14}([0, +\infty); L^7)$

Problem 2

2.1) We have $\|e^{it|\Delta|} \Delta_j f\|_{L^2} = \|\Delta_j f\|_{L^2}$ by Plancherel equality. By the dispersion inequality for the wave equation

$$\left\| \frac{\sin(t|\Delta|)}{|\Delta|} \Delta_j f \right\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \frac{1}{|t|} \|\Delta_j f\|_{\dot{W}^{1,1}}$$

$$\text{and thus } \|\sin(t|\Delta|) \Delta_j f\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \frac{2^{2j}}{|t|} \|\Delta_j f\|_{L^1} \lesssim \frac{2^{2j}}{|t|} \|f\|_{L^1}$$

$$\text{Also } \|\cos(t|\Delta|) \Delta_j f\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \frac{1}{|t|} \|\Delta_j f\|_{\dot{W}^{2,1}} \lesssim \frac{2^{2j}}{|t|} \|f\|_{L^1}$$

(the notations $\dot{W}^{1,1}$ and $\dot{W}^{2,1}$ are the of Sogge of the case)

Writing $e^{it|\Delta|} = \cos(t|\Delta|) + i\sin(t|\Delta|)$, we obtain

$$(**) \quad \|e^{it|\Delta|} \Delta_j f\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \frac{2^{2j}}{|t|} \|f\|_{L^1}$$

By duality, (9) is valid if and only if,
 $\forall f \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3); \quad \|T_j f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \bar{C} \|f\|_{L^2}$

By duality, this is equivalent to

$\forall f \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3); \quad \forall g \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4)$

$$\left| \iint T_j f \bar{g} \, dx \, dt \right| \leq \bar{C} \|f\|_{L^2} \|g\|_{L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

i.e

$\forall f \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3); \quad \forall g \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4)$

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \overline{T_j^* g} f \, dx \right| \leq \bar{C} \|f\|_{L^2} \|g\|_{L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

That is: $\|T_j^* g\|_{L^2} \leq \bar{C} \|g\|_{L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^n)}; \quad \forall g \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4)$

By direct computation and the definition of T_j^*

$$\|T_j^* g\|_{L^2}^2 = \int T_j^* g \overline{T_j^* g} \, dx$$

$$= \iint T_j T_j^* g \bar{g} \, dx \, dt$$

We are thus reduced to prove:

$$\forall g \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4); \quad \left| \iint T_j T_j^* g \bar{g} \, dx \, dt \right| \leq \bar{C}^2 \|g\|_{L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2$$

For this, by Hölder's inequality, it is sufficient to prove:

$$\forall g \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4) \quad \|T_j T_j^* g\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \bar{C}^2 \|g\|_{L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

2.4.) We have

$$(T_j T_j^* g)(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i(t-s)|D|} \Delta_j g(s) ds$$

By the inequality of 2.1

$$\|T_j T_j^* g\|_{L^q} \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(2^{2j})^{1-\frac{2}{n}}}{|t-s|^{1-\frac{2}{n}}} \|g(s)\|_{L^q} ds$$

We use Hardy-Littlewood Sobolev inequality, with $\theta = 1 - \frac{2}{n}$ so that

$$\frac{1}{q'} + \theta = 1 + \frac{1}{q} \quad \text{by the assumption } \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\text{This gives } \|T_j T_j^* g\|_{L^q} \leq (2^{2j})^{1-\frac{2}{n}} \|g\|_{L^{q'}}$$

$$\text{This yields the desired inequality with } \bar{C} = (2^{2j})^{1-\frac{2}{n}} \cdot C, \quad \text{i.e. } \bar{C} = C(2^{2j})^{1-\frac{2}{n}}$$

for some constant $C > 0$ (using 2.3)

2.5) We let $\bar{\Delta}_j = \Delta_{j-1} + \Delta_j + \Delta_{j+1}$ and recall that by the definition of Δ_j , $\bar{\Delta}_j \Delta_j = \Delta_j$.

Using the inequality of 2.4, with $j-1, j, j+1$, we obtain

$$\|e^{it|D|} \bar{\Delta}_j f\|_{L^q} \leq 3\bar{C} \|f\|_{L^2}$$

Applying this to $\Delta_j f$ and using $\bar{\Delta}_j \Delta_j f = \Delta_j f$, we have:

$$\|e^{it|D|} \Delta_j f\|_{L^q} \leq 3\bar{C} \|\Delta_j f\|_{L^2}$$

2.6) By 2.5),

$$\star \quad \|e^{it|\Delta|} \Delta_j f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C 2^{j(1-\frac{2}{n})} \|\Delta_j f\|_{L^2}$$

By one of the results of Littlewood-Paley theory, (see Theorem III.4.5 of the course), at fixed $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\|e^{it|\Delta|} f\|_{L^n(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \leq \sum_j \|\Delta_j e^{it|\Delta|} f\|_{L^n(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2$$

$$\|e^{it|\Delta|} f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 = \left\| \|e^{it|\Delta|} f\|_{L^n(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \right\|_{L^{\frac{q}{2}}(\mathbb{R})}$$

$$\leq \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\Delta_j e^{it|\Delta|} f\|_{L^n(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \right\|_{L^{\frac{q}{2}}(\mathbb{R})}$$

$$\leq \sum_j \left\| \|\Delta_j e^{it|\Delta|} f\|_{L^n(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \right\|_{L^{\frac{q}{2}}(\mathbb{R})}$$

(by Minkowski inequality)

$$\leq \sum_j \|\Delta_j e^{it|\Delta|} f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2$$

$$\|e^{it|\Delta|} f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \leq \sum_j (2^{j(1-\frac{2}{n})})^2 \|\Delta_j f\|_{L^2}^2 \approx \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{1-\frac{2}{n}}}^2 \quad \star$$

This gives the estimate with $s = 1 - \frac{2}{n}$

When $n=4$ we obtain $q=4$, $s=\frac{1}{2}$ which is one of the estimate proved in the course.

2.7) we have, by 2.6)

$$\begin{aligned} \|ca(t|\Delta|) u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq \|e^{it|\Delta|} u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|e^{-it|\Delta|} u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq \|u\|_{\dot{H}^{1-\frac{2}{n}}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left\| \frac{u(t|D)}{|D|} u \right\|_{q, L^\infty} \leq \| |D|^{-1} u_0 \|_{\dot{H}^{1-\frac{2}{n}}} = \| u_0 \|_{\dot{H}^{1-\frac{2}{n}}}$$

Thus, if u is the solution of $\partial_t u - \Delta u = 0$, with initial data $(u_0, u_1) \in \dot{H}^{1-\frac{2}{n}} \times \dot{H}^{1-\frac{2}{n}}$, one has:

$$\| u \|_{q, L^\infty} \leq \| (u_0, u_1) \|_{\dot{H}^{1-\frac{2}{n}}}$$

Problem 3

3.1) Let $R > 0$, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $f \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$,

$$g(x) = f(Rx + x_0)$$

Let $\underline{g}$, $\tilde{g}$ be given by the extension result, with:

$$\underline{g}(x) = g(x); \quad x \in B_r(0) \quad \tilde{g}(x) = g(x) \quad x \in B_r(0),$$

$$\| \underline{g} \|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq M \left[\| \chi_{B_r(0)} |\nabla g| \|_{L^2} + \| \chi_{B_r(0)} g \|_{L^6} \right]$$

$$\| \tilde{g} \|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq M \| \chi_{B_r(0)} |\nabla g| \|_{L^2}$$

$$\text{Let } \underline{f}(x) = \underline{g}\left(\frac{x-x_0}{R}\right) \quad \tilde{f}(x) = \tilde{g}\left(\frac{x-x_0}{R}\right)$$

$$\text{Then } \underline{g}(x) = \underline{f}(Rx + x_0) \quad \tilde{g}(x) = \tilde{f}(Rx + x_0)$$

$$\text{Thus } f(Rx + x_0) = \underline{f}(Rx + x_0) \quad \text{for } x \in B_r(0)$$

$$\text{ie } f(y) = \underline{f}(y) \quad \text{for } y \in B_R(x_0)$$

$$\text{and similarly } f(y) = \tilde{f}(y) \quad \text{for } y \in B_R(x_0)$$

Fun Lemma: $\| \underline{f} \|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} = R^{\frac{1}{2}} \| \underline{g} \|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}$

$$\| \tilde{f} \|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} = R^{\frac{1}{2}} \| \tilde{g} \|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}$$

$$\| \chi_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla f| \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 = \int_{|x-x_0| < R} \frac{1}{R^2} |\nabla g(\frac{x-x_0}{R})|^2 dx$$

$$= R \int_{|y| < 1} |\nabla g(y)|^2 dy$$

Hence $\| \chi_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla f| \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} = R^{\frac{1}{2}} \| \chi_{B_1(0)} |\nabla g| \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}$

and similarly $\| \chi_{B_R(x_0)} f \|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} = R^{\frac{1}{2}} \| \chi_{B_1(0)} g \|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)}$

etc.

Using the inequalities between the norms of $g, \underline{g}, \tilde{g}$, we obtain the same inequalities on $f, \underline{f}, \tilde{f}$.

3.2) Assume

$$\| \chi_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla u_0| \|_{L^2} + \| \chi_{B_R(x_0)} u_0 \|_{L^6} + \| \chi_{B_R(x_0)} u_1 \|_{L^2} = \varepsilon \leq \delta_0$$

Let $\underline{u}_0$ be given by the question 3.1, such that $\underline{u}_0(x) \equiv u_0(x)$ on $B_R(x_0)$ and $\| \underline{u}_0 \|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq M\delta_0$

Let $\underline{u}_1(x) \equiv u_1(x)$ if $x \in B_R(x_0)$ $\underline{u}_1(x) = 0$ if $x \notin B_R(x_0)$

Then $\| (\underline{u}_0, \underline{u}_1) \|_{\dot{H}^1} \leq (M+1)\delta_0$

Let $\underline{u}$ be the solution with initial data $(\underline{u}_0, \underline{u}_1)$
of (6)

By the small data theory, choosing δ_0 small enough,
 $\|u\|_{L^5 L^{10}} \leq C_0 \varepsilon$ for some constant C_0 .

By finite speed of propagation $u(t, x) = u_0(t, x)$ for $(t, x) \in \Gamma_R(x_0)$. Thus

$$\| \chi_{\Gamma_R(x_0)} u \|_{L^5 L^{10}} = \| \chi_{\Gamma_R(x_0)} u_0 \|_{L^5 L^{10}} \leq C_0 \varepsilon$$

3.3) We use the same argument, replacing u_0 by $\tilde{u}_0$, u_1 with $\tilde{u}_1$ defined by

$$\tilde{u}_1(x) = u_1(x) \quad \text{if } |x - x_0| > R$$

$$\tilde{u}_1(x) = 0 \quad \text{if } |x - x_0| \leq R.$$

and u by $\tilde{u}$, solution with initial data $(\tilde{u}_0, \tilde{u}_1)$

3.4) Let $(u_0, u_1) \in \dot{H}^1$ such that

$$\sup_{x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3} \| \chi_{B_R(x_0)} \|Du_0\|_{L^2} + \| \chi_{B_R(x_0)} u_0 \|_{L^6} + \| \chi_{B_R(x_0)} u_1 \|_{L^2} \leq \delta_0$$

let $R_1 > 0$ such that

$$\| \chi_{B_{R_1}(0)} \|Du_0\|_{L^2} + \| \chi_{B_{R_1}(0)} u_0 \|_{L^6} \leq \delta_1$$

Then $\chi_{\Gamma_{R_1}(0)} u \in L^5 L^{10}$ by 3.3,

Assume (by contradiction) that $T_+(u) < R$

let $K = \{ (t, x) \in [0, T_+(u)]; \quad |x| \leq R_1 + |t| \}$

This is a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^4$.

$$\text{We have } K \subset \bigcup_{\substack{|x_0| \leq R_1 + 2R \\ x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3}} \overline{\Gamma_R(x_0)}$$

Since $\overline{\Gamma_R(x_0)} \cap K$ is open in K , this is a covering of K by open subsets of K . By compactness, we can extract a finite covering

$$K \subset \bigcup_{i=1, \dots, J} \overline{\Gamma_R(x_i)}$$

$$\text{Hence } [0, T_+(u)] \subset \bigcup_{i=1, \dots, J} \overline{\Gamma_R(x_i)} \cup \overline{\tilde{\Gamma}_{R_1}(0)}$$

Since $\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{\Gamma}_{R_1}(0)} u \in L^5, L^{10}$ and, by 3.2), $\mathcal{H}_{\Gamma_R(x_i)} u \in L^5, L^{10}$ for all i , we obtain $u \in L^5([0, T_+(u)]; L^{10}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ which contradicts the blow-up criterion.

Thus $R \leq T_+(u)$. Using the same argument for negative time, we obtain $(-R, +R) \subset I_{\text{max}}(u)$.