

**APPENDIX B TO:  
 $K_1$ -INVARIANTS IN THE MOD  $p$  COHOMOLOGY OF  $U(3)$  ARITHMETIC  
MANIFOLDS**

DANIEL LE, BAO V. LE HUNG, AND STEFANO MORRA

APPENDIX B. IDEAL COMPUTATIONS

B.1.1. *Ideal intersections in the special fiber of  $S^{(j)}/I_{T, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)}$ .*

*Proof of [LLHM], Lemma 3.22.* We first observe that there exists  $\tau \in T$  and  $(\omega', a') \in r(\Sigma_0)$  such that both  $\mathfrak{P}_{(\omega, a)}^{(j)}S + \sum_{j' \in \mathcal{J} \setminus \{j\}} \mathfrak{P}_{(\omega', a')}^{(j)}S$  and  $\mathfrak{P}_{(0,0)}^{(j)}S + \sum_{j' \in \mathcal{J} \setminus \{j\}} \mathfrak{P}_{(\omega', a')}^{(j)}S$  are the pullback, via [LLHM, (3.8)], of a minimal prime ideal of  $S/I_{\tau, \nabla_{\infty}}$ . In particular, by the explicit description of  $S/I_{\tau, \nabla_{\infty}}$  appearing in [LLHM, Tables 3,4], the ring  $S^{(j)}/I_j^{b_j}$  is equidimensional of dimension six, and has 2 minimal primes.

We prove [LLHM, item (1)]. From [LLHM, Table 8] one immediately checks that

$$(B.1) \quad (c_{33}, c_{32}, c_{31}, c_{23}, c_{22}, c_{21}, c_{13}d_{32} - c_{12}d_{33}^*, c_{13}d_{31} - c_{11}d_{33}^*, c_{12}d_{31} - c_{11}d_{32}, (b-c)c_{12}d_{21} - (a-c)c_{11}d_{22}^*) \subseteq I_j^{b_j}$$

In particular, we obtain a surjection

$$(B.2) \quad S^{(j)}/I_j^{t, b_j} \twoheadrightarrow S^{(j)}/I_j^{b_j}$$

where we have indicated by  $I_j^{t, b_j}$  the left hand side of (B.1). Moreover

$$S^{(j)}/I_j^{t, b_j} \cong \frac{\mathbb{F}[[c_{13}, d_{21}, d_{31}, d_{32}, x_{11}^*, x_{22}^*, x_{33}^*]]}{c_{13}((a-c)d_{31}d_{22}^* - (b-c)d_{32}d_{21})}$$

which is evidently reduced, equidimensional of dimension six, and has two minimal prime ideals. We conclude by [LLHM20, Lemma 3.6.11] that the surjection (B.2) is an isomorphism, hence that the inclusion (B.1) is an equality.

The proofs of [LLHM, items (2)–(5)] are analogous. □

*Proof of [LLHM], Lemma 3.36.* The proof is analogous to that of [LLHM, Lemma 3.22]. From [LLHM, Table 9] we have an evident inclusion of ideals of  $S^{(j)}$ :

$$(B.3) \quad (c_{22}, c_{33}, c_{32}, e_{33}, e_{23}, d_{31}, (a-b)c_{12}c_{23} - (a-c)e_{13}d_{22}^*, d_{21}d_{32}, c_{23}d_{32}, d_{21}c_{12}) \subseteq I_{\Lambda}^{(j)}$$

hence a surjection

$$(B.4) \quad S^{(j)}/I_{\Lambda}^{t, (j)} \twoheadrightarrow S^{(j)}/I_{\Lambda}^{(j)}$$

(where we have indicated by  $I_{\Lambda}^{t, (j)}$  the left hand side of (B.3)). An direct computation shows that

$$S^{(j)}/I_{\Lambda}^{t, (j)} \cong \frac{\mathbb{F}[[c_{12}, d_{21}, d_{32}, c_{13}, c_{23}, x_{11}^*, x_{22}^*, x_{33}^*]]}{(d_{21}d_{32}, c_{23}d_{32}, d_{21}c_{12})}$$

and the latter ring is evidently reduced, equidimensional of dimension six and has three minimal prime ideals. □

*Proof of [LLHM], Proposition 3.11.* In the following computations, we work in  $\tilde{S}^{(j)}/(\tilde{I}_\tau^{(j)} + \tilde{I}_{\tau'}^{(j)})$ .

*Case  $\tilde{w}^*(\bar{\rho}, \tau)_j = \alpha\beta\alpha t_{\underline{1}}$  and  $\tilde{w}^*(\bar{\rho}, \tau')_j = t_{\underline{1}}$ .* Using the relations  $c_{22} \equiv 0$ ,  $c_{33} \equiv -pd_{33}^*$  coming from  $\tilde{I}_{\alpha\beta\alpha t_{\underline{1}}}^{(j)}$ , the last listed equation in  $\tilde{I}_{t_{\underline{1}}}^{(j)}$  becomes:

$$(B.5) \quad -pc_{12}d_{21}d_{33}^* + pc_{11}d_{22}^*d_{33}^* - p(c_{11}d_{22}^*d_{33}^* - pd_{11}^*d_{22}^*d_{33}^*)$$

On the other hand the relations  $c_{21} \equiv 0$  and  $c_{21} \equiv -pd_{21}$  coming from  $\tilde{I}_{\alpha\beta\alpha t_{\underline{1}}}^{(j)}$  and  $\tilde{I}_{t_{\underline{1}}}^{(j)}$  respectively give  $-pc_{12}d_{21}d_{33}^* \equiv 0$ , hence (B.5) becomes  $pc_{11}d_{22}^*d_{33}^* - p(c_{11}d_{22}^*d_{33}^* - pd_{11}^*d_{22}^*d_{33}^*)$  yielding  $p^2d_{11}^*d_{22}^*d_{33}^* \equiv 0$ .

*Case  $\tilde{w}^*(\bar{\rho}, \tau)_j = \beta\alpha t_{\underline{1}}$  and  $\tilde{w}^*(\bar{\rho}, \tau')_j = t_{\underline{1}}$ .* Using the relations  $c_{22} \equiv 0$  coming from  $\tilde{I}_{\beta\alpha t_{\underline{1}}}^{(j)}$ , the last listed equation in  $\tilde{I}_{t_{\underline{1}}}^{(j)}$  becomes:

$$(B.6) \quad c_{12}d_{21}c_{33} - c_{11}d_{22}^*c_{33} - p(c_{11}d_{22}^*d_{33}^* + d_{11}^*d_{22}^*c_{33})$$

and, using  $d_{21}c_{33} \equiv c_{23}d_{31}$ ,  $c_{11}c_{33} \equiv -pc_{13}d_{31}$  coming from  $\tilde{I}_{t_{\underline{1}}}^{(j)}$ , equation (B.6) becomes

$$c_{12}c_{23}d_{31} + pd_{22}^*c_{13}d_{31} - p(c_{11}d_{22}^*d_{33}^* + c_{11}^*d_{22}^*c_{33})$$

which, using  $c_{23} \equiv 0$ ,  $c_{11}d_{33}^* - c_{13}d_{31}$  and  $c_{33} = -pd_{33}^*$  coming from  $\tilde{I}_{\beta\alpha t_{\underline{1}}}^{(j)}$ , yields  $p^2d_{11}^*d_{22}^*d_{33}^* \equiv 0$ .

*Case  $\tilde{w}^*(\bar{\rho}, \tau)_j = \beta t_{\underline{1}}$  and  $\tilde{w}^*(\bar{\rho}, \tau')_j = \alpha t_{\underline{1}}$ .* Using the relation  $c_{11}d_{33}^* \equiv c_{13}d_{31}$  coming from  $\tilde{I}_{\beta t_{\underline{1}}}^{(j)}$ , the last listed equation in  $\tilde{I}_{\alpha t_{\underline{1}}}^{(j)}$  becomes:

$$(B.7) \quad c_{13}d_{21}d_{32} - c_{12}d_{21}d_{33}^* - pd_{11}^*d_{22}^*d_{33}^*.$$

Multiplying (B.7) by  $pd_{33}^*$ , and using  $pd_{21}d_{33}^* \equiv -c_{23}d_{31}$ ,  $pc_{12}d_{33}^* \equiv -c_{13}c_{32}$  coming from  $\tilde{I}_{\beta t_{\underline{1}}}^{(j)}$ , equation (B.6) becomes

$$-c_{23}d_{31}c_{13}d_{32} + c_{13}c_{32}d_{21}d_{33}^* - p^2d_{11}^*d_{22}^*(d_{33}^*)^2.$$

which, using  $c_{32} \equiv -pd_{32}$  coming from  $\tilde{I}_{\alpha t_{\underline{1}}}^{(j)}$ , yields

$$-c_{23}d_{31}c_{13}d_{32} - pd_{32}c_{13}d_{21}d_{33}^* - p^2d_{11}^*d_{22}^*(d_{33}^*)^2$$

hence  $-p^2d_{11}^*d_{22}^*(d_{33}^*)^2$  noting again that  $pd_{21}d_{33}^* \equiv -c_{23}d_{31}$ .

*Case  $\tilde{w}(\bar{\rho}, \tau)_j = \beta t_{w_0(\eta)}$  and  $\tilde{w}(\bar{\rho}, \tau')_j = w_0 t_{w_0(\eta)}$ .* Multiplying by  $-p$  the relation  $-pd_{22}^*d_{33}^* \equiv c_{23}d_{32}$  coming from  $\tilde{I}_{\beta t_{w_0(\eta)}}^{(j)}$ , and using  $-pc_{32} \equiv e_{23}$  coming from  $\tilde{I}_{w_0 t_{w_0(\eta)}}^{(j)}$  we obtain  $p^2d_{22}^*d_{33}^* \equiv e_{23}d_{32}$ , and the latter expression is zero in the quotient ring since  $e_{23} \in \tilde{I}_{\beta t_{w_0(\eta)}}^{(j)}$ .

*Case  $\tilde{w}(\bar{\rho}, \tau)_j = \alpha t_{w_0(\eta)}$  and  $\tilde{w}(\bar{\rho}, \tau')_j = w_0 t_{w_0(\eta)}$ .* Noting that  $c_{33}, e_{33} \equiv 0$  (relation coming from  $\tilde{I}_{\alpha t_{w_0(\eta)}}^{(j)}$ ) and  $-e_{33} - pc_{33} \equiv p^2d_{33}^*$  (relation coming from  $\tilde{I}_{w_0 t_{w_0(\eta)}}^{(j)}$ ) we obtain  $p^2d_{33}^* \equiv 0$ .

*Case  $\tilde{w}(\bar{\rho}, \tau)_j = t_{w_0(\eta)}$  and  $\tilde{w}(\bar{\rho}, \tau')_j = w_0 t_{w_0(\eta)}$ .* It is exactly as above noticing that  $c_{33}, e_{33} \equiv 0$  modulo  $\tilde{I}_{t_{w_0(\eta)}}^{(j)}$ .

The cases where both  $\tilde{w}^*(\bar{\rho}, \tau)_j$ ,  $\tilde{w}^*(\bar{\rho}, \tau')_j$  have length at least 2 are much easier, and give the stronger result  $p \in \tilde{I}_\tau^{(j)} + \tilde{I}_{\tau'}^{(j)}$ . (For instance, if  $\tilde{w}(\bar{\rho}, \tau)_j = t_{w_0(\eta)}$  and  $\tilde{w}(\bar{\rho}, \tau')_j = \alpha t_{w_0(\eta)}$  then  $c_{22} \equiv 0$  and  $c_{22} \equiv -pd_{22}^*$ , relations coming from  $\tilde{I}_{t_{w_0(\eta)}}^{(j)}$  and  $\tilde{I}_{\alpha t_{w_0(\eta)}}^{(j)}$  respectively.)  $\square$

B.1.2. *Ideal intersections for  $S^{(j)}/I_{\tau, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)}$ ,  $\tilde{w}(\bar{\rho}, \tau)_j = t_{\underline{1}}$ .* In this section we work in the ring  $S^{(j)}/I_{\tau, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)}$ . By abuse of notation we will consider  $S^{(j)}$  to be the ring  $\mathbb{F}[[c_{11}, x_{11}^*, c_{12}, c_{13}, d_{21}, c_{22}, x_{22}^*, c_{23}, d_{31}, d_{32}, c_{33}, x_{33}^*]]$ , and  $I_{\tau, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)}$ ,  $\mathfrak{P}_{(\omega, a)}$  (for  $\omega \in \{0, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2\}$ ,  $a \in \{0, 1\}$ ) ideals of  $\mathbb{F}[[c_{11}, x_{11}^*, c_{12}, c_{13}, d_{21}, c_{22}, x_{22}^*, c_{23}, d_{31}, d_{32}, c_{33}, x_{33}^*]]$ . (In other words, we abuse notation and “neglect the variables  $c_{21}, c_{31}, c_{32}$ ”).

We now remark that the assignment  $c_{i,j} \mapsto c_{(132)(i), (132)(j)}$ ,  $a \mapsto c + 1$ ,  $b \mapsto a$ ,  $c \mapsto b$  induces an automorphism of  $\mathbb{F}$ -algebras on  $S^{(j)}/I_{\tau, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)}$ , which moreover sends  $\mathfrak{P}_{(0,0)}$  to  $\mathfrak{P}_{(\varepsilon_1,0)}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{P}_{(0,1)}$  to  $\mathfrak{P}_{(\varepsilon_2,1)}$ ),  $\mathfrak{P}_{(\varepsilon_1,0)}$  to  $\mathfrak{P}_{(\varepsilon_2,0)}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{P}_{(\varepsilon_2,1)}$  to  $\mathfrak{P}_{(\varepsilon_1,1)}$ ) and  $\mathfrak{P}_{(\varepsilon_2,0)}$  to  $\mathfrak{P}_{(0,0)}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{P}_{(\varepsilon_1,1)}$  to  $\mathfrak{P}_{(0,1)}$ ).

*Proof of [LLHM], Lemma 3.26.* By the remark at the beginning of §B.1.2 it is enough to prove the statements for the ideals  $I_\gamma$  with  $\ell(\gamma) = 2$ ,  $\gamma_1 = (\varepsilon_2, 1)$ , and for  $I_\beta$ ,  $\ell(\beta) = 3$ ,  $\beta_3 = (0, 1)$ .

The ideal  $I_\beta$ ,  $\ell(\beta) = 3$ ,  $\beta_3 = (0, 1)$ . A direct inspection of [LLHM, Table 8] gives an inclusion

$$c_{11} \in \mathfrak{P}_{(0,0)}^{(j)} \cap \mathfrak{P}_{(\varepsilon_2,0)}^{(j)} \cap \mathfrak{P}_{(\varepsilon_2,1)}^{(j)} \cap \mathfrak{P}_{(\varepsilon_1,0)}^{(j)} \cap \mathfrak{P}_{(\varepsilon_1,1)}^{(j)}.$$

Thus, we have a surjection

$$(B.8) \quad S^{(j)}/(c_{11}, I_{\tau, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)}) \twoheadrightarrow S^{(j)}/(\mathfrak{P}_{(0,0)}^{(j)} \cap \mathfrak{P}_{(\varepsilon_2,0)}^{(j)} \cap \mathfrak{P}_{(\varepsilon_2,1)}^{(j)} \cap \mathfrak{P}_{(\varepsilon_1,0)}^{(j)} \cap \mathfrak{P}_{(\varepsilon_1,1)}^{(j)})$$

and a direct computation using [LLHM, Table 4] gives

$$S^{(j)}/(c_{11}, I_{\tau, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)}) \cong \frac{\mathbb{F}[[c_{12}, c_{13}, c_{23}, d_{21}, d_{31}, d_{32}, x_{11}^*, x_{22}^*, x_{33}^*]]}{J}$$

where  $J$  is the ideal generated by

$$\begin{aligned} & c_{12}d_{31}, c_{12}(c_{23}d_{11}^* - d_{21}c_{13}), d_{31}(c_{23}d_{11}^* - (a-b)d_{21}c_{13}), \\ & d_{32}(c_{23}d_{22}^* - d_{21}c_{13}) - (a-b-1)c_{13}d_{31}, \\ & (a-c-1)c_{23}d_{32} - (a-b)(a-c-1)c_{13}d_{31} - (a-b-1)c_{12}d_{21} \end{aligned}$$

The latter ring is reduced (since the initial ideal of  $J$  is generated by squarefree monomial, as it can be checked by considering a suitable Groebner basis) and has 5 minimal primes each of dimension 6. Thus, by [LLHLM20, Lemma 3.6.11], the surjection (??) is an isomorphism.

*Case  $\gamma = ((\varepsilon_2, 1), (\varepsilon_1, 0))$ .* A direct inspection of [LLHM, Table 8] gives an inclusion

$$(B.9) \quad \underbrace{(c_{22}, c_{11}, (a-b)c_{13}d_{21} + (b-c-1)c_{23}d_{11}^*)}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} J} \subseteq \mathfrak{P}_{(0,0)}^{(j)} \cap \mathfrak{P}_{(\varepsilon_2,0)}^{(j)} \cap \mathfrak{P}_{(\varepsilon_2,1)}^{(j)}.$$

Thus, we have a surjection

$$(B.10) \quad S^{(j)}/(J + I_{\tau, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)}) \twoheadrightarrow S^{(j)}/(\mathfrak{P}_{(0,0)}^{(j)} \cap \mathfrak{P}_{(\varepsilon_2,0)}^{(j)} \cap \mathfrak{P}_{(\varepsilon_2,1)}^{(j)})$$

and a direct computation gives

$$S^{(j)}/(J + I_{\tau, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)}) \cong \frac{\mathbb{F}[[c_{12}, c_{13}, d_{21}, d_{31}, d_{32}, x_{11}^*, x_{22}^*, x_{33}^*]]}{c_{13}(d_{31}d_{22}^* - d_{32}d_{21}), c_{12}d_{21}, c_{12}d_{31}}.$$

The latter ring is reduced, equidimensional of dimension 6 and has 3 minimal primes, and hence, by [LLHLM20, Lemma 3.6.11], the surjection (B.10) is an isomorphism. We conclude that (B.9) is an equality.

We now prove the assertion on the minimal number of generators. First of all we note that  $c_{11} \in (c_{22}, (b-c-1)c_{23}d_{11}^* + (a+b)(c_{13}d_{21}))$ . Indeed, using the three equations in row  $\text{Mon}_\tau$ , and

the equation in line 4 of row  $I_{\tau, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)}$  (the ‘‘determinant’’ equation) in [LLHM, Table 4], we have

$$f \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (a-b)(b-c)(a-c-1)c_{13}d_{21}d_{32} - (a-c)(a-b)(a-c-1)c_{11}d_{22}^*d_{33}^* + \\ + (b-c)(a-c)(b-c-1)c_{33}d_{22}^*d_{11}^* + (a-b-1)(a-b)(b-c)c_{22}d_{11}^*d_{33}^* \in I_{\tau, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)}$$

and, on the other hand,

$$xc_{11}d_{22}^*d_{33}^* + yc_{22}d_{11}^*d_{33}^* + zd_{32} \left( c_{23}d_{11}^* + \frac{a+b}{b-c-1}c_{13}d_{21} \right) + \kappa d_{11}^* \text{Mon}_{\tau,1} = f$$

where  $z \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (b-c-1)(b-c)(a-c-1)$ ,  $\kappa \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -(b-c-1)(b-c)$ ,  $y = \kappa(a-b-1)$  and  $x = -(a-c)(a-b)(a-c-1)$ .

Hence  $I_\gamma = (c_{22}, (b-c-1)c_{23}d_{11}^* + (a+b)(c_{13}d_{21}))$  and we now prove that  $\bar{I}_\gamma$  has dimension 2. We first note that  $\bar{c}_{22} \neq 0$ , as  $c_{22} \notin \mathfrak{m}_{S^{(j)}} \cdot (J + I_{\tau, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)})$  (alternatively, one can check on the explicit equations of [LLHM, Table 4] that  $c_{22} \neq 0$  in  $S^{(j)}/(\mathfrak{m}_{S^{(j)}}^2 + I_{\tau, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)})$ ). Now, if we have a relation of the form  $\bar{c}_2 + \kappa \overline{(a-b)c_{13}d_{21} + (b-c-1)c_{23}d_{11}^*}$  in  $\bar{I}_\gamma$ , for some  $\kappa \in \mathbb{F}^\times$ , this would imply that the natural inclusion  $(c_{22}) \subseteq I_\gamma$  induces an isomorphism of 1-dimensional  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector spaces, and hence that  $(c_{22}) \subseteq I_\gamma$  is in fact an equality. This is impossible since  $(c_{22}) = I_\beta$ ,  $I_\beta \neq I_\gamma$  (e.g. by looking at the number of minimal primes of  $S^{(j)}/I_{\tau, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)}$  above them which has been computed along the proof).  $\square$

**Lemma B.1.** *We have the following equalities in  $S^{(j)}/I_{\tau, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)}$*

- (1)  $-\left(\frac{a-b}{b-c}\right)c_{11}d_{22}^*d_{33}^* - \left(\frac{1-a+b}{1-a+c}\right)d_{11}^*c_{22}d_{33}^* - c_{13}(d_{22}^*d_{31} - d_{21}d_{32}) \equiv 0;$
- (2)  $(b-c)d_{11}^*c_{22} + (a-c)c_{11}d_{22}^* - (b-c)c_{12}d_{21} \equiv 0;$
- (3)  $-\left(\frac{a-c}{b-c}\right)c_{11}d_{22}^*d_{33}^* + \left(\frac{a-b-1}{a-b}\right)d_{11}^*c_{22}d_{33}^* + \left(\frac{b-c-1}{a-b}\right)d_{11}^*c_{23}d_{32} + d_{21}d_{32}c_{13} \equiv 0;$
- (4)  $-\left(\frac{c+1-a}{a-b}\right)c_{33}d_{11}^*d_{22}^* - \left(\frac{-c+a}{-c+b}\right)d_{33}^*c_{11}d_{22}^* - d_{32}(d_{11}^*c_{23} - c_{13}d_{21}) \equiv 0;$
- (5)  $(a-b)d_{33}^*c_{11} + (c+1-b)c_{33}d_{11}^* - (a-b)d_{31}c_{13} \equiv 0;$
- (6)  $-\left(\frac{c+1-b}{a-b}\right)c_{33}d_{11}^*d_{22}^* + \left(\frac{c-a}{c+1-a}\right)d_{33}^*c_{11}d_{22}^* + \left(\frac{a-b-1}{c+1-a}\right)d_{22}^*c_{12}d_{21} + d_{13}d_{21}c_{32} \equiv 0.$

*Proof.* By the remark at the beginning of §B.1.2 it is enough to prove the statements for items (1), (2) and (3).

Let  $\overline{\text{Mon}}_{\tau,1}$ ,  $\overline{\text{Mon}}_{\tau,2}$ ,  $\overline{\text{Mon}}_{\tau,3}$  denote the mod  $p$ -reduction of the first, second and third equations in row  $\text{Mon}_\tau$  of [LLHM, Table 4]. In particular, item (2) is  $\overline{\text{Mon}}_{\tau,3}$ .

Item (1) is deduced from  $\frac{d_{11}^*}{a-c-1}\overline{\text{Mon}}_{\tau,1} \equiv 0$ , using the relations

$$d_{11}^*(c_{23}d_{32} - c_{33}d_{22}^*) \equiv c_{13}d_{21}d_{32} - c_{12}d_{21}d_{33}^* - c_{13}d_{31}d_{22}^* + c_{11}d_{22}^*d_{33}^* + d_{11}^*c_{22}d_{33}^* \\ c_{12}d_{21} \equiv d_{11}^*c_{22} + \frac{(a-b)}{(b-c)}c_{11}d_{22}^*$$

(the first relation comes from the sixth equation in row  $I_\tau^{(j)}$  in [LLHM, Table 4], and the second relation from  $\overline{\text{Mon}}_{\tau,3}$ ).

Item (3) is deduced from  $\frac{d_{22}^*}{a-b} \overline{\text{Mon}_{\tau,2}} \equiv 0$ , using the relations

$$\begin{aligned} d_{22}^*(c_{23}d_{32} - c_{22}d_{33}^*) &\equiv c_{13}d_{21}d_{32} - c_{12}d_{21}d_{33}^* - c_{23}d_{32}d_{11}^* + c_{33}d_{22}^*d_{11}^* + d_{11}^*c_{22}d_{33}^* \\ c_{33}d_{22}^* &\equiv c_{23}d_{32} - \frac{(a-b-1)}{(a-c-1)}c_{22}d_{33}^* \\ c_{12}d_{21} &\equiv d_{11}^*c_{22} + \frac{(a-b)}{(b-c)}c_{11}d_{22}^* \end{aligned}$$

(the first relation comes from the sixth equation in row  $I_{\tau}^{(j)}$  in [LLHM, Table 4], and the second and third relation from  $\overline{\text{Mon}_{\tau,2}}$  and  $\overline{\text{Mon}_{\tau,3}}$ ).  $\square$

B.1.3. *Justification for [LLHM, Table 6].* The justification is a direct computation, performed by exhibiting elements in  $\tilde{I}_{\{w_0, \alpha\beta\}, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tilde{I}_{\tau_{w_0}, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)} \cap \tilde{I}_{\tau_{\alpha\beta}, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)}$  (resp. in  $\tilde{I}_{\{w_0, \beta\alpha\}, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)} = \tilde{I}_{\tau_{w_0}, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)} \cap \tilde{I}_{\tau_{\beta\alpha}, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)}$ ), and taking their mod- $\varpi$  reduction.

We mention that these computation can ultimately be checked by exhibiting a Groebner basis for the ideals  $\tilde{I}_{\tau_{w_0}, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)}$ ,  $\tilde{I}_{\tau_{\alpha\beta}, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)}$  and  $\tilde{I}_{\tau_{\beta\alpha}, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)}$  (for the monomial ordering on  $\tilde{S}^{(j)}$  given by  $c_{11} > c_{12} > c_{13} > d_{21} > c_{21} > c_{22} > c_{23} > c_{31} > d_{31} > d_{32} > c_{32} > c_{33}$ ), and give full detail for the most complicated equations (namely, those involving the structure constants from the monodromy).

*Study of  $\tilde{I}_{\{w_0, \alpha\beta\}, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tilde{I}_{\tau_{w_0}, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)} \cap \tilde{I}_{\tau_{\alpha\beta}, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)}$ .* We claim that the element  $f \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (b_{\tau_{\alpha\beta}, 2} - b_{\tau_{\alpha\beta}, 3})d_{21}c_{22}d_{33}^* - (b_{\tau_{\alpha\beta}, 2} - b_{\tau_{\alpha\beta}, 3} - 1)c_{23}d_{31}d_{22}^* + (b_{\tau_{\alpha\beta}, 2} - b_{\tau_{\alpha\beta}, 1} - 1)c_{21}d_{33}^*d_{22}^*$  (whose mod  $\varpi$ -reduction gives the element in the third line of row  $\alpha\beta\alpha t_{\underline{1}}, \alpha\beta t_{\underline{1}}$  in [LLHM, Table 6]) is in  $\tilde{I}_{\{w_0, \alpha\beta\}, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)}$ . Indeed, on the one hand  $c_{21}, c_{22}, c_{23}$  all belong to  $\tilde{I}_{\tau_{w_0}, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)}$ , and on the other hand

$$f = (c_{22} + pd_{22}^*)(b_{\tau_{\alpha\beta}, 2} - b_{\tau_{\alpha\beta}, 3})d_{33}^*d_{21} - d_{22}^*(\text{Mon}_{\tau_{\alpha\beta}, 2})$$

where  $\text{Mon}_{\tau_{\alpha\beta}, 2}$  denotes the second equation in row  $(\alpha\beta t_{\underline{1}}, \tilde{I}_{\tau, \nabla_{\infty}}^{(j)}, \text{Mon}_{\tau})$  in [LLHM, Table 3].

In a similar fashion, we have the equality

$$\begin{aligned} ((b_{\tau_{w_0}, 2} - b_{\tau_{w_0}, 3})d_{21}d_{32} + d_{31})c_{22} + ((b_{\tau_{w_0}, 3} - b_{\tau_{w_0}, 1} - 1 + p)d_{32} + c_{32})c_{21} + \text{Mon}_{\tau_{w_0}, 2} &= \\ = ((b_{\tau_{w_0}, 2} - b_{\tau_{w_0}, 3})d_{21}d_{32} + d_{31})(c_{22} + pd_{22}^*) + (b_{\tau_{w_0}, 3} - b_{\tau_{w_0}, 1} - 1)(c_{21}d_{32} - c_{31}d_{22}^*) + c_{21}(c_{32} + pd_{32}) \end{aligned}$$

hence obtaining an element in  $\tilde{I}_{\{w_0, \alpha\beta\}, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)}$  which reduces modulo  $p$  to the last equation in row  $(\alpha\beta\alpha t_{\underline{1}}, \alpha\beta t_{\underline{1}})$  of [LLHM, Table 6].

Finally, we check that

$$(B.11) \quad c_{22}(xc_{11} + yd_{11}^*) + z\text{Mon}_{\tau_{w_0}, 1} = (c_{22} + pd_{22}^*)(xc_{11} + yd_{11}^*) + \text{Mon}_{\tau_{\alpha\beta}, 1}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} z \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{(b_{\tau_{\alpha\beta}, 2} - b_{\tau_{\alpha\beta}, 3})}{(b_{\tau_{w_0}, 2} - b_{\tau_{w_0}, 3})}, \\ y \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 1 - (b_{\tau_{\alpha\beta}, 2} - b_{\tau_{\alpha\beta}, 3}) - \frac{(b_{\tau_{\alpha\beta}, 2} - b_{\tau_{\alpha\beta}, 3})}{(b_{\tau_{w_0}, 2} - b_{\tau_{w_0}, 3})}, \\ x \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\frac{1}{p}(b_{\tau_{\alpha\beta}, 2} - b_{\tau_{\alpha\beta}, 3})(b_{\tau_{w_0}, 3} - b_{\tau_{w_0}, 1}) - (b_{\tau_{\alpha\beta}, 3} - b_{\tau_{\alpha\beta}, 1})(b_{\tau_{w_0}, 2} - b_{\tau_{w_0}, 3})}{(b_{\tau_{w_0}, 2} - b_{\tau_{w_0}, 3})} \end{cases}$$

(note that  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  by the genericity assumption on  $\mu_j + \eta_j$  and fact that  $b_{\tau_{\alpha\beta}, i} \equiv b_{\tau_{w_0}, i}$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) and where  $\text{Mon}_{\tau_{w_0}, 1}$  (resp.  $\text{Mon}_{\tau_{\alpha\beta}, 1}$ ) denotes the first equation in row  $(\alpha\beta\alpha t_{\underline{1}}, \tilde{I}_{\tau, \nabla_{\infty}}^{(j)}, \text{Mon}_{\tau})$  (resp.  $(\alpha\beta t_{\underline{1}}, \tilde{I}_{\tau, \nabla_{\infty}}^{(j)}, \text{Mon}_{\tau})$ ) in [LLHM, Table 3]. Observing that  $z \equiv 1$  and  $y \equiv -(b-c)$  modulo  $p$ , equation (B.11) justifies the fourth line in row  $(\alpha\beta\alpha t_{\underline{1}}, \alpha\beta t_{\underline{1}})$  of [LLHM, Table 6].

The computations for the elements in the first two lines in row  $\alpha\beta\alpha t_1, \alpha\beta t_1$  are easier (only involving finite height equations). For instance the element  $c_{23}d_{32} - c_{22}d_{33}^*$  is evidently in  $I_{\{w_0, \alpha\beta\}, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)}$  since on the one hand  $c_{22}, c_{23} \in \tilde{I}_{\tau_{w_0}, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)}$  and on the other hand  $c_{22} + pd_{22}^*, c_{23}d_{32} + pd_{33}^*d_{22}^* \in \tilde{I}_{\tau_{w_0}, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)}$ .  $\square$

*Study of  $\tilde{I}_{\tau_{w_0}, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)} \cap \tilde{I}_{\tau_{\beta\alpha}, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)}$ .* We explicitly construct elements in  $\tilde{I}_{\tau_{w_0}, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)} \cap \tilde{I}_{\tau_{\beta\alpha}, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)}$  and compute their mod  $p$ -reductions.

The elements

$$(B.12) \quad (b_{\tau_{\beta\alpha}, 2} - b_{\tau_{\beta\alpha}, 3} + 1)(c_{32} + pd_{32}) + (b_{\tau_{\beta\alpha}, 1} - b_{\tau_{\beta\alpha}, 3})d_{31}(c_{13}d_{32} - c_{12}d_{33}^*) + \\ + (b_{\tau_{\beta\alpha}, 3} - b_{\tau_{\beta\alpha}, 2})d_{32}(c_{13}d_{31} - c_{11}d_{33}^* + pd_{11}d_{33}^*)$$

and

$$(B.13) \quad (b_{\tau_{\beta\alpha}, 1} - b_{\tau_{\beta\alpha}, 2})(c_{13}d_{31} - c_{11}d_{33}^*) - \text{Mon}_{\tau_{\beta\alpha}}$$

are equal, and, by direct inspection of the finite height equations in [LLHM, Table 3], equation (B.12) defines an element in  $\tilde{I}_{\tau_{w_0}, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)}$  and equation (B.13) defines an element in  $\tilde{I}_{\tau_{\beta\alpha}, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)}$ . This equation reduces mod  $p$  to the fourth line of row  $\alpha\beta\alpha t_1, \beta\alpha t_1$  of [LLHM, Table 6].

Let  $x' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{b_{\tau_{w_0}, 1} - b_{\tau_{w_0}, 2} + p(b_{\tau_{w_0}, 1} - b_{\tau_{w_0}, 3})}{1+p}$ . A direct computation shows that the expressions

$$(B.14) \quad d_{11}^*d_{33}^*(\text{Mon}_{\alpha\beta\alpha, 2}) + x'c_{11}d_{21}d_{33}^*(c_{32} + pd_{32}) + (b_{\tau_{w_0}, 2} - b_{\tau_{w_0}, 3})d_{21}d_{31}(c_{13}d_{32} - c_{12}d_{33}^*) + \\ + \left( x'd_{31}d_{22}^* + (b_{\tau_{w_0}, 1} - b_{\tau_{w_0}, 3})c_{31}d_{22}^* - (b_{\tau_{w_0}, 2} - b_{\tau_{w_0}, 3})d_{21}d_{32} \right) (c_{13}d_{31} - c_{11}d_{33}^* + pd_{11}^*d_{33}^*) + \\ - (b_{\tau_{w_0}, 1} - b_{\tau_{w_0}, 3})d_{31}d_{22}^*(c_{13}c_{31} + pc_{11}d_{33})$$

and

$$(B.15) \quad \left( (b_{\tau_{w_0}, 1} - b_{\tau_{w_0}, 3})(p - c_{11}) + (b_{\tau_{w_0}, 1} - b_{\tau_{w_0}, 3} + 1) \right) (c_{31} + pd_{31})d_{11}^*d_{22}^*d_{33}^* + \\ + \left( x'(d_{31}d_{22}^* - d_{21}c_{32}) - (b_{\tau_{w_0}, 2} - b_{\tau_{w_0}, 3} + px')d_{21}d_{32} \right) (c_{13}c_{31} - c_{11}d_{33}^*) + \\ + x'd_{21}d_{31}(c_{13}c_{32} + pc_{12}d_{33}^*) + (b_{\tau_{w_0}, 2} - b_{\tau_{w_0}, 3} + px')d_{31}(c_{13}d_{21}d_{32} - c_{12}d_{21}d_{33}^* - pd_{11}^*d_{22}^*d_{33}^*)$$

are equal, and, as above, equation (B.14) defines an element in  $\tilde{I}_{\tau_{w_0}, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)}$  and (B.15) defines an element in  $\tilde{I}_{\tau_{\beta\alpha}, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)}$ . The mod  $p$ -reduction of such element justifies the equation in the fifth line of row  $\alpha\beta\alpha t_1, \beta\alpha t_1$  of [LLHM, Table 6].

Finally, let

$$z' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{(b_{\tau_{w_0}, 2} - b_{\tau_{w_0}, 3}) - (b_{\tau_{\beta\alpha}, 2} - b_{\tau_{\beta\alpha}, 3})}{p} + (b_{\tau_{w_0}, 2} - b_{\tau_{w_0}, 3}) \\ z'' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{(b_{\tau_{w_0}, 3} - b_{\tau_{w_0}, 1}) - (b_{\tau_{\beta\alpha}, 3} - b_{\tau_{\beta\alpha}, 1})}{p} + (b_{\tau_{w_0}, 3} - b_{\tau_{w_0}, 1}).$$

Again, a direct computation shows that

$$(z'c_{12}d_{21} + z''c_{11}d_{22}^* - pd_{11}d_{22}^*)(c_{13}d_{31} - c_{11}d_{33}^* + pd_{11}^*d_{33}^*) - (p+1)d_{11}^*d_{33}^*(\text{Mon}_{\tau_{w_0}, 1}) = \\ = (z'c_{12}d_{21} + z''c_{11}d_{22}^* - pd_{11}d_{22}^*)(c_{13}d_{31} - c_{11}d_{33}^*) - d_{11}^*d_{33}^*(\text{Mon}_{\tau_{\beta\alpha}, 1})$$

which, similarly as in the previous cases, defines an element in  $\tilde{I}_{\tau_{w_0}, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)} \cap \tilde{I}_{\tau_{\beta\alpha}, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)}$  whose mod  $p$ -reduction justifies the last equation in row  $\alpha\beta\alpha t_{\underline{1}}, \beta\alpha t_{\underline{1}}$  of [LLHM, Table 6].  $\square$

B.1.4. *Justification for* [LLHM, Table 7]. As for [LLHM, Table 6], the justification is a direct computation (cf. §B.1.3). For  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  we set  $b_{\text{id}, i} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} b_{\tau_{t_{w_0(\eta)}}, i}$ ,  $b_{\alpha, i} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} b_{\tau_{t_{w_0(\eta)}\alpha}, i}$  and  $b_{\beta, i} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} b_{\tau_{t_{w_0(\eta)}\beta}, i}$  for readability in what follows.

*Study of*  $\tilde{I}_{\tau_{t_{w_0(\eta)}}, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)} \cap \tilde{I}_{\tau_{t_{w_0(\eta)}\alpha}, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)}$ . Define  $z \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{b_{\alpha, 1} - b_{\alpha, 2}}{b_{\text{id}, 1} - b_{\text{id}, 2}}$ ,  $y \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} b_{\alpha, 2} - b_{\alpha, 1} - 1 + z$  and

$$x = \frac{1}{p} (b_{\alpha, 1} - b_{\alpha, 3} - z(b_{\text{id}, 1} - b_{\text{id}, 3}))$$

(note that  $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  as  $b_{\text{id}, 1} - b_{\text{id}, 2} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  and that  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  as  $b_{\alpha, 1} - b_{\alpha, 3} - z(b_{\text{id}, 1} - b_{\text{id}, 3}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ). A direct computation shows that the expressions

$$d_{11}^* (xc_{12}e_{23} + yc_{13}c_{22} + z\text{Mon}_{t_{w_0(\eta)}})$$

and

$$xe_{13}(c_{12}d_{21} + pd_{11}^*d_{22}^*) - xc_{12}(e_{13}d_{21} - e_{23}d_{11}^*) + yd_{11}^*c_{13}(c_{22} + pd_{22}) - d_{11}^*\text{Mon}_{t_{w_0(\eta)}\alpha}$$

are equal (where we denoted by  $\text{Mon}_{t_{w_0(\eta)}}$  and  $\text{Mon}_{t_{w_0(\eta)}\alpha}$  the last equation in row  $t_{w_0(\eta)}$  and  $t_{w_0(\eta)}\alpha$  respectively). These expressions define an element in the intersection  $\tilde{I}_{\tau_{t_{w_0(\eta)}}, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)} \cap \tilde{I}_{\tau_{t_{w_0(\eta)}\alpha}, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)}$ , whose mod  $p$  reduction explains the second line in row  $t_{w_0(\eta)}, t_{w_0(\eta)}\alpha$  of [LLHM, Table 7].  $\square$

*Study of*  $\tilde{I}_{\tau_{t_{w_0(\eta)}}, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)} \cap \tilde{I}_{\tau_{t_{w_0(\eta)}\beta}, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)}$ . Define

$$z' = \frac{1}{p} (b_{\beta, 1} - b_{\beta, 2} - (p+1)(b_{\text{id}, 1} - b_{\text{id}, 2}))$$

$$z'' = \frac{1}{p} ((p+1)(b_{\text{id}, 1} - b_{\text{id}, 3}) - (b_{\beta, 1} - b_{\beta, 3}))$$

(note that  $z'$  and  $z''$  are elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  as  $b_{\beta, 1} - b_{\beta, j} - (p+1)(b_{\text{id}, 1} - b_{\text{id}, j}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  for  $j \in \{2, 3\}$ ). Again a direct computation shows that the expressions

$$(z''e_{13}d_{22}^* - pc_{13}d_{22}^* + z'c_{12}c_{23})c_{33} + (p+1)d_{33}^*\text{Mon}_{t_{w_0(\eta)}}$$

and

$$(z''e_{13}d_{22}^* - pc_{13}d_{22}^* + z'c_{12}c_{23})(c_{33} + p) - d_{33}^*\text{Mon}_{t_{w_0(\eta)}\beta}$$

are equal (where again we denoted by  $\text{Mon}_{t_{w_0(\eta)}}$  and  $\text{Mon}_{t_{w_0(\eta)}\beta}$  the last equation in row  $t_{w_0(\eta)}$  and  $t_{w_0(\eta)}\beta$  respectively). These expressions define an element in the intersection  $\tilde{I}_{\tau_{t_{w_0(\eta)}}, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)} \cap \tilde{I}_{\tau_{t_{w_0(\eta)}\beta}, \nabla_{\text{alg}}}^{(j)}$ , whose mod  $p$  reduction explains the second line in row  $t_{w_0(\eta)}, t_{w_0(\eta)}\beta$  of [LLHM, Table 7].  $\square$

B.1.5. *Computations on  $\mathrm{Tor}_1^{S^{(j)}}(\mathbb{F}, (\tilde{S}^{(j)}/\tilde{I}_{T, \nabla_{\mathrm{alg}}}^{(j)}) \otimes \mathbb{F})$ .* We provide details for the computations of the maps between various  $\mathrm{Tor}_1^{S^{(j)}}(\mathbb{F}, (\tilde{S}^{(j)}/\tilde{I}_{T, \nabla_{\mathrm{alg}}}^{(j)}) \otimes \mathbb{F})$  appearing in the proofs of [LLHM, Lemmas 3.30, 3.33, 3.35, 3.37]. In the following computation, given an ideal  $I \subseteq S^{(j)}$  we write elements of  $\mathrm{Tor}_1^{S^{(j)}}(\mathbb{F}, S^{(j)}/I)$  in terms of generators of  $I$ , by virtue of the canonical isomorphism  $\mathrm{Tor}_1^{S^{(j)}}(\mathbb{F}, S^{(j)}/I) \cong I/(\mathfrak{m}_{S^{(j)}} \cdot I)$ .

*Complements in the proof of [LLHM], Lemma 3.30.* We need to prove that the union of the images of the canonical maps

$$(B.16) \quad \mathrm{Tor}_1(\mathbb{F}, (\tilde{S}^{(j)}/(\tilde{I}_{\tau_{\alpha\beta}, \nabla_{\mathrm{alg}}}^{(j)} \cap \tilde{I}_{\tau_{w_0}, \nabla_{\mathrm{alg}}}^{(j)})) \otimes \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_1(\mathbb{F}, (\tilde{S}/\tilde{I}_{\tau_{w_0}, \nabla_{\infty}}^{(j)}) \otimes \mathbb{F})$$

$$(B.17) \quad \mathrm{Tor}_1(\mathbb{F}, (\tilde{S}^{(j)}/(\tilde{I}_{\tau_{\beta\alpha}, \nabla_{\mathrm{alg}}}^{(j)} \cap \tilde{I}_{\tau_{w_0}, \nabla_{\mathrm{alg}}}^{(j)})) \otimes \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_1(\mathbb{F}, (\tilde{S}/\tilde{I}_{\tau_{w_0}, \nabla_{\infty}}^{(j)}) \otimes \mathbb{F})$$

generates a spanning set for  $\mathrm{Tor}_1(\mathbb{F}, (S^{(j)}/I_{\tau_{w_0}, \nabla_{\infty}}^{(j)}) \otimes \mathbb{F})$ , e.g. using [LLHM, Table 3], the set given by the images of the elements

$$\begin{aligned} & c_{21}, c_{22}, c_{23}, c_{32}, c_{33} \\ & c_{13}d_{32} - c_{12}d_{33}^*, c_{13}d_{31} - c_{11}d_{33}^*, c_{13}c_{31} \\ & (b-c)c_{21}d_{12} + (c-a)c_{11}d_{22}^*, c_{31} \end{aligned}$$

(where  $(a, b, c) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} s_j^{-1}(\mu_j + \eta_j) - (1, 1, 1) \equiv b_{\tau_{w_0}}$  modulo  $\varpi$ ). We immediately see from row  $\alpha\beta\alpha t_{\underline{1}}, \alpha\beta t_{\underline{1}}$  in [LLHM, Table 6] that the elements  $c_{32}, c_{33}, c_{13}c_{31}, c_{13}d_{32} - c_{12}d_{33}^*$  are in the image of (B.16). Similarly the elements  $c_{21}, c_{22}, c_{23}$  are in the image of (B.17).

Writing

$$c_{13}d_{31} - c_{11}d_{33}^* = \underbrace{c_{13}d_{21}d_{32} - c_{12}d_{21}d_{33}^* - c_{13}d_{31}d_{22}^* + c_{11}d_{22}^*d_{33}^*}_{\in \text{image of (B.17)}} - d_{21} \underbrace{(c_{13}d_{32} - c_{12}d_{33}^*)}_{\in \text{image of (B.16)}}$$

we conclude that  $c_{13}d_{31} - c_{11}d_{33}^*$  is in the  $\mathbb{F}$ -span of the union of the images of (B.16),(B.17).

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} (b-c)c_{21}d_{12} + (c-a)c_{11}d_{22}^* &= \underbrace{(b-c)c_{12}d_{21} + \bar{x}c_{11}c_{22} - (a-c)c_{11}d_{22}^* - (b-c)c_{22}d_{11}^*}_{\in \text{image of (B.16)}} + \\ & - (\bar{x}c_{11} - (b-c)d_{11}^*) \underbrace{c_{22}}_{\in \text{image of (B.17)}} \end{aligned}$$

so that  $(b-c)c_{21}d_{12} + (c-a)c_{11}d_{22}^*$  is in the  $\mathbb{F}$ -span of the union of the images of (B.16),(B.17).

Finally, note that  $c_{22}(d_{21}d_{32}), c_{22}(d_{21}c_{32}), c_{22}(d_{31}d_{22}^*), c_{21}(d_{32}d_{22}^*) \in I_{\tau_{w_0}, \nabla_{\mathrm{alg}}}^{(j)} \cdot \mathfrak{m}_{\tilde{S}^{(j)}}$  so that the last equation in row  $\alpha\beta\alpha t_{\underline{1}}, \alpha\beta t_{\underline{1}}$  in [LLHM, Table 6] is sent by the map (B.16) to  $(a-c+1)c_{31}(d_{22}^*)^2$  and in particular  $c_{31}$  is in the image of the map (B.16).  $\square$

*Complements in the proof of [LLHM], Lemma 3.33.* The argumen is similar to that for [LLHM, Lemma 3.30]. Consider the natural maps

$$(B.18) \quad \mathrm{Tor}_1^S(\mathbb{F}, (\tilde{S}/(\tilde{I}_{\tau_{\alpha t_{w_0}(\eta)}, \nabla_{\infty}} \cap \tilde{I}_{\tau_{t_{w_0}(\eta)}, \nabla_{\infty}}})) \otimes \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_1^S(\mathbb{F}, (\tilde{S}/\tilde{I}_{\tau_{t_{w_0}(\eta)}, \nabla_{\infty}}}) \otimes \mathbb{F})$$

$$(B.19) \quad \mathrm{Tor}_1^S(\mathbb{F}, (\tilde{S}/(\tilde{I}_{\tau_{t_{w_0}(\eta)}, \nabla_{\infty}} \cap \tilde{I}_{\tau_{\beta t_{w_0}(\eta)}, \nabla_{\infty}}})) \otimes \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_1^S(\mathbb{F}, (\tilde{S}/\tilde{I}_{\tau_{t_{w_0}(\eta)}, \nabla_{\infty}}}) \otimes \mathbb{F}).$$



A spanning set for  $\mathrm{Tor}_1^S(\mathbb{F}, (\tilde{S}/\tilde{I}_{\tau_{w_0(\eta)}, \nabla_\infty}) \otimes \mathbb{F})$  (using [LLHM, Table 7]) is given by the images of the elements

$$\begin{aligned} & d_{21}, d_{32}, c_{32}, e_{33}, c_{33}, d_{32}, e_{23}, c_{22} \\ & (a-c)e_{23}d_{22}^* - (a-b)c_{12}c_{23}. \end{aligned}$$

We immediately see from row  $t_{w_0(\eta)}, t_{w_0(\eta)}\alpha$  in [LLHM, Table 7] that the elements  $d_{32}, c_{32}, e_{33}, c_{33}, d_{32}$ , are in the image of (B.18), and, from row  $t_{w_0(\eta)}, t_{w_0(\eta)}\beta$ , that the element  $d_{21}$  is in the image of (B.19).

Moreover, noting that  $c_{12}d_{21}, e_{13}d_{21}, c_{13}c_{22}, c_{12}e_{23} \in \mathfrak{m}_{S^{(j)}} \cdot I_{\tau_{t_{w_0(\eta)}, \nabla_{\mathrm{alg}}}}^{(j)}$  we conclude that (B.18) maps the elements  $c_{12}d_{21} - c_{22}d_{11}^*, e_{13}d_{21} - e_{23}d_{11}^*$  and  $(a-b)(c_{13}c_{22} - c_{12}c_{23}) - \bar{x}c_{12}e_{23} + (a-c)e_{23}d_{22}^*$  to  $c_{22}d_{11}^*, e_{23}d_{11}^*$  and  $(a-c)e_{23}d_{22}^* - (a-b)c_{12}c_{23}$  respectively.  $\square$

*Complements in the proof of [LLHM], Lemma 3.35.* We check that the union of the images of the canonical maps

$$(B.20) \quad \mathrm{Tor}_1^S\left(\mathbb{F}, S/(\tilde{I}_{\tau_{\alpha\beta}, \nabla_{\mathrm{alg}}}^{(j)} \cap \tilde{I}_{\tau_{w_0}, \nabla_\infty}^{(j)}, p) \cap (\tilde{I}_{\tau_{w_0}, \nabla_{\mathrm{alg}}}^{(j)} \cap \tilde{I}_{\tau_{\beta\alpha}, \nabla_\infty}^{(j)}, p)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_1^S(\mathbb{F}, S/I_\Lambda^{(j)})$$

$$(B.21) \quad \mathrm{Tor}_1^S(\mathbb{F}, S/(\tilde{I}_{\tau_{\mathrm{id}}, \nabla_{\mathrm{alg}}}^{(j)}, p)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_1^S(\mathbb{F}, S/I_\Lambda^{(j)})$$

generates a spanning set for the target, i.e. by [LLHM, Lemma 3.34], the set given by the image of the elements  $c_{33}, d_{32}c_{23} - c_{22}d_{33}^*, c_{22}, c_{11}d_{33}^* - c_{13}d_{31}$  of  $I_\Lambda^{(j)}$ . From the last row of [LLHM, Table 6] we immediately see that the elements  $c_{33}, d_{32}c_{23} - c_{22}d_{33}^*$  are in the image of the map (B.20). Moreover, by [LLHM, Table 4], the image of the map (B.21) contains the elements

$$\begin{aligned} & (a-c-1)(c_{23}d_{32} - c_{33}d_{22}^*) - (a-b-1)c_{22}d_{33}^* \\ & (a-b)(c_{13}d_{31} - c_{11}d_{33}^*) - (b-c-1)c_{33}d_{11}^*. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, as  $a-b \neq 0 \neq b-c$ , the union of the images of (B.20),(B.21) contains the elements  $c_{13}d_{31} - c_{11}d_{33}^*$  and  $c_{22}$ .  $\square$

*Complements in the proof of [LLHM], Lemma 3.37.* We check that the union of the images of the canonical maps

$$(B.22) \quad \mathrm{Tor}_1^{S^{(j)}}(\mathbb{F}, S^{(j)}/(\tilde{I}_{\tau_{t_{w_0(\eta)}\alpha}, \nabla_\infty}^{(j)} \cap \tilde{I}_{\tau_{t_{w_0(\eta)}}, \nabla_\infty}^{(j)}, p) \cap (\tilde{I}_{\tau_{t_{w_0(\eta)}}, \nabla_\infty}^{(j)} \cap \tilde{I}_{\tau_{t_{w_0(\eta)}\beta}, \nabla_\infty}^{(j)}, p)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_1^{S^{(j)}}(\mathbb{F}, S^{(j)}/I_\Lambda^{(j)})$$

$$(B.23) \quad \mathrm{Tor}_1^{S^{(j)}}(\mathbb{F}, (\tilde{S}/\tilde{I}_{\tau_{t_{w_0(\eta)}}, \nabla_\infty}) \otimes \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_1^{S^{(j)}}(\mathbb{F}, S^{(j)}/I_\Lambda^{(j)})$$

is a spanning set for the target. By [LLHM, Lemma 3.34] a spanning set for the target is given by

$$(B.24) \quad c_{32}, e_{33}, d_{31}, d_{21}d_{32},$$

$$(B.25) \quad e_{23}, (a-b)c_{12}c_{23} - (a-c)e_{13}d_{22}^*, c_{33}$$

$$(B.26) \quad c_{23}d_{32}, c_{22}, c_{12}d_{21}.$$

By the last row in [LLHM, Table 7] the elements in (B.25) are immediately checked to be in the image of (B.22). By row  $t_{w_0(\eta)}w_0$  in [LLHM, Table 5], and noting further that  $c_{13}c_{22}, c_{13}d_{31} \in \mathfrak{m}_{S^{(j)}}I_\Lambda^{(j)}$  we immediately see that the elements in (B.25) are in the image of (B.23).

As  $c_{23}d_{32} - c_{33}d_{22}^*$  is in the image of (B.22) by the last row of [LLHM, Table 7], we conclude from the above that  $c_{23}d_{32}$  is in the linear span of the union of the images of (B.22) and (B.23). Moreover, as  $(c-a-1)(c_{23}d_{32} - c_{33}d_{22}^*) + (a-b)c_{22}d_{33}^*$  is in the image of (B.22) by row  $t_{w_0(\eta)}w_0$

in [LLHM, Table 5], we conclude by the above  $c_{22}$  is also in the linear span of the union of the images of (B.22) and (B.23). Finally, as  $c_{12}d_{21} - c_{22}d_1^*$  is in the image of (B.22) by the last row of [LLHM, Table 7], we conclude from the above that  $c_{12}d_{21}$  is also in the linear span of the union of the images of (B.22) and (B.23).  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [LLHLM20] Daniel Le, Bao Viet Le Hung, Brandon Levin, and Stefano Morra, *Serre weights and Breuil's lattice conjectures in dimension three*, Forum Math. Pi **8** (2020), e5, 135. MR 4079756
- [LLHM] Daniel Le, Bao Viet Le Hung, and Stefano Morra,  *$K_1$ -invariants in the mod  $p$  cohomology of  $U(3)$  arithmetic manifolds*, <https://www.math.univ-paris13.fr/~morra/K1short.pdf>, preprint (2024).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, 150 N. UNIVERSITY STREET, WEST LAFAYETTE, IN 47907-2067

*Email address:* `ledt@purdue.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY, 2033 SHERIDAN ROAD, EVANSTON, IL 60208, USA

*Email address:* `lhvietbao@googlemail.com`

LAGA, UMR 7539, CNRS, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS 13 - SORBONNE PARIS CITÉ, UNIVERSITÉ DE PARIS 8, 99 AVENUE JEAN BAPTISTE CLÉMENT, 93430 VILLETANEUSE, FRANCE

*Email address:* `morra@math.univ-paris13.fr`