

A^1 -homotopy invariance in spectral algebraic geometry

Adeel Khan
Universität Duisburg-Essen

Alpine Algebraic and Applied Topology Conference
Saas, 15–21 August 2016

Brave new algebra

Definition

An E_∞ -ring spectrum is a commutative monoid in the symmetric monoidal $(\infty, 1)$ -category of spectra.

Any E_∞ -ring spectrum R gives rise to a *multiplicative* generalized cohomology theory on spaces.

Examples of E_∞ -ring spectra

- The **sphere spectrum** \mathbb{S} is the unit of the monoidal structure, and admits a canonical E_∞ -ring structure.
- For any ordinary commutative ring R , the **Eilenberg–MacLane spectrum** of R is an E_∞ -ring spectrum (which we will denote again by R).
- The **complex K-theory spectrum** KU , and its connective version ku , are E_∞ -ring spectra.

Algebraic K-theory

Let R be an \mathbf{E}_∞ -ring spectrum.

Write $K^{\text{cn}}(R)$ for the connective algebraic K-theory spectrum of R .

Write $K(R)$ for the nonconnective K-theory spectrum of R , defined by the Bass construction.

Question

How to compute the algebraic K-theory of the sphere spectrum?

This is a very difficult problem with many potential applications. Ausoni–Rognes have some important conjectures about it.

We propose to study a variant of K-theory that we can say more about, and which is related to algebraic K-theory by a spectral sequence.

Topological homotopy invariant K-theory

Let $R\{t\}$ denote the free \mathbf{E}_∞ - R -algebra on one generator t .

Explicitly:

$$R\{t\} = \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} R^{\otimes m} / \Sigma_m.$$

Remark

There is also a polynomial \mathbf{E}_∞ - R -algebra $R[t]$, whose homotopy groups are as expected: $\pi_i(R[t]) = \pi_i(R)[t]$.

However, $R\{t\}$ and $R[t]$ look very different outside characteristic zero.

As n varies, the R -algebras $R\{t\}^{\otimes n}$ can be given a canonical structure of simplicial object, which we denote $\Delta_{R,\bullet}$.

Definition

The **topological homotopy invariant K-theory spectrum** of R is defined as

$$TKH(R) := |K(\Delta_{R,\bullet})|,$$

i.e. the geometric realization of the simplicial spectrum $K(\Delta_{R,\bullet})$.

TKH is the “brave new” analogue of Weibel’s homotopy invariant K-theory KH of commutative rings.

The difference between KH and TKH is roughly analogous to the difference between HH and THH .

Nil-invariance of TKH

Theorem (-)

Let R be a **connective** \mathbf{E}_∞ -ring spectrum. Then the canonical morphism of spectra

$$TKH(R) \xrightarrow{\sim} TKH(\pi_0(R))$$

is invertible.

This is obtained as a consequence of a much stronger result about Morel–Voevodsky motivic homotopy theory in spectral algebraic geometry.

Remark

Nil-invariance also holds for another variant of K-theory, namely G -theory (= K-theory of coherent modules). This follows from C. Barwick's Theorem of the Heart.

A spectral sequence

The skeletal filtration on the simplicial object $K(\Delta_{R,\bullet})$ gives rise to:

Proposition (-)

There is a right half-plane convergent spectral sequence

$$E_1^{p,q} = N^{(p)}K_q(R) \Rightarrow TKH_{p+q}(R).$$

$N^{(p)}$ is defined iteratively with $N(K_q)$ denoting the functor $R \mapsto \text{Ker}(K_q(R\{t\}) \rightarrow K_q(R))$.

Question

Does this degenerate with \mathbf{Z}/ℓ coefficients, when ℓ is invertible in R , so that

$$K_q(R, \mathbf{Z}/\ell) = TKH_q(R, \mathbf{Z}/\ell)?$$

Spectral algebraic geometry (a.k.a. brave new algebraic geometry)

Spectral schemes

Spectral algebraic geometry is a version of algebraic geometry where the basic building blocks, commutative rings, are replaced by **connective** \mathbf{E}_∞ -ring spectra.

Hence an *affine spectral scheme* is of the form $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ for some connective \mathbf{E}_∞ -ring spectrum R .

Spectral schemes are then obtained by gluing affine spectral schemes along a natural notion of Zariski open immersion.

The underlying classical scheme

Every spectral scheme S has an underlying classical scheme S_{cl} .

For $S = \text{Spec}(R)$, $S_{\text{cl}} = \text{Spec}(\pi_0(R))$.

One thinks of S as a *nilpotent thickening* of S_{cl} .

Morel–Voevodsky homotopy theory

The classical motivic homotopy category

Let S be a (classical) scheme. The S^1 -stable motivic homotopy category $\mathbf{SH}(S)$ is built out of **smooth schemes** over S by imposing a Mayer–Vietoris condition and **homotopy invariance** with respect to the affine line \mathbf{A}^1 .

Étale and smooth morphisms in spectral AG

Given a morphism of connective \mathbf{E}_∞ -ring spectra $A \rightarrow B$, we have the **cotangent complex** $\mathbf{L}_{B/A}$, a connective B -module, which controls the deformation theory of the A -algebra B .

Definition

*The morphism $A \rightarrow B$ is **étale** (TAQ-étale) if it is of finite presentation and $\mathbf{L}_{B/A} = 0$.*

*The morphism $A \rightarrow B$ is **smooth** (TAQ-smooth) if it is of finite presentation and $\mathbf{L}_{B/A}$ is a finitely generated projective module (i.e. a direct summand of $B^{\oplus k}$ for some k).*

Proposition (Toën–Vezzosi)

A morphism $A \rightarrow B$ is étale if and only if it is flat and induces an étale morphism $\pi_0(A) \rightarrow \pi_0(B)$ of commutative rings.

The analogue for smooth morphisms fails!

Example

*The morphism of connective \mathbf{E}_∞ -ring spectra $\mathbf{F}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_p\{t\}$ is smooth, but $\mathbf{F}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_p[t]$ is *not*.*

Conceptually, the reason is the existence of nontrivial Steenrod operations in characteristic $p > 0$.

Hence we have another version of smoothness for spectral schemes:

Definition

A morphism $A \rightarrow B$ is **\flat -smooth** if it is flat, and induces a smooth morphism $\pi_0(A) \rightarrow \pi_0(B)$ of commutative rings.

We let Sm/S denote the category of smooth spectral S -schemes.

We let Sm^{\flat}/S denote the category of \flat -smooth spectral S -schemes.

Homotopy invariance in spectral AG

Corresponding to our two notions of smoothness, we also have two affine lines.

Definition

The **affine line** over $S = \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ is the spectral scheme $\mathbf{A}_S^1 = \operatorname{Spec}(R\{t\})$.

Definition

The **flat affine line** over $S = \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ is the spectral scheme $\mathbf{A}_{b,S}^1 = \operatorname{Spec}(R[t])$.

\mathbf{A}_S^1 lives in $\operatorname{Sm}/_S$, while $\mathbf{A}_{b,S}^1$ lives in Sm_b^1/S .

Motivic homotopy theory in spectral AG

The two pairs $(\mathrm{Sm}/_S, \mathbf{A}_S^1)$ and $(\mathrm{Sm}^b/_S, \mathbf{A}_{b,S}^1)$ give rise to two different candidates for the motivic homotopy category over S :

$\mathbf{SH}^{\mathrm{brave}}(S)$ = brave new motivic homotopy category

$\mathbf{SH}^b(S)$ = cowardly old motivic homotopy category

When S is a classical scheme, $\mathbf{SH}^b(S)$ recovers the usual $\mathbf{SH}(S)$.

The localization theorem

We have the following analogue of an important theorem of Morel–Voevodsky in classical motivic homotopy theory:

Theorem (-)

Let S be a spectral scheme, $i : Z \hookrightarrow S$ a closed immersion, and $j : U \hookrightarrow S$ the complementary open immersion. Then there is a short exact sequence of stable presentable $(\infty, 1)$ -categories

$$\mathbf{SH}^{\text{brave}}(Z) \rightarrow \mathbf{SH}^{\text{brave}}(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{SH}^{\text{brave}}(U).$$

I do not know whether this holds for the flat version $\mathbf{SH}^b(S)$.

The localization theorem has the following immediate consequence:

Corollary

Let S be a spectral scheme. Then there is a canonical equivalence of stable $(\infty, 1)$ -categories

$$\mathbf{SH}^{\text{brave}}(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{SH}^{\text{brave}}(S_{\text{cl}}).$$

We return to our nil-invariance result for *TKH*:

Theorem (-)

For each connective \mathbf{E}_∞ -ring spectrum R , there is a canonical isomorphism of spectra

$$TKH(R) \xrightarrow{\sim} TKH(\pi_0(R)).$$

This theorem follows from the previous corollary, after proving that *TKH* satisfies \mathbf{A}^1 -homotopy invariance and a Mayer–Vietoris condition, and that it is in fact representable by the group completion of the \mathbf{A}_∞ -monoid $\bigsqcup_{n \geq 0} BGL_n$ (over quasi-compact quasi-separated spectral base schemes).

Generalized motivic cohomology theories

More generally, we obtain nil-invariance for any cohomology theory E that is representable in $\mathbf{SH}^{\text{brave}}$ (as a cartesian section).

Questions

1. What is the analogue of the condition of regularity for R , that ensures $K(R) = TKH(R)$?
2. Is there is a comparison isomorphism $TKH(R, \mathbf{Z}/\ell) = K(R, \mathbf{Z}/\ell)$ when ℓ is invertible in R ?
3. Are there any \mathbf{A}^1 -homotopy invariant cohomology theories of \mathbf{E}_∞ -ring spectra that arise in nature?
4. Motivic cohomology of \mathbf{E}_∞ -ring spectra?