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- Two potential approaches leading to open problems on rank-metric codes ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$
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## Part

PROBLEM INTRODUCTION
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Can we improve asymptotically?

## Part I

FIRST APPROACH

Hamming metric, Prange decoder



Hamming metric, PRANGE DECODER


Idea: $\hat{\mathbf{e}}^{T}:=\left(\frac{\mathbf{H}}{\text { something }}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(\frac{\mathbf{s}^{T}}{\text { something else }}\right)$, try many times until $w t_{H}(\hat{\mathbf{e}}) \leq t \quad \rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{e}}=\mathbf{e}$


Idea: $\hat{\mathbf{e}}^{T}:=\left(\frac{\mathbf{H}}{\text { something }}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(\frac{\mathbf{s}^{T}}{\text { something else }}\right)$, try many times until $w t_{H}(\hat{\mathbf{e}}) \leq t \quad \rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{e}}=\mathbf{e}$


One answer: LEFT: rows that are orthogonal to many $\mathbf{e}^{\prime}$ s with $w t_{H}(\mathbf{e}) \leq t$,


RIGHT: 0's.


LEFT: rows that are orthogonal to many $\mathbf{e}^{\prime}$ s with $w t_{H}(\mathbf{e}) \leq t$,


RIGHT: 0's.

Try space spanned by $k$ standard basis vectors $v_{i}$


LEFT: rows that are orthogonal to many $\mathbf{e}^{\prime}$ s with $w t_{H}(\mathbf{e}) \leq t$,


RIGHT: 0's.

Try space spanned by $k$ standard basis vectors $v_{i} \rightarrow\binom{n}{k}$ choices, $\binom{n-t}{k}$ successful if all $i \in \operatorname{Support}(\mathbf{e})^{\text {c }}$


LEFT: rows that are orthogonal to many $\mathbf{e}^{\prime}$ s with $w t_{R}(\mathbf{e}) \leq t$,

RIGHT: 0's.


LEFT: rows that are orthogonal to many $\mathbf{e}^{\prime}$ s with $w t_{R}(\mathbf{e}) \leq t$,


RIGHT: 0's.

Try space spanned by $k$ indep. vectors $v_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$


LEFT: rows that are orthogonal to many $\mathbf{e}$ 's with $w t_{R}(\mathbf{e}) \leq t$,


RIGHT: 0's.

Try space spanned by $k$ indep. vectors $v_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n} \quad \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{l}n \\ k\end{array}\right]_{q}$ choices, $\left[\begin{array}{c}n-t \\ k\end{array}\right]_{q}$ successful if all $v_{i} \in \operatorname{RowSpan}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}(\mathbf{e})^{\perp}$

BOUNDS TO THIS APPROACH



For generality: transform into $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-linear problem using $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-basis $\Gamma$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}$

$=$
$\mathbf{s}^{T}$

For generality: transform into $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-linear problem using $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-basis $\Gamma$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}$
(Additional step: can use parity-check matrix of $\mathcal{C}+\langle\mathbf{e}\rangle$ instead of $\mathcal{C}$ )


Test set $\mathcal{T}=\left\{\left(A_{i}, b_{i}\right) \mid i \in \mathcal{I}\right\}$.


Test set $\mathcal{T}=\left\{\left(A_{i}, b_{i}\right) \mid i \in \mathcal{I}\right\}$. Expected number of pairs to try is $N_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{e}):=\frac{|\mathcal{T}|}{\left|\left\{\left(A_{i}, b_{i}\right) \in \mathcal{T} \mid A_{i} \mathbf{e}^{T}=b_{i}\right\}\right|}$

Test set $\mathcal{T}=\left\{\left(A_{i}, b_{i}\right) \mid i \in \mathcal{I}\right\}$. Expected number of pairs to try is $N_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{e}):=\frac{|\mathcal{T}|}{\left|\left\{\left(A_{i}, b_{i}\right) \in \mathcal{T} \mid A_{i} \mathbf{e}^{T}=b_{i}\right\}\right|}$

Test set $\mathcal{T}=\left\{\left(A_{i}, b_{i}\right) \mid i \in \mathcal{I}\right\}$. Expected number of pairs to try is $N_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{e}):=\frac{|\mathcal{T}|}{\left|\left\{\left(A_{i}, b_{i}\right) \in \mathcal{T} \mid A_{i} \mathbf{e}^{T}=b_{i}\right\}\right|}$ Average of $N_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{e})$ over all $\mathbf{e}^{\prime}$ s of weight $t$ :

Test set $\mathcal{T}=\left\{\left(A_{i}, b_{i}\right) \mid i \in \mathcal{I}\right\}$. Expected number of pairs to try is $N_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{e}):=\frac{|\mathcal{T}|}{\left|\left\{\left(A_{i}, b_{i}\right) \in \mathcal{T} \mid A_{i} \mathbf{e}^{T}=b_{i}\right\}\right|}$ Average of $N_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{e})$ over all e's of weight $t: \quad N_{\mathcal{T}}=$ expected run-time/complexity.

Test set $\mathcal{T}=\left\{\left(A_{i}, b_{i}\right) \mid i \in \mathcal{I}\right\}$. Expected number of pairs to try is $N_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{e}):=\frac{|\mathcal{T}|}{\left|\left\{\left(A_{i}, b_{i}\right) \in \mathcal{T} \mid A_{i} \mathbf{e}^{T}=b_{i}\right\}\right|}$ Average of $N_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{e})$ over all e's of weight $t: \quad N_{\mathcal{T}}=$ expected run-time/complexity.

## Lemma

$$
N_{\mathcal{T}} \geq \frac{|\{\mathbf{e} \mid w t(\mathbf{e})=t\}|}{\max _{(A, b) \in \mathcal{T}}\left|\left\{\mathbf{e} \mid w t(\mathbf{e})=t, A \mathbf{e}^{T}=b\right\}\right|}
$$

Test set $\mathcal{T}=\left\{\left(A_{i}, b_{i}\right) \mid i \in \mathcal{I}\right\}$. Expected number of pairs to try is $N_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{e}):=\frac{|\mathcal{T}|}{\left|\left\{\left(A_{i}, b_{i}\right) \in \mathcal{T} \mid A_{i} \mathbf{e}^{T}=b_{i}\right\}\right|}$ Average of $N_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{e})$ over all e's of weight $t: \quad N_{\mathcal{T}}=$ expected run-time/complexity.

## Lemma

$$
N_{\mathcal{T}} \geq \frac{|\{\mathbf{e} \mid w t(\mathbf{e})=t\}|}{\max _{(A, b) \in \mathcal{T}}\left|\left\{\mathbf{e} \mid w t(\mathbf{e})=t, A \mathbf{e}^{T}=b\right\}\right|}
$$

## Open Problem

v.1: Let $A \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{m k \times m n}, b \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{m k}$. Give an upper-bound on the maximum cardinality of

$$
\left\{\mathbf{e} \mid w t_{R}(\mathbf{e})=t, A \mathbf{e}^{T}=b\right\}
$$

Test set $\mathcal{T}=\left\{\left(A_{i}, b_{i}\right) \mid i \in \mathcal{I}\right\}$. Expected number of pairs to try is $N_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{e}):=\frac{|\mathcal{T}|}{\left|\left\{\left(A_{i}, b_{i}\right) \in \mathcal{T} \mid A_{i} \mathbf{e}^{T}=b_{i}\right\}\right|}$ Average of $N_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{e})$ over all $\mathbf{e}$ 's of weight $t: \quad N_{\mathcal{T}}=$ expected run-time/complexity.

## Lemma

$$
N_{\mathcal{T}} \geq \frac{|\{\mathbf{e} \mid w t(\mathbf{e})=t\}|}{\max _{(A, b) \in \mathcal{T}}\left|\left\{\mathbf{e} \mid w t(\mathbf{e})=t, A \mathbf{e}^{T}=b\right\}\right|}
$$

## Open Problem

v.2: Let $\mathcal{S}=\{x \mid A x=b\} \subset \mathbb{F}_{q}^{m n}$ be a translated subspace/coset with $|\mathcal{S}|=q^{m(n-k)}$. Give an upper-bound on the maximum cardinality of

$$
\mathcal{S} \cap\left\{\mathbf{e} \mid w t_{R}(\mathbf{e})=t\right\} .
$$

Test set $\mathcal{T}=\left\{\left(A_{i}, b_{i}\right) \mid i \in \mathcal{I}\right\}$. Expected number of pairs to try is $N_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{e}):=\frac{|\mathcal{T}|}{\left|\left\{\left(A_{i}, b_{i}\right) \in \mathcal{T} \mid A_{i} \mathbf{e}^{T}=b_{i}\right\}\right|}$ Average of $N_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{e})$ over all $\mathbf{e}^{\prime}$ s of weight $t: \quad N_{\mathcal{T}}=$ expected run-time/complexity.

## Lemma

$$
N_{\mathcal{T}} \geq \frac{|\{\mathbf{e} \mid w t(\mathbf{e})=t\}|}{\max _{(A, b) \in \mathcal{T}}\left|\left\{\mathbf{e} \mid w t(\mathbf{e})=t, A \mathbf{e}^{T}=b\right\}\right|}
$$

## Open Problem

v.3: Let $\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{D}(+v) \subset \mathbb{F}_{q}^{m \times n}$ be a (translated) matrix code with $|\mathcal{S}|=q^{m(n-k)}$. Give an upper-bound on

$$
W_{t}(\mathcal{S}):=\left|\left\{X \in \mathcal{S} \mid w t_{R}(X)=t\right\}\right|
$$

in terms of $q, m, n, k, t$ that holds for all $\mathcal{S}$.

Test set $\mathcal{T}=\left\{\left(A_{i}, b_{i}\right) \mid i \in \mathcal{I}\right\}$. Expected number of pairs to try is $N_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{e}):=\frac{|\mathcal{T}|}{\left|\left\{\left(A_{i}, b_{i}\right) \in \mathcal{T} \mid A_{i} \mathbf{e}^{T}=b_{i}\right\}\right|}$ Average of $N_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{e})$ over all $\mathbf{e}^{\prime}$ s of weight $t: \quad N_{\mathcal{T}}=$ expected run-time/complexity.

## Lemma

$$
N_{\mathcal{T}} \geq \frac{|\{\mathbf{e} \mid w t(\mathbf{e})=t\}|}{\max _{(A, b) \in \mathcal{T}}\left|\left\{\mathbf{e} \mid w t(\mathbf{e})=t, A \mathbf{e}^{T}=b\right\}\right|}
$$

## Open Problem

v.3: Let $\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{D}(+v) \subset \mathbb{F}_{q}^{m \times n}$ be a (translated) matrix code with $|\mathcal{S}|=q^{m(n-k)}$. Give an upper-bound on

$$
W_{t}(\mathcal{S}):=\left|\left\{X \in \mathcal{S} \mid w t_{R}(X)=t\right\}\right|
$$

in terms of $q, m, n, k, t$ that holds for all $\mathcal{S}$.

Any upper bound on $W_{t}(\mathcal{S})$ will imply a lower bound on the complexity possible with this approach.
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3. Check if solution $\mathbf{x}$ has $w t_{R} \leq t$.
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[^1]
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For some trivial magic steps (slow \& large $p$ or fast \& small $p$ ), we get GRS up to polynomial factor.
Can we do better?

## Open Problem (Magic Step)

Let $(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{s}, t)$ be an instance of RSDP with unique solution $\mathbf{e}$ satisfying $w t_{R}(\mathbf{e})=t$. Let $\mathbf{x}$ be a solution to $\mathbf{H} \mathbf{x}^{T}=\mathbf{s}^{T}$ (without weight constraint).

Give an algorithm to decide if the intersection

$$
\operatorname{RowSpan}(\mathbf{x}) \cap \operatorname{RowSpan}(\mathbf{e})
$$

is non-trivial $(\operatorname{dim}>0)$ with probability $\geq p$, for some fixed $p \in[0,1]$.

## Open Problem (Weight Upper-Bound)

Let $\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{D}(+v) \subset \mathbb{F}_{q}^{m \times n}$ be a (translated) matrix code with $|\mathcal{S}|=q^{m(n-k)}$. Give an upper-bound on

$$
W_{t}(\mathcal{S}):=\left|\left\{X \in \mathcal{S} \mid w t_{R}(X)=t\right\}\right|
$$

in terms of $q, m, n, k, t$ that holds for all $\mathcal{S}$.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Official terminology by V. Weger (2023)

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Official terminology by V. Weger (2023)
    Hugo Sauerbier Couvée (TUM)

