A Hydrostatic Dynamical Core using Higher-Order Structure-Preserving Finite Elements

#### **Chris Eldred**, Thomas Dubos, Evaggelos Kritsikis, Daniel Le Roux and Fabrice Voitus

October 26th, 2016



## Introduction

ъ

## Broad Research Overview: Dynamical Cores

- Solve the Euler equations for a rotating fluid
- **2** Limited computational resources  $\rightarrow$  truncation scale
- **③** Dynamical core: "resolved" adiabatic, inviscid part of the flow



## Key Principle of Numerical Modeling

- Not solving arbitrary PDEs- physical system
- 2 No analytic solutions
- $\textcircled{O} Differential equations \rightarrow algebraic equations$
- O algebraic solutions have the same properties as the differential (true) solutions?



3.1

### (Incomplete) List of Desirable Model Properties (NLSWE)



## Motivating science questions

- For canonical, finite-dimensional Hamiltonian systems, structure-preserving numerics are essential to obtain correct long-term statistical behavior
- The equations of (moist) adiabatic, inviscid atmospheric dynamics are a non-canonical, infinite-dimensional Hamiltonian system
- To what extent does (1) hold for models of the atmosphere, given (2), especially since the real atmosphere has forcing and dissipation that makes it non-Hamiltonian?
- Studying these questions requires a structure-preserving atmospheric model!

글 에 글 에 글 이 글

## Structure Preservation

## What is structure-preservation?

#### Obtaining these properties

 Quasi-Hamiltonian Formulation: Easily expresses conservation of mass, total energy and possibly other invariants

$$\frac{d\mathcal{H}}{dt} = 0$$
$$\frac{d\mathcal{C}}{dt} = 0$$

Olimetic Discretization: Discrete analogues of vector calculus identities (such as curl-free vorticity, div and grad are adjoints, etc.)

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{\nabla} = 0$$
$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \times = 0$$

$$(\vec{\nabla}\cdot)^* = -\vec{\nabla}$$

# General Formulation for Mimetic Discretizations: Primal deRham Complex (Finite Element Type Methods)



 $\delta = *d*$   $\nabla^2 = d\delta + \delta d$   $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \times = 0 = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{\nabla}$   $dd = 0 = \delta\delta$ 



## Non-Canonical Hamiltonian Dynamics

Evolution of an arbitrary functional  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}[\vec{x}]$  is governed by:

$$\frac{d\mathcal{F}}{dt} = \{\frac{\delta\mathcal{F}}{\delta\vec{x}}, \frac{\delta\mathcal{H}}{\delta\vec{x}}\}$$
(1)

with Poisson bracket  $\{,\}$  antisymmetric (also satisfies Jacobi):

$$\{\frac{\delta\mathcal{F}}{\delta\vec{x}},\frac{\delta\mathcal{G}}{\delta\vec{x}}\} = -\{\frac{\delta\mathcal{G}}{\delta\vec{x}},\frac{\delta\mathcal{F}}{\delta\vec{x}}\}$$
(2)

Also have Casimirs  $\mathcal C$  that satisfy:

$$\{\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \vec{x}}, \frac{\delta \mathcal{C}}{\delta \vec{x}}\} = 0 \quad \forall \mathcal{F}$$
(3)

A = A = A = A = A
 A = A
 A

Neatly encapsulates conservation properties ( $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$ ).

#### What properties do we get?



## There are MANY choices of spaces that give these properties: key point is the deRham complex

ъ

#### What properties are still lacking?



#### These are a function of the specific choice of spaces

<ロ><目><目><目><目><目><目><目</li>
 <目><目><<0</li>

## Tensor Product Mimetic Galerkin Methods

## Tensor Product Mimetic Galerkin Spaces

#### Tensor Product Mimetic Galerkin Spaces

Select 1D Spaces  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $: \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\frac{d}{d_{X}}} \mathcal{B}$ 

(4)

- Use tensor products to extend to n-dimensions
- Works for ANY set of spaces A and B that satisfy this property (mimetic finite elements use P<sub>n</sub> and P<sub>DG,n-1</sub>)
- Mimetic spectral element, Mimetic isogeometric methods (B-splines) all fall under this framework
- Our (different) choices of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are guided by linear mode properties and coupling to physics/tracer transport
- See Hiemstra et. al 2014 (and references therein)

## How do we get the remaining properties?

#### Tensor Product Mimetic Galerkin Methods on Structured Grids

- Tensor product + structured grids: efficiency (for a method with non-diagonal mass matrices)
- **Quadrilateral grids-** no spurious wave branches
- **(3)** Key: What about dispersion relationships?
- Also: What about geometric flexibility?





 $P_2 - P_{1,DG}$  Dispersion Relationship



### Mimetic Galerkin Differences: Basis (3rd Order)



 $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{H}_1$  Space (1D)

 $\mathcal{B} = L_2$  Space (1D)

Single degree of freedom per geometric entity (physics coupling) Higher order by larger stencils (less local, efficiency concerns) Shown for 3rd Order Elements (works for arbitrary order)

## Mimetic Galerkin Differences- Dispersion



Inertia-Gravity Wave Dispersion Relationship (1D) for 3rd Order Elements

#### Spectral gap is gone

#### Can show that dispersion relation is O(2n) where *n* is the order More details in a forthcoming paper

#### Overview of 3D Spaces



$$\mathbb{W}_0 \xrightarrow{\vec{\nabla}} \mathbb{W}_1 \xrightarrow{\vec{\nabla} \times} \mathbb{W}_2 \xrightarrow{\vec{\nabla} \cdot} \mathbb{W}_3$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{W}_0 &= \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} = H_1 = \text{Continuous Galerkin} \\ \mathbb{W}_1 &= (\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A})\hat{i} + \ldots = H(\textit{curl}) = \text{Nedelec} \\ \mathbb{W}_2 &= (\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{B})\hat{i} + \ldots = H(\textit{div}) = \text{Raviart-Thomas} \\ \mathbb{W}_3 &= \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{B} = L_2 = \text{Discontinuous Galerkin} \end{split}$$

## Dynamico-FE



- Hydrostatic primitive equations
- Mimetic Galerkin discretization
- Hamiltonian formulation
- Lagrangian and Mass-Based Vertical Coordinates
- Oubed-Sphere Grid
- Conserves mass, entropy and total energy
- Has the complete set of properties discussed at the beginning

## Current Status

#### Current Status of Dynamico-FE

- Multilayer Ripa Equations with full set of desired properties
- **2** Very close to an HPE model in lagrangian vertical coordinates

#### Themis\*

- Software library for tensor-product Galerkin methods on (block-)structured grids with arbitrary geometric mappings
- Built on top of PETSc; written in Python and C



4 E > 4 E > E

Parallelism through MPI

\*-Available online at https://bitbucket.org/chris\_eldred/themis

## Future Work, Summary and Conclusions

## Future Work

#### Future Work

- Ocomputational efficiency: preconditioning, solvers, matrix-free
- Mass-based vertical coordinate
- Onhydrostatic equations
- Past Inivscid, Adiabatic Dry Dynamics: Subgrid Turbulence, Moisture, Tracers, Physics Coupling
- Time stepping and 4D formulations
- Removal of other approximations: Deep-atmosphere/quasi-hydrostatic, non-traditional, non-spherical, vertical and latitudinal variation of gravity

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

## Summary and Conclusions

#### Summary

- Developing a structure-preserving atmospheric dynamical core: Dynamico-FE
- Obtain almost all the desired properties
- Mimetic Galerkin Differences: Fixes dispersion issues

#### Conclusions

- Mimetic discretizations + Hamiltonian formulation = Structure-Preservation = (Most) Desired Properties
- Many choices of mimetic discretization, select the one that gets the other properties

(日) (종) (종) (종) (종) (종)

## Additional Slides

## General Formulation for Mimetic Discretizations: Primal-Dual Double deRham Complex (Staggered Grids)



$$egin{aligned} \delta &= *d* \ &
abla^2 &= d\delta + \delta d \ &
end{aligned} ec{
abla} \cdot ec{
abla} imes = 0 &= ec{
abla} imes ec{
abla} \end{aligned}$$



$$\int_{\Omega} dW = \int_{d\Omega} W$$
$$dd = 0 = \delta\delta$$

## Grid Staggering for HPE



Follows from differential geometry and Tonti diagram Galerkin Version of a C Grid Question: Where should ⊖ live?

## Grid Staggering: $\Theta$ , p, $\overline{\theta}$ and z

#### Grid Staggering: Placement of $\Theta$

- Lorenz (Dynamico): μ,Θ ∈ W<sub>3</sub> (Admits a spurious computational mode in the vertical, poor dispersion properties for high-frequency IGWs)
- Charney-Phillips: Θ ∈ W<sub>2,vert</sub> (Avoids computational mode, complicates formulation)
- O Differential Geometry: Θ is a 0-form → Θ ∈ W<sub>0</sub> (Excessive horizontal averaging → computational mode/poor dispersion properties?)

## Poisson Brackets (Lagrangian Vertical Coordinate)

#### Poisson Brackets

From Dubos and Tort 2014, evolution of  $\mathcal{F}[\vec{x}] = \mathcal{F}[\mu, \vec{v}, \Theta, z]$  is

$$\frac{d\mathcal{F}}{dt} = \{\frac{\delta\mathcal{F}}{\delta\vec{x}}, \frac{\delta\mathcal{H}}{\delta\vec{x}}\}_{SW} + \{\frac{\delta\mathcal{F}}{\delta\vec{x}}, \frac{\delta\mathcal{H}}{\delta\vec{x}}\}_{\Theta} + \langle\frac{\delta\mathcal{F}}{\delta z}\frac{\partial z}{\partial t}\rangle$$
(5)  
$$\{\frac{\delta\mathcal{F}}{\delta\vec{x}}, \frac{\delta\mathcal{H}}{\delta\vec{x}}\}_{SW} = \langle\frac{\delta\mathcal{H}}{\delta\vec{v}} \cdot \vec{\nabla}\frac{\delta\mathcal{F}}{\delta\mu} - \frac{\delta\mathcal{H}}{\delta\vec{v}} \cdot \vec{\nabla}\frac{\delta\mathcal{F}}{\delta\mu}\rangle + \langle\frac{\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{v}}{\mu} \cdot (\frac{\delta\mathcal{F}}{\delta\vec{v}} \times \frac{\delta\mathcal{H}}{\delta\vec{v}})\rangle$$
(6)  
$$\{\frac{\delta\mathcal{F}}{\delta\vec{x}}, \frac{\delta\mathcal{H}}{\delta\vec{x}}\}_{\Theta} = \langle\theta(\frac{\delta\mathcal{H}}{\delta\vec{v}} \cdot \vec{\nabla}\frac{\delta\mathcal{F}}{\delta\Theta} - \frac{\delta\mathcal{H}}{\delta\vec{v}} \cdot \vec{\nabla}\frac{\delta\mathcal{F}}{\delta\Theta})\rangle$$
(7)  
where  $\mu$  is the pseudo-density,  $\vec{v} = \vec{u} - \vec{R}$  is the absolute  
(covariant) velocity,  $\Theta = \mu\theta$  is the mass-weighted potential

temperature and z is the height.

## Equations of Motion: Lagrangian Vertical Coordinate

#### Equations of Motion

Choose  $\mathcal{F} = \int \hat{\mu}$  (or  $\int \hat{v} / \int \hat{\Theta} / \int \hat{z}$ ) to get:  $\int \hat{\mu} \left( \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial t} + \vec{\nabla} \cdot \left( \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta \vec{v}} \right) \right) = 0$ (8) $\int \hat{\Theta} \left( \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial t} + \vec{\nabla} \cdot \left( \theta \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta \vec{v}} \right) \right) = 0$ (9)  $\int \hat{v} \left( \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial t} + \frac{\zeta_{v}}{\mu} \times \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta \vec{v}} + \theta \vec{\nabla} (\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta \Theta}) + \vec{\nabla} (\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta \mu}) \right) = 0$ (10) $\int \hat{z} \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta z} = \int \hat{z} \left( g \mu + \frac{\partial p}{\partial n} \right) = 0$ (11)

Note that these are ALL 2D except for hydrostatic balance (8)

200

#### Hamiltonian (Lagrangian Vertical Coordinate)

Hamiltonian and Functional Derivatives

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}[\mu, \vec{v}, \Theta, z] = \int \mu(\frac{\vec{u} \cdot \vec{u}}{2} + U(\frac{1}{\mu}\frac{\partial z}{\partial \eta}, \frac{\Theta}{\mu}) + gz) \qquad (12)$$
$$\int \hat{v}\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta \vec{v}} = \int \hat{v}(\mu \vec{u}) \qquad (13)$$

$$\int \hat{\mu} \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta \mu} = \int \hat{\mu} \left( \frac{\vec{u} \cdot \vec{u}}{2} + gz \right)$$
(14)

$$\int \hat{\Theta} \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta \Theta} = \int \hat{\Theta} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} = \int \hat{\Theta} \pi$$
(15)

$$\int \hat{z} \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta z} = \int \hat{z} \left( g \mu + \frac{\partial p}{\partial \eta} \right)$$
(16)

< 17 ▶

## Conservation

#### Energy

- Arises purely from anti-symmetry of the brackets PLUS  $\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta z}=0$
- Mimetic Galerkin methods automatically ensure an anti-symmetric bracket
- $\bullet$  Works for ANY choice of  ${\cal H}$
- Something similar can be done with a mass-based vertical coordinate, although it is slightly more complicated

#### Mass, Potential Vorticity and Entropy

- These are Casimirs
- Can show that this discretization also conserves them

ъ

#### What is Themis?

- **Q** PETSc-based software framework (written in Python and C)
- Parallel, high-performance\*, automated\* discretization of variational forms
- Using mimetic, tensor-product Galerkin methods on structured grids
- Inables rapid prototyping and experimentation

Available online at https://bitbucket.org/chris\_eldred/themis

\*- work in progress



## **Design Principles**

- Leverage existing software packages: PETSc, petsc4py, Numpy, Sympy, Instant, ...
- Restrict to a subset of methods: mimetic, tensor-product Galerkin methods on structured grids
- Similar in spirit and high-level design to FEniCS/Firedrake



글 > < 글 > 글

ъ

#### **Current Capabilities**

- Support for structured grids in 1, 2 and 3 dimensions
- Parallelism through MPI
- Automated generation of assembly code (with user supplied kernels)
- Arbitrary curvilinear mappings between physical and reference space
- Support for mixed, vector and standard tensor-product Galerkin function spaces
- Support for mimetic Galerkin difference elements, Q<sup>-</sup><sub>r</sub>Λ<sup>k</sup> elements (both Lagrange and Bernstein basis) and mimetic spectral elements (single-grid version only)
- Ssential and periodic boundary conditions
- Both tensor-product (sum-factorization)-based assembly and operator action

#### **Planned Extensions**

- Facet integrals: enables natural boundary conditions
- Ø Multi-block domains: enables cubed-sphere
- Nonlinear variational problems (via SNES): enables (semi)-implicit timestepping
- Multiple element types (in the same domain): enables MGD elements with non-periodic boundaries
- Additional work on optimization of data structures (Vecs/Mats/DMs) and assembly/operator action kernels (including vectorization and better shared memory support)
- Sernstein polynomial based assembly and operator action
- Weighted-row based assembly and operator action for MGD elements

## Dynamico



- Primal-Dual: Mimetic finite differences (based on TRiSK scheme): C grid horizontal, Lorenz vertical
- Icosahedral grid
- Hydrostatic primitive equations: Lagrangian and mass-based vertical coordinates
- Conserves mass, energy and entropy
- See Dubos et. al 2015 for more information

#### Reconstruction Operator (W) in TRiSK

$$\mathbf{W} = \sum_{e' \in ECP(e)} W_{e,e'}$$
$$\mathbf{W} = -\mathbf{W}^T$$
$$-\mathbf{R}D_2 = \bar{D}_2\mathbf{W}$$

Given normal fluxes, reconstruct tangential fluxes Satisfying: Steady geostrophic modes AND energy conservation AND accuracy



## Issues with TRiSK

#### **Operator Accuracy**



#### Spurious Branches of Dispersion Relationship

Hexagonal grid means 3:1 ratio of wind to mass dofs (should be 2:1)  $\to$  spurious branch of Rossby waves with unphysical behaviour

#### Linear Modes



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

## Static Refinement (r-refinement)



Stretched grids (picture from GDFL FV3, also known as Schmidt transform)

Grid topology is preserved  $\rightarrow$  no change in computational efficiency

### Adaptive Refinement (r-refinement)

