

# The Fibration Method

Yonatan Harpaz

May 4, 2016

In this talk I will describe recent work with Olivier Wittenberg concerning rational points and 0-cycles on fibered varieties. I will focus on the case of rational points. The case of 0-cycles will be explained in the next lecture. Our starting point is a conjecture of Colliot-Thélène and Sansuc, first formulated in 1979 for the case of surfaces:

**Conjecture 1** ([CT03, p. 174]). *For any smooth, proper, geometrically irreducible, rationally connected variety  $X$  over a number field  $k$ , the set  $X(k)$  is dense in  $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}(X)}$ .*

By “rationally connected”, we mean that for any algebraically closed field  $\bar{k}$  containing  $k$ , the base change of  $X$  to  $\bar{k}$  is rationally connected in the usual sense. Let us adopt the abbreviation RC to denote “rationally connected”.

We shall now fix our base number field  $k$ . It is well-known that the class of proper, smooth, RC varieties over  $k$  is “closed under fibrations”. In other words, if  $X$  and  $B$  are smooth proper RC varieties and  $\pi : X \rightarrow B$  is a surjective map whose generic fiber is RC then  $X$  is RC. It is hence natural to ask the following question:

**Question 2.** *Let  $X$  be smooth proper RC varieties and  $\pi : X \rightarrow B$  a surjective map whose generic fiber is GRC. Assume that  $B$  satisfies conjecture 1 and that all but finitely many fibers of  $\pi$  satisfies conjecture 1. Can we deduce directly that  $X$  satisfies conjecture 1?*

The theory developed around giving a positive answer to Question 2 is often referred to as “The fibration method”. In the typical application the base is the projective line  $\mathbb{P}_k^1$ . Hasse was the first to use such a method in his theorem on quadratic hypersurfaces in order to reduce the general case to the 1-dimensional case. In 1982, Colliot-Thélène and Sansuc [CTS82] noticed that a variant of Hasse’s proof yields Conjecture 1 for a large family of conic bundle surfaces over  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^1$  if one assumes **Schinzel’s hypothesis**, a far reaching conjecture regarding polynomials taking simultaneous prime values. Further work of Serre [Ser92] and of Swinnerton-Dyer [SD94] led to the systematic study of fibrations over  $\mathbb{P}_k^1$  into varieties which satisfy weak approximation (see [CT94], [CTSSD98a], [HSW]). All the above-cited papers rely on the same reciprocity

argument as Hasse's original proof, and as a result they are all required to assume that every singular fiber of  $\pi$  contains an irreducible component of multiplicity 1 split by an **abelian extension** of its base field. Other approaches were able to dispense with the abelianness condition under strong assumptions on  $\pi$ . By using the theory of descent, Colliot-The el ene and Skorobogatov were able to remove this abelianness condition (but not the weak approximation condition) when the subscheme  $S \subseteq \mathbb{P}_k^1$  of non-split fibers has degree  $\leq 2$  ([CTS00]). Harari ([Har97]) was able to further allow for a non-trivial Brauer manin obstruction on the fibers when this degree is  $\leq 1$ .

Our goal in this talk is to outline a proof of the following theorem

**Theorem 3** ([HW14]). *Let  $X$  be a smooth, proper, geometrically irreducible variety  $X$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and let  $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  be a dominant morphism whose generic fiber is RC and such that all non-split fibers are all defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . If there exists a Hilbert subset  $H \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^n$  such that Conjecture 1 holds for  $X_c$  whenever  $c \in H$  then conjecture 1 holds for  $X$ .*

Even though we present this result for  $k = \mathbb{Q}$  it will be useful to keep the notation general. A first step in trying to give a positive answer to Question 2 is to be able to approximate a given adelic point  $(x_v) \in X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}}$  by another adelic point  $(x'_v)$  such that  $\pi(x'_v)$  is **rational**, i.e. comes from a rational point  $t_0 \in \mathbb{P}^1(k)$ . This particular question turns out to fit more naturally in a setting where  $X$  is not necessarily proper, but  $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$  is **smooth and surjective**. If one starts from a proper  $\pi$ , a smooth one can always be obtained by restricting to the smooth locus  $X' \subseteq X$  with respect to  $\pi$ . For  $\pi$  to remain surjective one needs that each fiber of  $\pi$  contains an irreducible component of multiplicity 1. This indeed turns out to be an essential condition if one wants to approximate an adelic point by one whose image under  $\pi$  is rational.

The notions of adelic points and adelic topology become a bit more subtle when  $X$  is not assumed to be proper. Let us recall the definition.

**Definition 4.** Let  $X$  be a variety over  $k$ ,  $S$  a finite set of places and  $\mathcal{X}$  an  $S$ -integral model for  $X$ . A point  $(x_v) \in \prod_{v \in \Omega_k} X(k_v)$  is **adelic** with respect to  $\mathcal{X}$  if for all but finitely many  $v \notin S$  the point  $x_v$  comes from a point in  $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_v)$ . Let

$$X(\mathbf{A}_k) \subseteq \prod_{v \in \Omega_k} X(k_v)$$

denote the set of adelic points with respect to  $\mathcal{X}$ . We endow  $X(\mathbf{A}_k)$  with the coarsest topology such that for every finite  $S' \supset S$  the inclusion

$$\prod_{v \in S'} X(k_v) \times \prod_{v \notin S'} \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_v) \hookrightarrow X(\mathbf{A}_k)$$

is open (where the left hand side is endowed with the product topology). Then a typical neighbourhood of a point  $(x_v) \in X(\mathbf{A}_k)$  looks like

$$U = \prod_{v \in S'} W_v \times \prod_{v \notin S'} \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_v)$$

where  $S' \supseteq S$  is a finite set of places containing all the places where  $x_v$  is not integral and  $x_v \in W_v$  is an open neighbourhood in  $X(k_v)$ .

**Remark 5.** Given a variety  $X$ , a pair  $(S, \mathcal{X})$  as above always exists. Furthermore, neither the set  $X(\mathbf{A}_k)$  nor its topology as defined above depend on  $(S, \mathcal{X})$ . In particular, given an adelic point  $(x_v)$ , when we talk about approximating it by an adelic point  $(x'_v)$ , we mean that for some  $S$  and some  $S$ -integral model  $\mathcal{X}$ , the point  $(x'_v)$  is arbitrarily close to  $x_v$  for every place in  $S$  and  $x'_v$  is integral for every  $v \notin S$ .

At this point it will be useful to describe a particular type of fibration, whose behaviour is in some sense universal with respect to “fibration problems”. Let  $m \geq 2, n \geq 1$  be integers. For each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , let  $k_i/k$  and  $L_i/k_i$  be finite field extensions. For each  $i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, m$  let  $a_{i,j} \in k_i$  be an element. We assume the following:

**Assumption 6.** *The  $k$ -linear map  $k^m \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n k_i$  given by  $(x_1, \dots, x_m) \mapsto (\sum_j a_{1,j}x_j, \dots, \sum_j a_{n,j}x_j)$  is of full rank.*

To this data we associate an irreducible quasi-affine variety  $W$  over  $k$  endowed with a smooth morphism  $p : W \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^{m-1}$  with a geometrically irreducible generic fiber as follows.

For  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , us denote by  $T_i = \mathbb{R}_{L_i/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,L_i})$  the extension of scalars torus and by  $D_i = \mathbb{R}_{L_i/k}(\mathbb{A}_{L_i}^1) \setminus T$  its complement in the corresponding affine space. We then observe that  $D_i$  is a divisor with normal crossings (geometrically isomorphic to the union of all coordinate hyperplanes). Let  $F_i \subseteq D_i$  denote the singular locus of  $D_i$  (corresponding to the locus where two different hyperplanes meet). Then  $F_i$  has codimension 2 insude  $\mathbb{R}_{L_i/k}(\mathbb{A}_{L_i}^1)$ . Let

$$W \subseteq (\mathbb{A}_k^m \setminus \{(0,0)\}) \times \prod_{i=1}^n (\mathbb{R}_{L_i/k}(\mathbb{A}_{L_i}^1) \setminus F_i) \quad (0.1)$$

be the subvariety given by the equations

$$\sum_{j=1}^m a_{i,j}x_j = \mathbb{N}_{L_i/k_i}(y_i)$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , where  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  are the coordinates of  $\mathbb{A}^m$  and  $y_i$  is a coordinate on  $\mathbb{R}_{L_i/k}(\mathbb{A}_{L_i}^1)$ . Finally, denote by  $p : W \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^{m-1}$  the composition of the projection to the first factor with the natural map  $\mathbb{A}_k^m \setminus \{(0,0)\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^{m-1}$ . We note that since the  $F_i$ 's were removed the map  $p$  is always **smooth**.

Let  $S \subseteq \Omega_k$  be a finite set of places such that each  $k_i$  and each  $L_i$  are unramified outside  $S$ , and such that for each  $v \notin S$  and each place  $w \in \Omega_{k_i}$  lying above  $v$  we have that all the  $a_{i,j}$  are  $w$ -integral and at least one of the  $a_{i,j}$  is a  $w$ -unit. Let  $S_i$  denote the set of places of  $k_i$  which lie above  $S$  and let  $T_i$  denote the set of places of  $L_i$  which lie above  $S$ . Then there is a natural  **$S$ -integral model**  $\mathcal{W}$  for  $W$ . Define  $\mathcal{T}_i = \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{O}_{T_i}/\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathbb{G}_{m,\mathcal{O}_{T_i}})$  and let  $\mathcal{F}_i$  denote

the singular locus of  $\mathcal{D}_i$ . Since the norm of a  $T_i$ -integral element is  $S_i$ -integral and since  $L_i/k_i$  is unramified over  $S_i$  we get that the norm map  $N_{L_i/k_i}$  can be refined to a map of  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -schemes

$$N_{\mathcal{O}_{T_i}/\mathcal{O}_{S_i}} : R_{\mathcal{O}_{T_i}/\mathcal{O}_S} \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{O}_{T_i}}^1 \longrightarrow R_{\mathcal{O}_{S_i}/\mathcal{O}_S} \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{O}_{S_i}}^1.$$

We then define the  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -subscheme

$$\mathcal{W} \subseteq (\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{O}_S}^m \setminus \{(0,0)\}) \times \prod_{i=1}^n \left( R_{\mathcal{O}_{T_i}/\mathcal{O}_S} (\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{O}_{T_i}}^1) \setminus \mathcal{F}_i \right) \quad (0.2)$$

by the equations

$$\sum_{j=1}^m a_{i,j} x_j = N_{\mathcal{O}_{T_i}/\mathcal{O}_{S_i}}(y_i)$$

where we interpret  $x_1, \dots, x_j$  as coordinates of  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{O}_S}^m$  and  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  as coordinates on  $R_{\mathcal{O}_{T_i}/\mathcal{O}_S} \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{O}_{T_i}}^1$ . The corresponding map of  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -schemes  $p : \mathcal{W} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{O}_S}^{m-1}$  is smooth.

It is worthwhile to have an explicit description of local integral points of  $\mathcal{W}$  for  $v \notin S$ .

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $S \subseteq \Omega_k$  and  $\mathcal{W}$  be as above. If  $v \notin S$  is a place and  $x_j \in k_v, y_i \in L_i$  are elements considered as coordinates for a local point  $P = (x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathcal{W}(k_v)$ , then  $P$  comes from  $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{O}_v)$  if and only the following holds:*

1. Each  $x_i$  belongs to  $\mathcal{O}_v$  and at least one of the  $x_i$ 's belongs to  $\mathcal{O}_v^*$ .
2. Each  $y_i$  belongs to  $\mathcal{O}_{L_i, v} = \mathcal{O}_{T_i} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{O}_v$ .
3. For each  $i = 1, \dots, n$  there is at most one place  $u \in \Omega_{L_i}$  lying over  $v$  such that  $\text{val}_u(y_i) > 0$ . Furthermore, if such a place  $u$  exists then it has degree 1 over  $v$ .

*Proof.* We first observe that each  $x_i$  must be  $v$ -integral in order for  $(x_1, \dots, x_m)$  to come from a  $v$ -integral point of  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{O}_v}^m$ . If we further want it to come from  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{O}_v}^m \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$  one needs to assume that the reduction of the vector  $(x_1, \dots, x_m) \bmod v$  is not the 0-vector. This is equivalent to saying that at least one of the  $x_i$ 's is in  $\mathcal{O}_v^*$ . We hence see that condition (1) is necessary. Similarly, we see that condition 2 is necessary. Now let  $y_i \in \mathcal{O}_{L_i, v}$  be an element. By definition we have that  $y_i$  comes from a  $v$ -integral point of

$$\left[ R_{\mathcal{O}_{L_i, v}/\mathcal{O}_v} \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{O}_{L_i, v}}^1 \right] \setminus \mathcal{F}_i$$

if and only if the reduction  $\overline{y_i}$  of  $y_i \bmod v$  does not belong to  $\mathcal{F}_i \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_v} \mathbb{F}_v$ . In particular,  $\overline{y_i}$  either belongs to  $\mathcal{J}_i \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_v} \mathbb{F}_v$  or is a smooth point of  $\mathcal{D}_i \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_v} \mathbb{F}_v$ . The first case is equivalent to  $y_i \in \mathcal{O}_{L_i, v}^*$ , i.e., no place of  $L$  above  $v$  divides  $y_i$ . Since the geometric irreducible components of  $\mathcal{D}_i \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_v} \mathbb{F}_v$  are smooth, the second case is equivalent to  $\overline{y_i}$  lying on exactly one irreducible component of

$\mathcal{D}_i \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_v} \mathbb{F}_v$ , and that this component is geometrically irreducible. Let  $\mathbb{E}_{i,v} = \mathcal{O}_{L_i,v} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_v} \mathbb{F}_v$ . Then the irreducible components of  $\mathcal{D}_i \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_v} \mathbb{F}_v$  correspond to the coordinate hyperplanes of  $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{E}_{i,v}/\mathbb{F}_v} \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{E}_{i,v}}^1$  and are hence classified by  $\text{spec}(\mathbb{E}_{i,v})$ . In particular, geometrically irreducible components of  $\mathcal{D}_i \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_v} \mathbb{F}_v$  (defined over  $\mathbb{F}_v$ ) correspond to  $\mathbb{F}_v$ -points of  $\text{spec}(\mathbb{E}_{i,v})$ , i.e., to places  $u \in \Omega_{L_i}$  of degree 1 over  $v$ . Now  $\bar{y}_i$  sits on the component corresponding to  $u$  if and only if the  $u$ -coordinate of  $\bar{y}_i$  vanishes, i.e. if and only if  $\text{val}_u(y_i) > 0$ . We hence see that  $\bar{y}_i$  sits on exactly one irreducible component  $\mathcal{D}_i \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_v} \mathbb{F}_v$  if and only if there is exactly one place  $u \in \Omega_{L_i}$  over  $v$  such that  $\text{val}_u(y_i) > 0$ , and that the component corresponding to  $u$  is geometrically irreducible if and only if  $u$  is of degree 1. The desired result now follows.  $\square$

**Conjecture 8** (Conjecture (W)). *Assume the data defining the variety  $W$  satisfies assumption 6. Then the inclusion*

$$\bigcup_{t_0 \in \mathbb{P}^{m-1}(k)} W_{t_0}(\mathbf{A}_k) \subseteq W(\mathbf{A}_k)$$

*is dense in the adelic topology.*

**Theorem 9** ([Mat14], building on earlier work with Tim Browning, building on a deep results of Green, Tao and Ziegler). *Conjecture (W) holds whenever  $k_1 = k_2, \dots, k_n = \mathbb{Q}$ .*

**Remark 10.** The result of [Mat14] is in fact stronger. Given an adelic point  $(x_v) \in W(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$  and a large enough finite set of places  $S$ , one may find an  $S$ -integral point  $x_0 \in \mathcal{W}(\mathbb{Z}_S)$  such that  $x_0$  is arbitrarily close to  $(x_v)$  for every non-archimedean place  $v \in S$  and such that the  $\mathbb{A}^m$  coordinates of  $x_0$  belongs to any convex cone containing the  $\mathbb{A}^m$ -coordinates of  $x_{\infty}$ .

**Theorem 11** ([HW14]). *If  $m \geq \sum_{i=1}^n [k_i : k]$  then conjecture (W) holds.*

*Proof.* Will be given in Olivier's talk.  $\square$

The following can be proven using the standard fibration method techniques.

**Theorem 12.** *Assume Schinzel's hypothesis holds. Then Conjecture (W) holds whenever the extensions  $L_i/k_i$  are abelian (or semi-abelian, see [HW14]).*

In order to state our main result we will need to recall the following standard notion.

**Definition 13.** Let  $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$  be a map. We will denote by

$$\text{Br}_{\text{vert}}(X) = \text{Br}(X) \cap \text{Br}(k(Y)) \subseteq \text{Br}(X)$$

the subgroup of  $\text{Br}(X)$  consisting of classes which come from the Brauer group of the generic point of  $Y$ . The subgroup  $\text{Br}_{\text{vert}}(X)$  is known as the **vertical Brauer group** of  $X$  with respect to  $\pi$ .

**Remark 14.** If  $X, Y$  are smooth and geometrically irreducible and  $\pi$  is smooth and surjective with a geometrically irreducible fiber then the quotient  $\mathrm{Br}(X)_{\mathrm{vert}}/\mathrm{Br}(k)$  is finite (see [CTS00, Lemma 3.1]).

**Remark 15.** It is not hard to show that  $\mathrm{Br}_{\mathrm{vert}}(W) = \mathrm{Br}(k)$ . See [HSW] for a proof in a particular case.

**Theorem 16** ([HW14]). *Assume conjecture (W) holds for  $m = 2$ . Let  $X$  be a smooth geometrically integral variety over  $k$  endowed with a smooth morphism  $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  with a geometrically integral generic fiber. Let  $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}_k^1$  be an open set such that the fiber of  $\pi$  above every point of  $V$  is geometrically integral. Let  $U = \pi^{-1}(V) \subseteq X$  and let  $B \subseteq \mathrm{Br}(U)/\mathrm{Br}(k)$  a finite subgroup containing  $\mathrm{Br}(U)_{\mathrm{vert}}/\mathrm{Br}(k)$ . Let  $B' = B \cap [\mathrm{Br}(X)/\mathrm{Br}(k)]$ . Then the inclusion*

$$\bigcup_{c \in V(k)} U_c(\mathbf{A}_k)^B \subseteq X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{B'}$$

*is dense in the adelic topology.*

**Remark 17.** In light of Remark 15 we see that the conclusion of Theorem 16 includes Conjecture (W) is a particular case. This can be interpreted as saying that the fibrations  $\pi : W \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  are, in some sense, “complete fibration problems”. According to Theorem 16, if one can solve all the fibration problems of type (W) (with  $m = 2$ ) then one can solve any fibration problem.

Before we give the proof let us recall Harari’s “formal lemma”:

**Lemma 18** ([Har94]). *Let  $X$  be a smooth geometrically integral variety and  $U \subseteq X$  an open subset. Let  $B \subseteq \mathrm{Br}(U)$  be a finite subgroup. Let  $(x_v) \in X(\mathbf{A}_k)$  be an adelic point which is orthogonal to  $B \cap \mathrm{Br}(X)$ . Then there exists an adelic point  $(x'_v) \in U(\mathbf{A}_k)$ , arbitrarily close to  $x_v$  in the adelic topology on  $X(\mathbf{A}_k)$ , and such that  $(x'_v)$  is orthogonal to  $B$ .*

We are now ready to prove Theorem 16.

*Proof of Theorem 16.* For simplicity we will prove the claim for  $B = \mathrm{Br}_{\mathrm{vert}}(U)/\mathrm{Br}(k)$ . We note that in this case  $B \cap X = \mathrm{Br}_{\mathrm{vert}}(X)/\mathrm{Br}(k)$ . The proof in the general case is similar.

Let  $m_1, \dots, m_n \in \mathbb{P}^1$  denote the closed points in the complement of  $V$ . For each  $i = 1, \dots, n$  we will denote by  $X_i$  the fiber of  $\pi$  over  $m_i$  and fix an irreducible component  $Y_i \subseteq X_i$ . Let  $k_i = k[m_i]$  be the function field of  $m_i$  and  $L_i/k_i$  the algebraic closure of  $k$  in the field of functions of  $Y_i$ . Without loss of generality we can assume that each  $m_i$  lies in  $\mathbb{A}^1$ . Let  $t$  be a coordinate on  $\mathbb{A}^1$  and let  $e_i \in k_i$  denote the value  $t$  takes on  $m_i$ .

For each  $i$ , let  $\chi_{i,1}, \dots, \chi_{i,n_i} : \Gamma_{k_i} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  be a finite set of generators for the group

$$\mathrm{Ker}[\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma_{k_i}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma_{L_i}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})].$$

We will denote by  $K_{i,j}$  the fixed field of  $\chi_{i,j}$ . Consider the Brauer element

$$A_{i,j} = \mathrm{cores}_{k_i(t)/k(t)}(t - e_i, K_{i,j}/k_i) \in \mathrm{Br}(k(t))$$

Then  $A_{i,j}$  is ramified on  $\mathbb{P}^1$  only at  $m_i$  and  $\infty$  with residues  $\chi_{i,j}$  and  $-\text{cores}_{k_i/k}(\chi_{i,j})$ , respectively. In particular,  $A_{i,j}$  is unramified on  $V$  and  $\pi^*A_{i,j}$  is unramified on  $U$ . Furthermore,  $\pi^*A_{i,j} \in \text{Br}(U)_{\text{vert}}$ .

Now let  $(x_v) \in X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}_{\text{vert}}}$  be a point that we wish to approximate. Let  $S$  be a finite set of places which is big enough so that the following holds:

1.  $S$  contains all the archimedean places.
2. All the  $k_i$ 's and all the  $L_i$ 's are unramified outside  $S$ .
3. Each  $e_i$  is  $S$ -integral and the norm of each  $e_i - e_j \in k_i k_j$  is an  $S$ -unit.
4.  $X$  admits a smooth  $S$ -integral model  $\mathcal{X}$  such that the induced map  $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{O}_S}^1$  is smooth.

Our goal is to construct to show that for every large enough  $S' \supset S$  there exists an adelic point  $(x_v)' \in U(\mathbf{A}_k)$  such that  $(x_v)'$  is arbitrarily close to  $(x_v)$  for every  $v \in S'$  and  $x_v$  is  $v$ -integral with respect to  $\mathcal{X}$  for every  $v \notin S'$ .

In light of our conditions on  $S$  one may consider the natural  $S$ -integral model  $\mathcal{V}$  for the open subset  $V$ . If  $v \notin S$  and  $t_v \in k_v$  is a coordinate of a point in  $p_v \in V(k_v)$  then  $p_v$  belongs to  $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{O}_v)$  if and only if  $\text{val}_v(t_v) \geq 0$  and  $\text{val}_v(t_v - e_i) = 0$  for every  $i$ . Furthermore, by condition (3) above, if we denote by  $m_i \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{O}_S}^1$  the Zariski closure of  $m_i$  then  $m_i$  and  $m_j$  do not intersect in  $\mathcal{V}$ . We will denote by  $\mathcal{X}_i \subseteq \mathcal{X}$  the fiber of  $\pi$  over  $m_i$  and by  $\mathcal{Y}_i \subseteq \mathcal{X}_i$  the Zariski closure of the component  $Y_i$ . We then observe that the smooth  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -scheme  $\text{spec}(\mathcal{O}_{L_i, S})$  **classifies** the irreducible components of  $\mathcal{Y}_i$ . In particular, if  $w \in \Omega_k$  is a place of  $k_i$  corresponding to a closed point  $x \in m_i$ , then the irreducible components of  $\mathcal{Y}_i \times_{\mathcal{M}} \{x\}$  are in bijection with places  $u \in \Omega_L$  lying above  $w$ . Finally, let us add three more assumptions which can be met by enlarging  $S$  if necessary:

- 5 Each  $A_{i,j}$  extends to an element  $\mathcal{A}_{i,j} \in \text{Br}(V)$ .
- 6 For every  $v \notin S$  and every  $x \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{F}_v)$  there exists a  $y \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_v)$  lying above  $x$ .
- 7 For every  $v \notin S$ , every place  $w \in \Omega_{k_i}$  lying above  $v$  (corresponding to a closed point  $x \in m_i$ ) and every place  $u \in \Omega_{L_i}$  of degree 1 over  $w$ , the component of  $\mathcal{Y}_i \times_{\mathcal{M}} \{x\}$  classified by  $u$  has an  $\mathbb{F}_w$ -point.

By Harari's formal lemma [Har94] there exists an adelic point  $(x_v)' \in U(\mathbf{A}_k)$  such that

1.  $(x_v)'$  is arbitrarily close to  $(x_v)$  for every  $v \in S$ .
2. For every  $A_{i,j}$  as above we have

$$\sum_{v \in \Omega_k} A_{i,j}(\pi(x_v')) = 0. \quad (0.3)$$

Let  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{V} \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} \mathcal{V}$  and let  $S'$  be a finite set of places containing  $S$ , such that  $(x_v)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O}_v)$  for every  $v \notin S'$ . Let  $t'_v$  be the coordinate of  $\pi(x'_v)$  on  $\mathbb{A}^1$ , and let  $S'_i$  be the set of places of  $k_i$  lying above  $S'$ . Given a place  $w \in \Omega_{k_i}$  we will denote by  $\bar{w}$  the place of  $k$  lying below it. We then have for each  $i, j$  as above

$$\sum_{w \in \Omega_{k_i}} \text{inv}_w(t'_{\bar{w}} - e_i, \chi_{i,j}) = 0. \quad (0.4)$$

Let us now fix an  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and let  $T_i = R_{L_i/k_i} \mathbb{G}_m$  be the restriction-of-scalars torus of  $L_i/k_i$  and  $T_i^1 \subseteq T_i$  the associated norm one torus. Since  $(x_v)$  lies in  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O}_v)$  for all but finitely many  $v$ 's we see that  $t_{\bar{w}} - e_i$  lies in  $\mathbb{G}_m(\mathcal{O}_w) = \mathcal{O}_w^*$  for all but finitely many places  $w \in \Omega_{k_i}$ . Let us denote by

$$(\sigma_w^i) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (t'_{\bar{w}} - e_i) \in \prod_{w \in \Omega_{k_i}} \mathbb{G}_m(\mathbf{A}_{k_i})$$

the resulting adelic point. Consider the following short exact sequence  $k_i$ -groups schemes

$$1 \longrightarrow T_i^1 \longrightarrow T_i \xrightarrow{N} \mathbb{G}_m \longrightarrow 1$$

and the associated boundary map

$$\partial : \mathbb{G}_m(\mathbf{A}_{k_i}) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbf{A}_k, T_i^1).$$

We claim that this element is in fact  $k_i$ -rational, i.e., comes from an element  $\rho_i \in H^1(k_i, T_i^1)$ . In light of Tate-Poitou's sequence for tori what we need to prove is that  $(\partial \sigma_w^i)$  is orthogonal to all the elements in  $H^1(k_i, \widehat{T}_i^1)$ . To compute the latter consider the short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \widehat{T}_i \longrightarrow \widehat{T}_i^1 \longrightarrow 1$$

The boundary map

$$\partial : H^1(k_i, \widehat{T}_i^1) \longrightarrow H^2(k_i, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^1(k_i, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) = \text{Hom}(\Gamma_{k_i}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$$

maps  $H^1(k_i, \widehat{T}_i^1)$  into the kernel of the map

$$\text{Hom}(\Gamma_{k_i}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(\Gamma_{L_i}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}).$$

In particular, for each  $\alpha \in H^1(k_i, \widehat{T}_i^1)$  we have that  $\partial \alpha$  is some linear combination of the  $\chi_{i,j}$ 's. From the naturality of the pairing and equation 0.4 we then get

$$\langle \partial \sigma^i, \alpha \rangle = \langle \sigma^i, \partial \alpha \rangle = \sum_{w \in \Omega_{k_i}} \text{inv}_w(t'_{\bar{w}} - e_i, \partial \alpha) = 0.$$

This proves that  $\partial \sigma^i$  comes from some element  $\rho_i \in H^1(k_i, T_i^1)$ . Now since  $H^1(k_i, T) = 0$  it follows that  $\rho_i = \partial b_i$  for some  $b_i \in \mathbb{G}_m(k_i)$ . We may hence conclude that for every  $w \in \Omega_{k_i}$  there exists a  $y_{w,i} \in (L_i)_w$  such that

$$b_i(t'_{\bar{w}} - e_i) = N_{(L_i)_w/(k_i)_w}(y_{w,i})$$

Let  $S''$  be a finite set of places containing  $S'$  such that  $b_i$  (and hence  $b_i(t'_{\bar{w}} - e_i)$ ) is in  $\mathcal{O}_w^*$  whenever  $w \in \Omega_{k_i}$  is such that  $\bar{w} \notin S''$ . We may then assume that if  $w \in \Omega_{k_i}$  is such that  $\bar{w} \notin S''$  then  $y_{w,i} \in \mathcal{O}_{L_i,w}^*$ .

Let  $W$  be the quasi-affine variety associated as above to the fields  $k_1, \dots, k_n, L_1, \dots, L_n$  and to the values  $a_{i,0} = b_i, a_{i,1} = b_i e_i$  (note that here  $m = 2$ ). Let  $\mathcal{W}$  be the smooth  $S''$ -integral model established in Lemma 7. According to Lemma 7, the values  $(t'_{\bar{w}}, 1, y_{w,1}, \dots, y_{w,n})$  determine an adelic point  $(p_v)$  on  $W$  such that  $p_v$  belongs to  $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{O}_v)$  for every  $v \notin S''$ . By Conjecture (W) there exists an adelic point  $(q_v) = (\lambda_v, \mu_v, y'_{w,1}, \dots, y'_{w,n})$  such that

1.  $q_v$  is arbitrarily close to  $p_v$  for every  $v \in S''$ .
2.  $q_v$  belongs to  $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{O}_v)$  for every  $v \notin S''$ .
3.  $q_v$  lies above a rational point  $t_0 \in \mathbb{P}^1(k)$ .

We shall now construct an adelic point  $(x'_v)$  on the fiber  $X_t$  which is arbitrarily close to  $(x'_v)$  for  $v \in S''$  and is  $\mathcal{X}$ -integral outside  $S''$ . For  $v \in S''$ , we have that  $t_0$  is arbitrarily close to  $t_v$  and so we may construct  $x'_v$  by means of the inverse function theorem. Let us hence consider the case  $v \notin S''$ . Then at least one of  $\lambda_v, \mu_v$  is in  $\mathcal{O}_v^*$  and  $(\lambda_v : \mu_v) = (t_0 : 1)$ . Furthermore, if there exists a place  $w \in \Omega_{k_i}$  lying over  $v$  such that  $\text{val}_w(b_i(\lambda_v - e_i \mu_v)) > 0$  then by 0.2 there exists a place  $u \in \Omega_{L_i}$  lying over  $w$  such that  $\text{val}_u(y_{v,i}) > 0$ . By Lemma ??  $u$  would have to be of degree 1 over  $v$ . In particular, in this case  $w$  has of degree 1 over  $v$  and  $u$  has of degree 1 over  $w$ .

Let us now construct an  $\mathcal{O}_v$ -point on  $\mathcal{X}$ . First suppose that  $\text{val}_w(t_0 - e_i) \leq 0$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and every  $w \in \Omega_{k_i}$  lying above  $v$ . In this case the reduction of  $t_0$  modulo  $v$  lies in  $\mathcal{V}$  and hence by our choice of  $S$  there is a smooth  $\mathbb{F}_v$ -point on  $X_{t_0}(\mathbb{F}_v)$  and so an  $\mathcal{O}_v$ -point on  $X_{t_0}$  by Hensel's lemma.

Now suppose that  $\text{val}_w(t_0 - e_i) > 0$  for some  $e_i$  and some  $w \in \Omega_{k_i}$  lying above  $v$  (in which case the pair  $(i, w)$  is unique by our choice of  $S$ ). By the above considerations we know that  $w$  must have degree 1 over  $v$  and that there must exist a place  $u \in \Omega_{L_i}$  of degree 1 over  $w$ . Let  $x \in \mathfrak{m}_i$  be the closed point corresponding to  $w$ . By our choice of  $S$  the irreducible component of  $\mathcal{Y}_i \times_{\mathcal{M}} \{x\}$  classified by  $u$  has a smooth  $\mathbb{F}_w$ -point and hence a smooth  $\mathbb{F}_v$ -point. This point can then be lifted to an  $\mathcal{O}_v$ -point of  $\mathcal{X}_{t_0}$  by Hensel's lemma. □

**Corollary 19** (Main theorem). *Assume that conjecture (W) holds for  $m = 2$ . Let  $X$  be a smooth, proper, geometrically integral variety  $X$  over  $k$  and let  $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  be a dominant morphism whose generic fiber is RC. If there exists a Hilbert subset  $H \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$  such that Conjecture 1 holds for  $X_c$  whenever  $c \in H$  then conjecture 1 holds for  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $X' \subseteq X$  be the smooth locus of  $X$ . Since the generic fiber of  $\pi$  is RC it follows that every fiber of  $\pi$  has an irreducible component of multiplicity one. This implies that the restricted map  $\pi : X' \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$  is surjective and that the complement of  $X'$  in  $X$  has codimension 2, and so  $\text{Br}(X') = \text{Br}(X)$ .

Let  $\eta \in \mathbb{P}_k^1$  be the generic point. Since the generic fiber  $X_\eta$  is RC, it follows from [CTS13, Lemma 1.3] that  $\text{Br}(X_\eta)/\text{Br}(\eta)$  is finite. Hence there exists an open subset  $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1$  and a finite subgroup  $B \subseteq \text{Br}(\pi^{-1}(V))/\text{Br}(k)$ , containing the vertical Brauer group of  $U = \pi^{-1}(V)$ , and such that the map  $B \rightarrow \text{Br}(X_\eta)/\text{Br}(\eta)$  is surjective. By [Har97, Théorème 2.3.1] there exists a Hilbert subset  $H' \subseteq H$  such that the restriction  $B \rightarrow \text{Br}(X_c)/\text{Br}(k)$  is surjective for every  $c \in \mathbb{P}^1$ . Let  $h \in H'(k)$  be a point. Let  $(x_v) \in X(\mathbf{A}_k)$  be an adelic point which is orthogonal to  $\text{Br}(X)$  and  $S$  a finite set of places. By a small deformation we may assume that  $(x_v)$  lies on  $X'$  and since  $\text{Br}(X') = \text{Br}(X)$  we know that  $(x_v)$  is orthogonal to  $\text{Br}(X')$ .

Let  $h \in H'(k)$  be a point. By enlarging  $S$  we may assume that  $X'_h(\mathcal{O}_v) \neq \emptyset$  for every  $v \notin S$  and that all the elements of  $B \cap [\text{Br}(X)/\text{Br}(k)]$  extend to  $S$ -integral elements on  $X'$ . We may hence replace  $x_v$  with some  $x'_v \in X'_h(\mathcal{O}_v)$  for every  $v \notin S$ , and set  $x'_v = x_v$  for  $v \in S$ . Then  $(x'_v)$  is orthogonal to  $B \cap [\text{Br}(X')/\text{Br}(k)]$ , and we may then apply Theorem 16 to it. This results in an adelic point  $(x''_v)$  approximating  $(x_v)$  arbitrarily well (and hence approximating  $(x_v)$  over  $S$  and integral outside  $S$ ), orthogonal to  $B$ , and such that  $\pi(x''_v)$  is rational. By [Sme13, Proposition 6.1] it follows that the  $t_0 = \pi(x''_v)$  is in  $H'$ . By the above we get that  $(x''_v)$  is orthogonal to  $\text{Br}(X_{t_0})/\text{Br}(k)$  and since  $X'_{t_0} = X_{t_0}$  satisfies Conjecture 1 it follows that  $(x''_v)$  can be approximated by a rational point of  $X_{t_0}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 20** (Main theorem - optimized version). *Let  $X$  be a smooth, proper, geometrically integral variety  $X$  over  $k$  and let  $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  be a dominant morphism whose generic fiber is RC. Assume that there exists a Hilbert subset  $H \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$  such that Conjecture 1 holds for  $X_c$  whenever  $c \in H$ . Let  $M \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1$  be the scheme classifying the non-split fibers of  $\pi$ . Assume that the following two conditions hold:*

1. *Either  $k$  is totally imaginary or  $M$  contains a rational point.*
2. *Conjecture (W) holds for  $m = 2$  in those cases where the singular locus of  $W \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  coincides with  $M$ .*

*Then conjecture 1 holds for  $X$ .*

**Corollary 21.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth, proper, geometrically integral variety  $X$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and let  $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  be a dominant morphism whose generic fiber is RC and such that all non-split fibers are all defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . If there exists a Hilbert subset  $H \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$  such that Conjecture 1 holds for  $X_c$  whenever  $c \in H$  then conjecture 1 holds for  $X$ .*

**Corollary 22.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth, proper, geometrically integral variety  $X$  over a totally imaginary number field  $k$  and let  $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  be a dominant morphism whose generic fiber is RC. Assume that the scheme  $M$  classifying the non-split fibers of  $\pi$  is of degree  $\leq 2$ . If there exists a Hilbert subset  $H \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$  such that Conjecture 1 holds for  $X_c$  whenever  $c \in H$  then conjecture 1 holds for  $X$ .*

## References

- [CT94] Colliot-Thélène, J.-L., Hasse principle and weak approximation for pencils of Severi-Brauer and similar varieties, *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 453, 1994, p. 49–112.
- [CT98] Colliot-Thélène, J.-L., The Hasse principle in a pencil of algebraic varieties, *Number Theory: Ramanujan Mathematical Society*, Jan. 3-6, 1996, Tiruchirapalli, India 210, 1998, 19.
- [CT03] Colliot-Thélène, J.-L., Points rationnels sur les fibrations, *Higher dimensional varieties and rational points (Budapest 2001)*, 12, Springer, Berlin 2003, p. 181–194.
- [CTS82] Colliot-Thélène, J.-L., Sansuc, J.-J., Sur le principe de Hasse et l’approximation faible, et sur une hypothèse de Schinzel, *Acta Arithmetica*, 41, 1982, p. 33–53.
- [CTS00] Colliot-Thélène, J.-L., Skorobogatov, A. N., Descent on fibrations over  $\mathbb{P}_k^1$  revisited, *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 128.3, Cambridge University Press, 2000.
- [CTS13] Colliot-Thélène, J.-L., Skorobogatov, A. N., Good reduction of the Brauer-Manin obstruction, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 365.2, 2013, p. 579–590.
- [CTSSD98a] Colliot-Thélène, J.-L., Skorobogatov, A. N., and Swinnerton-Dyer, P., Rational points and zero-cycles on fibred varieties: Schinzel’s hypothesis and Salberger’s device, *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 495, 1998, p. 1–28.
- [Har94] Harari, D., Méthode des fibrations et obstruction de Manin, *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 75, 1994, p.221–260.
- [Har97] Harari, D. Flches de specialisations en cohomologie tale et applications arithmétiques, *Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France*, 125.2, 1997, p. 143–166.
- [HSW] Harpaz, Y., Skorobogatov A. N. and Wittenberg, O., The Hardy-Littlewood Conjecture and Rational Points, *Compositio Mathematica*, 150, 2014, p. 2095-2111.
- [HW14] Harpaz, Y., Wittenberg, O., On the fibration method for zero-cycles and rational points, arXiv preprint <http://arxiv.org/abs/1409.0993>.
- [Mat14] Matthiesen, L., On the square-free representation function of a norm form and nilsequences, arXiv preprint <http://arxiv.org/abs/1409.5028>.
- [Ser92] Serre, J.-P., Résumé des cours au Collège de France, 1991–1992

- [Sme13] Smeets, A., Principes locaux-globaux pour certaines fibrations en torseurs sous un tore, to appear, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 2013.
- [SD94] Swinnerton-Dyer, P., Rational points on pencils of conics and on pencils of quadrics, *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 50.2, 1994, p. 231–242.
- [Wit12] Wittenberg, O., Zéro-cycles sur les fibrations au-dessus d'une courbe de genre quelconque, *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 161.11, 2012, p. 2113–2166.