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#### Abstract

We show that there exist Lévy processes $\left(X_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$ and reals $y>0$ such that for small $t$, the probability $\mathbb{P}\left(X_{t}>y\right)$ has an expansion involving fractional powers or more general functions of $t$. This constrats with previous results giving polynomial expansions under additional assumptions.


## 1 The Brownian case

### 1.1 Main result

Let $\left(X_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$ be a real-valued Lévy process with Lévy measure $\Pi$ and let $y>0$. It is well-known (see for example [B], Chapter 1) that when $t \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(X_{t} \geq y\right) \sim t \bar{\Pi}(y) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $\bar{\Pi}(y)>0$ and $\bar{\Pi}$ is is continuous at $y$, where $\bar{\Pi}$ stands for the tail of $\Pi$ : for every $z>0$,

$$
\bar{\Pi}(z)=\Pi([z, \infty))
$$

It has been proved that under additional assumptions, which in particular include the smoothness of $\bar{\Pi}$, one gets more precise expansions of the probability $\mathbb{P}\left(X_{t} \geq y\right)$ and that these are polynomial in $t$. See [L, P, RW, FH2] among others.

The problem of relating $\Pi$ to the marginals of the process have several applications. The paper [RW], as well as [FH1], is concerned with problems of mathematical finance. Applications of statistical nature can be found in [F]. From a more theoretical point of view, this relation plays an important role when studying small-time behaviour of Lévy processes, which involves fine properties of the Lévy measure (see for instance Section 4 in $[\mathrm{BDM}]$ ).

[^0]Our goal is to exhibit some examples where this expansion involves more general functions of $t$, such as fractional powers, powers of the logarithm and so on. We shall focus on the case when $X$ has the form $X_{t}=S_{t}+Y_{t}$ where $\left(Y_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$ is a compound Poisson process with Lévy measure $\Pi$ and ( $S_{t}, t \geq 0$ ) is a stable process, $S$ and $Y$ being independent. Assume first that

$$
X_{t}=B_{t}+Y_{t}
$$

where $\left(B_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$ is a standard Brownian motion. Then we have:
Theorem 1 (i) Suppose that $\Pi$ has a continuous density $f$ on $[y-\delta, y) \cup(y, y+\delta]$ for some $\delta>0$. Suppose that

$$
f_{+}:=\lim _{x \rightarrow 0+} f(y+x) \neq f_{-}:=\lim _{x \rightarrow 0-} f(y+x)
$$

Then as $t \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(X_{t} \geq y\right)-t\left[\bar{\Pi}(z)-\frac{\Pi(\{z\})}{2}\right] \sim \lambda t^{3 / 2}\left[\frac{\left(f_{-}-f_{+}\right) \mathbb{E}(G)}{2}\right]
$$

where $G$ is the absolute value of a standard gaussian random variable.
(ii) Define the functions $g_{-}, g_{+}: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{+}(x)=\Pi((y, y+x)) \\
& g_{-}(x)=\Pi((y-x, y))
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose that

$$
x^{2}=o\left(\left|g_{+}(x)-g_{-}(x)\right|\right)
$$

as $x \rightarrow 0+$. Then as $t \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(X_{t} \geq y\right)-t\left[\bar{\Pi}(z)-\frac{\Pi(\{z\})}{2}\right] \sim \frac{t \mathbb{E}\left[g_{-}(\sqrt{t} G)-g_{+}(\sqrt{t} G)\right]}{2}
$$

## Remarks

(i) Suppose that for small $x>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{+}(x)=a x+c x^{\alpha}|\log x|^{\beta}+o\left(x^{\alpha}|\log x|^{\beta}\right) \\
& g_{-}(x)=a x+c^{\prime} x^{\gamma}|\log x|^{\delta}+o\left(x^{\gamma}|\log x|^{\delta}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with the conditions that $(c, \alpha, \beta) \neq\left(c^{\prime} \gamma, \delta\right)$ and $1<\min (\alpha, \gamma)<2$. Then $x^{2}=o\left(\left|g_{+}(x)-g_{-}(x)\right|\right)$ and the conclusion of (ii) applies. For example, if $\alpha<\gamma$, this gives the estimate

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(X_{t} \geq y\right)-t\left[\bar{\Pi}(z)-\frac{\Pi(\{z\})}{2}\right] \sim-\frac{c \mathbb{E}\left(G^{\alpha}|\log G|^{\beta}\right)}{2} t^{1+(\alpha / 2)}|\log t|^{\beta}
$$

Of course, one could take any slowly varying function instead of the logarithm. On the other hand, if $\Pi$ has a density that is twice differentiable in the neighbourhood of $y$, then $\left|g_{+}(x)-g_{-}(x)\right|=O\left(x^{2}\right)$ and (ii) does not apply.
(ii) For a fixed time $t$, adding $B_{t}$ to $Y_{t}$ has a smoothing effect on the probability measure $\mathbb{P}\left(X_{t} \in d x\right)$. In turn, if we fix $y$ and consider the function $h_{y}: t \mapsto \mathbb{P}\left(X_{t} \geq y\right)$, the effect of adding $B_{t}$ to $Y_{t}$ is counter-regularizing. Indeed, $h_{y}$ would be analytic in the absence of Brownian motion while it is not twice differentiable in the presence of Brownian motion. This is not very intuitive in our view.

## Proof of Theorem 1

Let $\lambda$ be the total mass of $\Pi$. For every $y>0$ one can write

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{P}\left(X_{t} \geq y\right)=e^{-\lambda t} \mathbb{P}\left(B_{t} \geq y\right) & +\lambda t e^{-\lambda t} \mathbb{P}\left(B_{t}+Z_{1} \geq y\right) \\
& +\frac{(\lambda t)^{2} e^{-\lambda t}}{2} \mathbb{P}\left(B_{t}+Z_{1}+Z_{2} \geq y\right) \\
& +\ldots \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where the random variables $Z_{n}$ are iid with common law $\lambda^{-1} \Pi$. As $t \rightarrow 0$, for every integer $n \geq 0, \mathbb{P}\left(B_{t} \geq y\right)=o\left(t^{n}\right)$. Moreover,

$$
\lambda t e^{-\lambda t} \mathbb{P}\left(B_{t}+Z_{1} \geq y\right)=\lambda t \mathbb{P}\left(B_{t}+Z_{1} \geq y\right)+O\left(t^{2}\right)
$$

Hence, as $t \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(X_{t} \geq y\right)=\lambda t \mathbb{P}\left(B_{t}+Z_{1} \geq y\right)+O\left(t^{2}\right)
$$

Since $\mathbb{P}\left(B_{t}+Z_{1} \geq y\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{1} \geq y-B_{t}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(B_{t}+Z_{1} \geq y\right)= & \lambda^{-1} \bar{\Pi}(y)+\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{1} \in\left[y-B_{t}, y\right), B_{t}>0\right) \\
& -\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{1} \in\left[y, y+\left|B_{t}\right|\right), B_{t}<0\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The stability property $B_{t} \stackrel{d}{=} \sqrt{t} B_{1}$ entails
$\mathbb{P}\left(B_{t}+Z_{1} \geq y\right)-\lambda^{-1} \bar{\Pi}(y)=\frac{1}{2}\left[\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{1} \in[y-\sqrt{t} G, y)\right)-\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{1} \in[y, y+\sqrt{t} G)\right)\right]$
where $G$ is the absolute value of a standard gaussian random variable. Under the assumptions of (i), as $t \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{1} \in[y-\sqrt{t} G, y)\right)=\lambda^{-1} f_{-} \sqrt{t} \mathbb{E}(G)+o(\sqrt{t})
$$

and

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{1} \in[y, y+\sqrt{t} G)\right)=\lambda^{-1} \Pi(\{y\})+\lambda^{-1} f_{+} \sqrt{t} \mathbb{E}(G)+o(\sqrt{t})
$$

Therefore
$\mathbb{P}\left(B_{t}+Z_{1} \geq y\right)-\lambda^{-1}\left[\bar{\Pi}(z)-\frac{\Pi(\{z\})}{2}\right]=\frac{\lambda^{-1}\left[f_{-} \sqrt{t} \mathbb{E}(G)-f_{+} \sqrt{t} \mathbb{E}(G)\right]}{2}+o(\sqrt{t})$
and, together with (2), this entails (i). The proof of (ii) is similar. Remark that proving (ii) does not involve the existence of the expectation $\mathbb{E}(G)$.

### 1.2 Additional remarks

As a slight generalization of Theorem 1, we have:
Proposition 1 With the same notation as in Theorem 1, suppose that there exists an integer $n \geq 1$ such that for every $i<2 n$,

$$
f^{(i)}(y+)=f^{(i)}(y-)
$$

but that

$$
f^{(2 n)}(y+) \neq f^{(2 n)}(y-)
$$

Then there exist some constants $c_{k}, 1 \leq k \leq 2 n+2$ such that as $t \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(X_{t} \geq y\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} c_{k} t^{k}+c_{n+2} t^{n+(3 / 2)}+o\left(t^{n+(3 / 2)}\right)
$$

## Proof

The proof is exactly the same as in Theorem 1. The estimate

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda\left[\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{1} \in[y-\sqrt{t} G, y)\right)-\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{1} \in[y, y+\sqrt{t} G)\right)\right]+\Pi(\{y\}) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left[f^{(2 i-1)}(y-)+f^{(2 i-1)}(y+)\right] \mathbb{E}\left(G^{2 i}\right) t^{i}}{(2 i)!} \\
& \quad+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left[f^{(2 i)}(y-)-f^{(2 i)}(y+)\right] \mathbb{E}\left(G^{2 i+1}\right) t^{i+(1 / 2)}}{(2 n+1)!} \\
& \quad+o\left(t^{n+(1 / 2)}\right) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

shows that in (2), the term

$$
\lambda t e^{-\lambda t} \mathbb{P}\left(B_{t}+Z_{1} \geq y\right)
$$

gives rise to a singularity as stated in the proposition. On the other hand, it is clear that the other terms in (2) yield polynomial terms of degree at least $n+2$ in the small $t$ asymptotics. This proves the proposition.

Thanks to the estimate (3), we can see that the expression of the coefficients $c_{k}$ involves the successive derivatives of $f$ at $y$. This fact was first observed by Figueroa and Houdré [FH2] in the more general context of a Lévy process whose Lévy measure may have infinite mass near 0 . Our method enables us to recover their result in the particular case when $X_{t}$ has the form $X_{t}=B_{t}+Y_{t}$. On the other hand, we do not assume any regularity of the Lévy measure $\Pi$ outside a neighbourhood of $y$, in contrast to [FH2].

It appears that the function $h_{y}: t \mapsto \mathbb{P}\left(X_{t} \geq y\right)$ "feels" the irregularities of the derivatives of $f$ of even order but not the irregularities of the derivatives of $f$ of odd order. In particular, if $\Pi$ has an atom of mass, say $m$ at $y$ but if the measure $\Pi-m \delta_{y}$ is smooth at $y$, then $h_{y}$ is smooth at 0 . Thus in that case,
the largest possible irregularity of $\Pi$ at $y$ is not reflected by an irregularity of $h_{y}$. This may seem counter-intuitive.

Remark that the first-order estimate (1) does not enable us to detect the presence or absence of a Brownian part in the process $X$. In turn, looking at finer estimates, we can see that the presence of a Brownian part is felt either through the fact that for some $y$, the function $h_{y}: t \mapsto \mathbb{P}\left(X_{t} \geq y\right)$ is not smooth, or through the fact that the functions $h_{y}$ are smooth for all $y$ but that their expression involves the derivatives of $f$.

Our last remark concerns the case when $\Pi$ has a Dirac mass at $y$. In that case, Theorem 1 states that

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(X_{t} \geq z\right) \sim t\left[\overline{\bar{\Pi}}(z)-\frac{\Pi(\{z\})}{2}\right]
$$

and the function $z \mapsto \bar{\Pi}(z)-\Pi(\{z\}) / 2$ is discontinuous at $y$. However, since $X$ has a Brownian component, the law of $X_{t}$ has a smooth density for every $t>0$ and so the function $z \mapsto \mathbb{P}\left(X_{t} \geq z\right)$ is continuous at $y$. The compatibility between these two observations is explained in the following:

Proposition 2 With the same notation as in Theorem 1, suppose that for some $y>0, \Pi(\{y\})>0$ and that $\Pi$ has a continuous density $f$ on $\mathbb{R}-\{y\}$. Then for every fixed $c>0$, as $t \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(X_{t} \geq y+c \sqrt{t}\right) \sim t\left[\bar{\Pi}(y)-\frac{\Pi(\{y\}) \mathbb{P}(G \leq c)}{2}\right]
$$

Of course, a similar result holds for $c<0$.

## Proof

The same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 1 give

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(X_{t} \geq y+c \sqrt{t}\right)-t \bar{\Pi}(y+c \sqrt{t}) \sim & \frac{\lambda t}{2}\left[\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{1} \in[y+\sqrt{t}(c-G), y+\sqrt{t} c)\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{1} \in[y+\sqrt{t} c, y+\sqrt{t}(c+G))\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the regularity of $\Pi$ on $\mathbb{R}-\{y\}$, we get the estimates

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{1} \in[y+\sqrt{t}(c-G), y+\sqrt{t} c)\right)=\lambda^{-1} \Pi(\{y\}) \mathbb{P}(G \geq c)+O(\sqrt{t}) \\
\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{1} \in[y+\sqrt{t} c, y+\sqrt{t}(c+G))\right)=O(\sqrt{t})
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\bar{\Pi}(y+c \sqrt{t})=\bar{\Pi}(y)-\Pi(\{y\})+O(\sqrt{t})
$$

This gives the result

## 2 The stable case

Consider now the process

$$
X_{t}=Y_{t}+S_{t}
$$

where $S$ is a stable process of index $\alpha \in(0,2)$ and $Y$ is an independent compound Poisson process with Lévy measure $\Pi$. Let $\nu$ be the Lévy measure of $X$ and denote by $\bar{\nu}$ the tail of $\nu$.

Theorem 2 (i) Let $g_{+}, g_{-}$be as in Theorem 1. Suppose that when $t \rightarrow 0$,

$$
t=o\left(\mathbb{E}\left[g_{-}\left(t^{1 / \alpha} S_{1}\right) \mathbf{1}_{\left\{S_{1}>0\right\}}-g_{+}\left(t^{1 / \alpha}\left|S_{1}\right| \mathbf{1}_{\left\{S_{1}<0\right\}}\right]\right)\right.
$$

Then for small $t>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}\left(X_{t} \geq y\right)-t\left[\bar{\nu}(y)-\mathbb{P}\left(S_{1}<0\right) \Pi(\{y\})\right] \\
& \sim t \mathbb{E}\left[g_{-}\left(t^{1 / \alpha} S_{1}\right) \mathbf{1}_{\left\{S_{1}>0\right\}}-g_{+}\left(t^{1 / \alpha}\left|S_{1}\right| \mathbf{1}_{\left\{S_{1}<0\right\}}\right]\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) Suppose that there exist $\beta>\alpha, a \in \mathbb{R}, b, \delta_{0}>0$ such that if $|x|<\delta_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\bar{\Pi}(y+x)-\bar{\Pi}(y)-a x|<b x^{\beta} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists a real $c$ such that as $t \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(X_{t} \geq y\right)=t\left[\bar{\nu}(y)-\mathbb{P}\left(S_{1}<0\right) \Pi(\{y\})\right]+c t^{2}+o\left(t^{2}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Remarks

(i) Suppose that $\alpha>1$. Then Theorem 2 (i) applies for example when $g_{+}(x) \sim a x, g_{-}(x) \sim b x$ in the neighbourhood of 0 , with $a \neq b$. Another instance is the case when

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{+}(x)=a x+c x^{\eta}|\log x|^{\beta}+o\left(x^{\eta}|\log x|^{\beta}\right) \\
& g_{-}(x)=a x+c^{\prime} x^{\gamma}|\log x|^{\delta}+o\left(x^{\gamma}|\log x|^{\delta}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with the conditions that $(c, \alpha, \beta) \neq\left(c^{\prime} \gamma, \delta\right)$ and $1<\min (\eta, \gamma)<\alpha$.
(ii) Likewise, in the case when $\alpha<1$, choosing

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{+}(x)=c x^{\eta}|\log x|^{\beta}+o\left(x^{\eta}|\log x|^{\beta}\right) \\
& g_{-}(x)=c^{\prime} x^{\gamma}|\log x|^{\delta}+o\left(x^{\gamma}|\log x|^{\delta}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $(c, \alpha, \beta) \neq\left(c^{\prime} \gamma, \delta\right)$ and $\alpha / 2<\min (\eta, \gamma)<\alpha$ provides an example in which the conditions of Theorem 2 (i) are satisfied. Remark that $\Pi$ does not have a bounded density, which is not surprising. Indeed, Theorem 2.2 in [FH2] shows, in the general framework of a Lévy process with bounded variation, that if the Lévy measure is bounded outside a neighbourhood of 0 , then an estimate of the form (5) always holds.
(iii) The examples provided for $\alpha<1$ also work when $\alpha=1$. Besides, when $\alpha=1$, consider the case when $y>1 / 2, \Pi$ is supported on $[y-1 / 2, y+1 / 2]$ and for $0 \leq x \leq 1 / 2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{+}(x)=\frac{a x}{(-1+\log x)^{2}} \\
& g_{-}(x)=\frac{b x}{(-1+\log x)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $b \neq a$. Then it is easily seen that $\Pi$ has bounded density and that the conditions of Theorem 2 (i) are satisfied. Of course, the difference with the case $\alpha<1$ is that when $\alpha=1$, the process has infinite variation.
(iv) Theorem 2 (ii) indicates that, loosely speaking, adding $S_{t}$ instead of $B_{t}$ to $Y_{t}$ is more regularizing for the function $h_{y}: t \mapsto \mathbb{P}\left(X_{t} \geq y\right)$. Moreover, the smaller $\alpha$ is, the easier it is to satisfy (4).

## Proof of Theorem 2

The proof of (i) is the same as the proof of Theorem 1 (ii). Recall that this proof does not use the existence of $\mathbb{E}(G)$, and thus can be mimicked even in the case when $\alpha \leq 1$, in which $\mathbb{E}\left(S_{1}\right)$ does not exist. On the other hand, the proof of Proposition 1 cannot be reproduced in the stable case. Indeed, an analogue of (3) no longer holds, since one would have to replace $G$ with $\left|S_{1}\right|$ but $E\left|S_{1}\right|^{n}=\infty$ if $n \geq 2$.

Let us prove (ii). To simplify the notation, we assume that $\Pi$ has total mass 1. Recall that there exists a family $\left(c_{n}\right)$ of reals such that for every $N \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(S_{t} \in d y\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{N} c_{n} t^{n} y^{-n \alpha-1}+o\left(t^{N}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$. See Zolotarev [Z], Chapter 2.5. As in the proof of Theorem 1,
$\mathbb{P}\left(X_{t} \geq y\right)=e^{-t} \mathbb{P}\left(S_{t} \geq y\right)+t e^{-t} \mathbb{P}\left(S_{t}+Z_{1} \geq y\right)+\frac{t^{2} e^{-t}}{2} \mathbb{P}\left(S_{t}+Z_{1}+Z_{2} \geq y\right)+o\left(t^{2}\right)$
Remark that

$$
t e^{-t} \mathbb{P}\left(S_{t}+Z_{1} \geq y\right)=t \mathbb{P}\left(S_{t}+Z_{1} \geq y\right)-t^{2} \mathbb{P}\left(S_{t}+Z_{1} \geq y\right)+o\left(t^{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
\frac{t^{2} e^{-t}}{2} \mathbb{P}\left(S_{t}+Z_{1}+Z_{2} \geq y\right)=\frac{t^{2}}{2} P\left(S_{t}+Z_{1}+Z_{2} \geq y\right)+o\left(t^{2}\right)
$$

Together with (6), this entails

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(X_{t} \geq y\right)=A t+B t^{2}+t \mathbb{P}\left(S_{t}+Z_{1} \geq y\right)+o\left(t^{2}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constants $A$ and $B$. The key point is to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(S_{t}+Z_{1} \geq y\right)=\bar{\Pi}(y)+C t+o(t) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $C$. Let us first handle the case when $\alpha>1$. As already seen,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(S_{t}+Z_{1} \geq y\right)-\bar{\Pi}(y)= & \mathbb{P}\left(Z_{1} \in\left[y-t^{1 / \alpha} S_{1}, y\right), S_{1}>0\right) \\
& -\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{1} \in\left[y, y+\left|t^{1 / \alpha} S_{1}\right|\right), S_{1}<0\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us consider the first term of the right-hand side:

$$
I_{1}:=\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{1} \in\left[y-t^{1 / \alpha} S_{1}, y\right), S_{1}>0\right)=\int_{0}^{\infty} g(x) \mathbb{P}\left(Z_{1} \in\left[y-t^{1 / \alpha} x, y\right)\right) d x
$$

where $g$ denotes the density of $S_{1}$. Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{1} \in[y-z, y)\right)-a z \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
I_{1}=a t^{1 / \alpha} \int_{0}^{\infty} x g(x) d x+\int_{0}^{\infty} g(x) F\left(t^{1 / \alpha} x\right) d x
$$

Let $\delta>0$ and cut the last integral as follows:

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} g(x) F\left(t^{1 / \alpha} x\right) d x=\int_{0}^{\delta t^{-1 / \alpha}}+\int_{\delta t-1 / \alpha}^{\infty}
$$

By a change of variable, the second integral can be rewritten as

$$
\int_{\delta t^{-1 / \alpha}}^{\infty} g(x) F\left(t^{1 / \alpha} x\right) d x=t^{-1 / \alpha} \int_{\delta}^{\infty} g\left(z t^{-1 / \alpha}\right) F(z) d z
$$

Using Zolotarev's estimate (6) yields $g\left(z t^{-1 / \alpha}\right) \sim K\left(z t^{-1 / \alpha}\right)^{-1-\alpha}$ for some $K>$ 0 and thus we get

$$
\int_{\delta t^{-1 / \alpha}}^{\infty} g(x) F\left(t^{1 / \alpha} x\right) d x=K t \int_{\delta}^{\infty} F(z) \frac{d z}{z^{1+\alpha}}+H_{1}(\delta, t)
$$

where the function $H_{1}(\delta, t)$ depends on $\delta$ but in any case, $H_{1}(\delta, t)=o(t)$. Let us consider the other integral, namely

$$
I(\delta):=\int_{0}^{\delta t^{-1 / \alpha}} g(x) F\left(t^{1 / \alpha} x\right) d x
$$

Then if $\delta<\delta_{0}$, the assumption (4) entails that for every $x \in[0, \delta],|F(x)| \leq b x^{\beta}$, whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
|I(\delta)|<b \int_{0}^{\delta t^{-1 / \alpha}} t^{\beta / \alpha} x^{\beta} g(x) d x \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us bound, for large $M$,

$$
\int_{0}^{M} x^{\beta} g(x) d x=\mathbb{E}\left(S_{1}^{\beta} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{0<S_{1}<M\right\}}\right)
$$

Write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left(S_{1}^{\beta} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{0<S_{1}<M\right\}}\right) & =\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(S_{1}^{\beta}>x, S_{1}<M\right) d x \\
& =\int_{0}^{M^{\beta}} \mathbb{P}\left(x^{1 / \beta}<S_{1}<M\right) d x \\
& \leq \int_{\log M}^{M^{\beta}} \mathbb{P}\left(x^{1 / \beta}<S_{1}\right) d x+\log M
\end{aligned}
$$

Using again (6), we get that if $x \geq \log M$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(x^{1 / \beta}<S_{1}\right) \leq \frac{K}{x^{\alpha / \beta}}\left(1+\left(\frac{c}{\log M}\right)^{\alpha / \beta}\right)
$$

for some $c>0$. Therefore there exists some $M_{1}>0$ such that if $M>M_{1}$,

$$
\int_{0}^{M} x^{\beta} g(x) d x \leq \frac{2 K M^{\beta-\alpha}}{1-(\alpha / \beta)}
$$

Using this estimate together with (10) leads to:

$$
|I(\delta)| \leq \frac{2 b K \delta^{\beta-\alpha} t}{1-(\alpha / \beta)}
$$

whenever $\delta<\delta_{0}$ and $\delta t^{-1 / \alpha}>M_{1}$. Thus for $\delta, t$ satisfying these conditions,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mid \mathbb{P}\left(Z_{1} \in\left[y-t^{1 / \alpha} S_{1}, y\right), S_{1}>0\right) \\
& \left.\quad-a t^{1 / \alpha} \int_{0}^{\infty} x g(x) d x-K t \int_{\delta}^{\infty}\left[\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{1} \in[y-z, y)\right)-a z\right] \frac{d z}{z^{1+\alpha}} \right\rvert\, \\
& \quad \leq \frac{2 b K \delta^{\beta-\alpha} t}{1-(\alpha / \beta)}+H_{1}(\delta, t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mid \mathbb{P}\left(Z_{1} \in\left[y, y+\left|t^{1 / \alpha} S_{1}\right|\right), S_{1}<0\right)-\mathbb{P}\left(S_{1}<0\right) \Pi(\{y\}) \\
& \left.-a t^{1 / \alpha} \int_{-\infty}^{0}|x| g(x) d x-K t \int_{\delta}^{\infty}\left[\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{1} \in(y, y+z)\right)-a z\right] \frac{d z}{z^{1+\alpha}} \right\rvert\, \\
& \quad \leq \frac{2 b K \delta^{\beta-\alpha} t}{1-(\alpha / \beta)}+H_{2}(\delta, t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark that in the formula above, we have replaced the semi-open interval $[y, y+z)$ with the open interval $(y, y+z)$ and this accounts for presence of the term $\mathbb{P}\left(S_{1}<0\right) \Pi(\{y\})$. Since $S$ is stable with index $\alpha>1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} x g(x) d x-\int_{-\infty}^{0}|x| g(x) d x=\mathbb{E}\left(S_{1}\right)=0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this entails

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mid \mathbb{P}\left(S_{t}+Z_{1} \geq y\right)-\left[\bar{\Pi}(y)-\mathbb{P}\left(S_{1}<0\right) \Pi(\{y\})\right] \\
& \left.\quad-K t\left(\int_{\delta}^{\infty}\left[\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{1} \in[y-z, y)\right)-\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{1} \in(y, y+z)\right)\right] \frac{d z}{z^{1+\alpha}}\right) \right\rvert\, \\
& \quad \leq \frac{4 b K \delta^{\beta-\alpha} t}{1-(\alpha / \beta)}+H_{1}(\delta, t)+H_{2}(\delta, t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Because of the assumption (4),

$$
\int_{\delta}^{\infty}\left[\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{1} \in[y-z, y)\right)-\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{1} \in(y, y+z)\right)\right] \frac{d z}{z^{1+\alpha}}
$$

has a limit as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. Put

$$
\left.L=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left[\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{1} \in[y-z, y)\right)-\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{1} \in(y, y+z]\right)\right)\right] \frac{d z}{z^{1+\alpha}}
$$

Now fix $\epsilon>0$. There exists $\delta_{1}$ such that if $\delta \leq \delta_{1}$,

$$
\left|L-\left(\int_{\delta}^{\infty}\left[\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{1} \in[y-z, y]\right)-\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{1} \in(y, y+z]\right)\right] \frac{d z}{z^{1+\alpha}}\right)\right| \leq \epsilon
$$

Moreover, one can choose $\delta>0$ such that $\delta \leq \inf \left(\delta_{0}, \delta_{1}\right)$ and that

$$
\frac{4 b K \delta^{\beta-\alpha}}{1-(\alpha / \beta)} \leq \epsilon
$$

For such a choice of $\delta$, if $t$ satisfies $\delta t^{-1 / \alpha}>M_{1}$, i.e. $t<(\delta / M)^{\alpha}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\mathbb{P}\left(S_{t}+Z_{1} \geq y\right)-\left[\bar{\Pi}(y)-\mathbb{P}\left(S_{1}<0\right) \Pi(\{y\})\right]-K L t\right| \\
& \quad \leq 2 \epsilon t+H_{1}(\delta, t)+H_{2}(\delta, t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, since $H_{1}(\delta, t)+H_{2}(\delta, t)=o(t)$, one may choose $t$ small enough so that

$$
H_{1}(\delta, t)+H_{2}(\delta, t) \leq \epsilon t
$$

and thus we have proved that if $t$ is small enough,

$$
\left|\mathbb{P}\left(S_{t}+Z_{1} \geq y\right)-\left[\bar{\Pi}(y)-\mathbb{P}\left(S_{1}<0\right) \Pi(\{y\})\right]-K L t\right| \leq 3 \epsilon t
$$

which proves (8) in the case $\alpha>1$.
When $\alpha=1$, we replace (9) with

$$
F(z)=\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{1} \in[y-z, y)\right)-a z \mathbf{1}_{(|z|<1)}
$$

The proof then goes along the same lines. The only difference is that (11) is replaced by the following equality:

$$
\int_{0}^{1} x g(x) d x-\int_{-1}^{0}|x| g(x) d x
$$

which uses the symmetry of $S$.
Finally, when $\alpha<1$, starting again from (7), we can directly evaluate, using a change of variable together with (6),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{1} \in\left[y-t^{1 / \alpha} S_{1}, y\right), S_{1}>0\right) & =\int_{0}^{\infty} g(x) \mathbb{P}\left(Z_{1} \in\left[y-t^{1 / \alpha} x, y\right)\right) \\
& \sim K t \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d z}{z^{1+\alpha}} \mathbb{P}\left(Z_{1} \in[y-z, y)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The latter integral is convergent at 0 thanks to the assumptions of the theorem and this concludes the proof in the case $\alpha<1$.

Finally, let us state the analogue of Proposition 2 in the case when $X_{t}=$ $S_{t}+Y_{t}$ :

Proposition 3 Suppose that for some $y>0, \Pi(\{y\})>0$ and that $\Pi$ has a continuous density on $\mathbb{R}-\{y\}$. Then for every fixed $c>0$, as $t \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(X_{t} \geq y+c t^{1 / \alpha}\right) \sim t\left[\bar{\Pi}(y)-\mathbb{P}\left(0<S_{1} \leq c\right) \Pi(\{y\})\right]
$$

Here again, a similar result holds for $c<0$.
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