

Corrigendum to “Iwasawa modules and p -modular representations of GL_2 ”

Stefano Morra

We want to correct the statements in [Mor17, Proposition 4.4], and in the subsequent proof.

The problem in *loc. cit.* is that in the proof we worked as if $A_{m,n}$ were $k[[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ p^m \mathcal{O}_F/p^n \mathcal{O}_F & 1 \end{smallmatrix}]]$, while we have instead $A_{m,n} \cong k[[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ p^m \mathcal{O}_F/p^{n+1} \mathcal{O}_F & 1 \end{smallmatrix}]]$.

We give a corrected version of the statement and its proof. We freely use the notation of [Mor17] in what follows.

PROPOSITION 0.1. *Let $n \geq m \geq 1$ and let $\underline{l} = (l_m, \dots, l_n) \in \{\{0, \dots, p-1\}^f\}^{(n-m)}$ be an $(n-m+1)$ -tuple of f -tuples.*

Then one has the following equality in $A_{m,n}$:

$$\underline{X}^{\underline{l}} \equiv \kappa_{\underline{l}} F_{\underline{p-1-l}_m, \dots, \underline{p-1-l}_n}^{(m,n)} \pmod{\mathfrak{m}^{|\underline{l}|+(p-1)}}$$

where

$$\underline{X}^{\underline{l}} = \prod_{j=0}^{f-1} X_j^{\sum_{i=m}^n p^{i-m} l_{i,j}}$$

and

$$\underline{p-1-l}_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (p-1-l_{i,j})_{j=0}^{f-1}$$

for all $i = m, \dots, n$.

Proof. The proof is divided into two steps: the residual case ($n-m=0$) and a dévissage. Note that for $n-m=0$ the statement is clear up to the explicit multiplicative constant, by looking at the action of the finite torus.

If $n=m$ and $\underline{l} \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}^f$ is an f -tuple, we write $F_{\underline{l}} = F_{\underline{l}}^{(m,m)}$ not to overload notation in what follows.

LEMMA 0.2. *Keep the setting of Proposition 0.1 and assume that $n-m=0$.*

For any f -tuple $\underline{l} \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}^f$ we have the following equality in $A_{m,m}$:

$$\underline{X}^{\underline{l}} = \begin{cases} \kappa_{\underline{l}} F_{\underline{p-1-l}} & \text{if } |\underline{l}| > 0 \\ \kappa_0 F_{p-1} + (-1)^{f-1} \underline{X}^{p-1} & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Note first that

$$\kappa_{\underline{l}+e_i} = (p-1-l_i) \kappa_{\underline{l}} \tag{1}$$

and that $\kappa_{e_i} = 1$ for all $i \in \{0, \dots, f-1\}$. The statement is therefore an immediate induction using Lemma 0.3 below. \square

LEMMA 0.3. *Keep the hypotheses of Lemma 0.2. Assume moreover that $\underline{l} + e_i \leq \underline{p} - 1$. Then:*

$$F_{\underline{p}-1-e_i} F_{\underline{p}-1-\underline{l}} = (p-1-l_i) F_{\underline{p}-1-(\underline{l}+e_i)}.$$

Proof. By the very definition of the elements $F_{\underline{p}-1-e_i}$, $F_{\underline{p}-1-\underline{l}}$ have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\underline{p}-1-e_i} F_{\underline{p}-1-\underline{l}} &= \sum_{\lambda, \mu \in k_F} \lambda^{p-1-e_i} (\mu - \lambda)^{p-1-\underline{l}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ p^m [\varphi^{-m+1}(\lambda)] & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{j \leq \underline{p}-1-\underline{l}} \binom{\underline{p}-1-\underline{l}}{j} (-1)^j \sum_{\lambda \in k_F} \lambda^{p-1-e_i+j} F_{\underline{p}-1-\underline{l}-j} \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows since

$$\sum_{\lambda \in k_F} \lambda^{p-1-e_i+j} = -\delta_{j, e_i}.$$

□

We consider now the dévissage. Recall that the inclusion $p^{m+1}\mathcal{O}_F/p^{n+1}\mathcal{O}_F \hookrightarrow p^m\mathcal{O}_F/p^{n+1}\mathcal{O}_F$ induces an injective k -algebra homomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \iota : A_{m+1, n} &\hookrightarrow A_{m, n} \\ X_{m+1, i} &\mapsto X_{m, i}^p. \end{aligned}$$

In order to emphasize the inductive argument, we write \mathfrak{m} , \mathfrak{m}_1 to denote the maximal ideal of $A_{m, n}$, $A_{m+1, n}$ respectively (so that, in particular $\iota(\mathfrak{m}_1) = \mathfrak{m}^p$).

Given a monomial $\underline{X}^{\underline{l}} \in A_{m, n}$, we can write

$$\underline{X}^{\underline{l}} = \underline{X}^{\underline{l}^{(1)}} \iota(\underline{X}^{\underline{l}^{(2)}})$$

for $\underline{l}^{(1)} \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}^f$, $\underline{l}^{(2)} \in \mathbf{N}^f$ verifying $\underline{l} = \underline{l}^{(1)} + p\underline{l}^{(2)}$.

By the inductive hypothesis on $A_{m+1, n}$ we have

$$\iota(\underline{X}^{\underline{l}^{(2)}}) \in \kappa_{\underline{l}^{(2)}} F_{\underline{p}-1-\underline{l}^{(2)}}^{(m+1, n)} + \iota(\mathfrak{m}_1^{|\underline{l}^{(2)}|+(p-1)}) = \kappa_{\underline{l}^{(2)}} F_{\underline{p}-1-\underline{l}^{(2)}}^{(m+1, n)} + \mathfrak{m}^{p|\underline{l}^{(2)}|+(p-1)} \quad (2)$$

and we claim that

Claim: In the situation above, we have

$$\underline{X}^{\underline{l}^{(1)}} \in \kappa_{\underline{l}^{(1)}} F_{\underline{p}-1-\underline{l}^{(1)}}^{(m)} \bmod \mathfrak{m}^{|\underline{l}^{(1)}|+(p-1)}. \quad (3)$$

This will imply the statement of Proposition 0.1 since from (2) and (3) we easily get

$$\underline{X}^{\underline{l}} \equiv \kappa_{\underline{l}} F_{\underline{p}-1-\underline{l}_m, \dots, \underline{p}-1-\underline{l}_n}^{(m, n)} + \mathfrak{m}^{|\underline{l}|+(p-1)}.$$

Proof of the Claim. By Lemma 0.2 we have, in $A_{m, n}$:

$$\underline{X}^{\underline{l}^{(1)}} \in \kappa_{\underline{l}^{(1)}} F_{\underline{p}-1-\underline{l}^{(1)}}^{(m)} + \sum_{i=0}^{f-1} X_i^p \cdot A_{m, n}. \quad (4)$$

Let us consider a monomial $X_i^p \underline{X}^{\underline{l}}$ appearing with a non-zero coefficient in the sum $\sum_{i=0}^{f-1} X_i^p A_{m, n}$ in the RHS of (4). As the finite torus $\mathbf{T}(k_F)$ acts semisimply on $A_{m, n}$ and $\underline{X}^{\underline{l}^{(1)}}$, X_i^p are eigenvectors, we deduce that the f -tuple $\underline{t} \in \mathbf{N}$ verifies:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{f-1} p^j r_j \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{f-1} p^j l_j^{(1)} - p^{i+1} \bmod q-1.$$

This implies $|\underline{t}| \equiv |\underline{t}^{(1)}| - 1 \pmod{p-1}$, hence the *Claim*. □

REFERENCES

Mor17 Stefano Morra, *Iwasawa modules and p -modular representations of GL_2* , Israel J. Math. **219** (2017), no. 1, 1–70. MR 3642015

Stefano Morra morra@math.univ-paris13.fr

Université Paris 8, Laboratoire d'Analyse, Géométrie et Applications, LAGA, Université Sorbonne Paris Nord, CNRS, UMR 7539, F-93430, Villetaneuse, France