## SOCLE FILTRATION FOR SOME MODULAR REPRESENTATIONS OF $GL_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$

STEFANO MORRA

ABSTRACT. Let p be a prime number and let  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  be an integer. We show an algorithm describing the socle filtration of the modular representations  $\mathbf{Sym}^k \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^2$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The study of modular representations of  $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$  over a field of characteristic p intensified greatly in the last few years. Such an interest comes from the research of a -still conjectural- modulo p Langlands correspondence, whose most up to date reference is the work of Breuil-Paskunas [7]. The first hypothesis about the possibility of such a correspondence are in Breuil's work [6]. In the latter, the author conjectures an explicit correspondence between the semisimplified of some 2-dimensional crystalline irreducible Gaois representations  $V_{k,a_p}$  of  $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}/\mathbf{Q}_p)$  over  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}$  and the semisimplified of certain modular representations of  $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$  over  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ , proving it with suitable restrictions on the Hodge-Tate weights of  $V_{k,a_p}$  (see Théorème 3.3.6). The recents works of Berger-Breuil [5] and Colmez [10] let us dispose of a p-adic Local Langlands correspondence for  $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$  and we know thanks to the recent results of Berger [4] that such a correspondence is compatible with the reduction modulo p in the sense specified by Breuil (definition 1.1 in [6]).

Now, two questions arise naturally:

- -) Given an irreducible 2-dimensional crystalline representation  $V_{k,a_p}$  of the absolute Galois group  $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}/\mathbf{Q}_p)$  over  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ , is it possible to know explicitly its "reduction modulo p", dependingly from  $k, a_p$ ?
- -) Is it possible to generalize Breuil's correspondence modulo p when we consider finite and unramified extention F of  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ ?

Concerning the first question, a recent work of Buzzard and Gee [9] gives the answer when  $k \neq 3 \mod p - 1$  and the *p*-adic valuation  $val(a_p)$  of the slope  $a_p$  is in ]0,1[. Concerning the second, the works of Breuil-Paskunas [7], and Hu [11] show that the situation is far more complicate than for  $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$  and nowadays we don't dispose of satisfactory means to describe smooth irreducible admissible representations of  $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$  over  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$  for F finite and unramified extention of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ .

On the other hand, modular representations of  $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbf{F}_q)$  and  $\operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_q)$  (where  $q = p^n$ ) are widely studied since the '70. For instance, a complete description of the principal indecomposable modules for  $\operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_q)$  can be found in the works of [3] and [13], the periodicity of the Weil modules attached to the representations  $\operatorname{Sym}^m \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^2$  of  $\operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_q)$  is given in [2], and a detailed study of the representations

Key words and phrases. socle filtration, modular representations, local representations.

 $\operatorname{Sym}^{m}\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{p}^{2}$  of  $\operatorname{GL}_{2}(\mathbf{F}_{p})$  is given by the paper of Glover [12]. In particular, the possibility of having an explicit decomposition of the representation  $\operatorname{Sym}^m \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^2$  of  $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$  has became a suitable tool in the study of explicit reduction of modular representions of  $GL_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ , cf. [6], lemme 5.1.3 or [8], lemme 4.1.4. It's therefore quite a surprise that we don't find in the literature a reference concerning that thanks to [12] we do dispose of the socle filtration of the representation  $\operatorname{Sym}^m \overline{\mathbf{F}}_n^2$ !

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. I thank Vytautas Paskunas for his bibliographical suggestions and Ariane Mézard for her constant support and patience. I am grateful to Kevin Buzzard, Toby Gee, Florian Herzig for pointing out several typos.

## 2. Description of the socle filtration

We remind the standard notations. We fix a prime number p,  $\mathbf{F}_p$  the field with p elements, and  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$  a fixed algebraic closure of  $\mathbf{F}_p$ . The group  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$  acts naturally on  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^2$  from which we deduce a natural action of G over the symmetric algebra  $\operatorname{Sym}(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^2)$ , compatible with the natural grading. Given  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ , we will write  $V_m$  for the G-representation given by the m-1 homogeneous component  $\operatorname{Sym}^{m-1}\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^2$  of  $\operatorname{Sym}(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^2)$  (with the convention that  $V_0 = 0$ ). Withouth any explicit remark, all the objects will be *G*-representations over  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ , and all morphisms will be G equivariant.

We remind the description of irreducible *G*-representations over  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{p}$ :

**Lemma 2.1.** Given  $m \in \{1, \ldots, p\}$ ,  $n \in \{0, \ldots, p-2\}$ , the representations

 $V_m \otimes \det^n$ 

are pairwise non isomorphic, and give all the irreducible representations of G over  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{p}$ .

**Proof:** Omissis. Cf. [12], (6.2). #

We recall that for each irreducible G-representation V, we can associate the principal indecomposable module P(V) (PIM for short), which is a projective indecomposable G-representation, whose isomorphism class is determined by its socle (cf. [1], §2). Then, given  $m \in \{1, ..., p\}$  and  $n \in \{0, ..., p-2\}$  we write P[m, n]for the PIM of socle  $V_m \otimes \det^n$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** Let  $m \in \{1, \ldots, p\}$ ,  $n \in \{0, \ldots, p-2\}$ . The socle filtration associated to P[m,n] is then

- i)  $V_1 \otimes \det^n V_{p-2} \otimes \det^{n+1} V_1 \otimes \det^n$  if m = 1;
- $m \leq p - 1;$
- *iii*)  $V_p \otimes \det^n if m = p$ .

**Proof:** Omissis. Cf. [12], (6.1).#

We notice that for sufficiently small weights the decomposition in PIM of projective G-representations is completely explicit. More precisely:

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

**Proposition 2.3.** Let  $k \in \{0, \ldots, p-1\}$ . Then we have

$$V_{kp} \cong \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor} P[p-k+1+2j,k-1-j].$$

**Proof:**Omissis. Cf. [12], (6.3).♯

We recall ([12]) that for any  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ , we have a decomposition

$$V_m \cong \Pr(V_m) \oplus \ln(V_m)$$

where  $\Pr(V_m)$  is projective and  $\ln(V_m)$  is either zero or non projective indecomposable; such a decomposition is essentially unique thanks to the Krull-Schmidt theorem.

For small weights, we can describe in detail the socle filtration of  $In(V_m)$  and the decomposition in PIM of  $Pr(V_m)$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** Let  $k \in \{0, \ldots, p-2\}$ ,  $r \in \{0, \ldots, p-1\}$ . The socle filtration of  $\text{In}(V_{pk+r})$  is given by:

$$i) \ \operatorname{soc}(\operatorname{In}(V_{kp+r})) = \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\min\{k+1,r\}-1} V_{k+r-2i} \otimes \det^{i} & \text{if } k+r 
$$ii) \ \operatorname{In}(V_{kp+r})/\operatorname{soc}(\operatorname{In}(V_{kp+r})) = \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\min\{p-k,r\}-1} V_{p-k+r-1-2i} \otimes \det^{k+i} & \text{if } k-r \ge 0 \\ p-1-\max\{p-k,r\} & \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p} V_{p+k-r-1-2i} \otimes \det^{r+i} & \text{if } r > k. \end{cases}$$$$

The decomposition into PIM of  $V_{kp+r}$  is given by:

$$\Pr(V_{kp+r}) = \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k-r-1}{2} \rfloor} P[p-k+r+1+2j,k-1-j] \\ \text{if } k-r \ge 0 \text{ and } k+r 0 \text{ and } k+r 0 \text{ and } k+r \ge p \end{cases}$$

**Proof:** Omissis. Cf. [12], (6.4). #

Let  $k \in \{0, \ldots, p-1\}$ . We set

$$Q_k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{p-k-2}{2} \rfloor} P[k+2(j+1), -1-j] \oplus \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor} P[p-k+1+2j, k-1-j]$$

and

$$Q_p \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{p-1}{2} \rfloor} P[1+2j,-j].$$

Then, we have

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and write m = n(p+1) + k, with  $k \in \{0, \dots, p\}$ . We then have an isomorphism

$$V_{m+p(p-1)} \cong V_m \oplus (Q_k \otimes \det^n).$$

**Proof:** Omissis. Cf. [12], (6.7) and (6.8).<sup>‡</sup>

We finally notice that such an explicit description of  $V_m$  gives us an algorithm to compute its socle filtration for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Indeed, we know the socle filtration of each PIM, and since the functor  $V \mapsto \operatorname{soc}(V)$  is additive, theorem 2.5 let us reduce to the case where m < p(p-1). But then, we can conclude thanks to the explicit description given by proposition 2.4.

## References

- [1] Local representation theory, Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics 11, 1986.
- [2] J. Alperin, L.G. Kovacs, Periodicity of the Weil modules for SL(2,q) Journal of Algebra 74, 52-54, 1982.
- [3] H. Andersen, J. Jorgensen, P. Landrock, The projective indecomposable modules of SL(2, p<sup>n</sup>), Proc. London Math. Soc.46, 1983, 38-52.
- [4] L. Berger, Représentations modulaires de GL<sub>2</sub>(Q<sub>p</sub>) et representations galoisiennes de dimension 2, to appear in Astérisque.
- [5] L. Berger; C. Breuil, Sur quelques représentations potentiellement cristallines de  $GL_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ , to appear in Astérisque.
- [6] C. Breuil, Sur quelques représentation modulaire et p-adique de  $GL_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ , II, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu 2, 2003, 1-36.
- [7] C. Breuil, V. Paskunas, Towards a mod p Langlands correspondence, Prepublications 2007.
- [8] C. Breuil, A. Mézard, Représentations semi-stables de  $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ , demi-plan p-adique et réduction modulo p, to appear in Astérisque.
- [9] K. Buzzard, T. Gee Explicit reduction modulo p of certain crystalline representations ArXiv etc etc, 2008
- [10] P. Colmez, Une correspondance de Langlands locale p-adique pour les représentations semistables dedimension 2, to appear in Astérisque.
- [11] Hu, unpublished
- [12] D. Glover, A study of certains modular représentations, Journal of Algebra 51 (1978), pp. 425-475.
- [13] B. Upadhyaya, Composition factors of the principal indecomposable modules for the special linear group SL(2,q),J. London Math. Soc.17, 1978, 437-445.

LABORATOIRE MATHÉMATIQUES DE VERSAILLES

UNIVERSITÉ DE VERSAILLES, 45 AVENUE DES ETAS-UNIS, 78035 VERSAILLES, FRANCE E-mail address: stefano.morra@math.uvsq.fr

4