

# INTRODUCTION BY GEOFFROY

Étale fundamental group

$X$  space,  $x \in X$

Let  $G = \text{group of automorphisms of } F$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Cov}(X) & \xrightarrow{\text{forget at } x} & \text{Set} \\ \downarrow \cong & & \uparrow \text{forget} \\ \text{covering spaces over } X & & \text{Set}^G \end{array}$$

actually  $G \cong \pi_1(X, x)$

Variant.

finite wr.  
spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Cov}_f(X) & \xrightarrow{\text{forget at } x} & \text{Set}_f & (\text{trivial acts}) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \uparrow \text{forget} & \\ \text{Set}_f & \widehat{\pi_1(X, x)} & & (\text{continuous action}) \end{array}$$

Recall. If  $G$  a group

$$\widehat{G} = \varprojlim_{H \trianglelefteq G} G/H \quad \text{give it inverse limit topology.}$$

s.t.  $G/H$  finite

$X$  algebraic variety over  $\mathbb{C}$

Theorem [Serre]  $\text{Cov}_f(X_{\text{an}}) \cong \text{Et}(X)$

$\hookrightarrow$  only depends on  $X$   
as an algebraic variety

Can construct a profinite group  $\widehat{\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, x)}$

such that  $\widehat{\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, x)} \cong \widehat{\pi_1(X, x)}$

Now: if  $X$  is defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  then  $\widehat{\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}, x)} \cong \widehat{\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}, x)}$   
(and  $x: \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow X$ )

$$\bigcup \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-aug.}}$$

$$\bigcup \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$$

example 1)  $X = \mathbb{A}^1 - \{0\}$   
 $X_{\text{an}} = \mathbb{C} - \{0\} \Rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{\'et}}(X \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$

Fact.  $\chi: \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$  (surjectivity thm)  
 $\downarrow$   
 automorphisms  
 of the group of roots of unity

→ the action  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$  on  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$  is by mult. with  $\chi(-)$ .

example 2)  $X = \mathbb{A}^1 - \{0, 1\}$   
 $X_{\text{an}} = \mathbb{C} - \{0, 1\} \Rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{\'et}}(X \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \cong \widehat{\mathbb{F}_2}$

Theorem [Belyi] The action of  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$  on  $\widehat{\mathbb{F}_2}$  is faithful.

Variant:  $X = \text{Conf}_3(\mathbb{A}^1)$ . Then the action of  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$  on  
 $\widehat{P}_3 = \pi_1(\text{Conf}_3 \mathbb{A}^1)^\wedge$   
 pure braid  
 group on 3 strands.  
 is also faithful.

Namely:  $\mathbb{C} - \{0, 1\} \rightarrow \text{Conf}_3 \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \text{Conf}_2 \mathbb{C}$   
 gives SES  $1 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2 \rightarrow P_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 1$

the work of Jhara.

Def. Let  $\widehat{G\Gamma} =$  the monoid of automorphisms of  $\widehat{\mathbb{F}_2} = \widehat{\mathbb{F}(x,y)}$  of the form

$$\begin{aligned} x &\mapsto x^\lambda \\ y &\mapsto f^{-1} y^\lambda f \end{aligned} \quad \text{where } (\lambda, f) \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times \times \widehat{\mathbb{F}_2}$$

such that

- 1)  $f(x,y) f(y,x) = 1$
- 2)  $f(z,x) z^m f(y,z) y^m f(x,y) = 1 \quad \text{where } m = \frac{\lambda-1}{2}$
- 3) ...

Def Let  $\widehat{GT}$  be the group of units of  $\underline{GT}$ .

Theorem [Jhara] The map  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(\widehat{\mathbb{F}_2})$   
factors through  $\widehat{GT}$ .

$\Rightarrow$  There is an injection  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow \widehat{GT}$

open:  
surjective or not?

the work of Drinfeld.

pure braids  
on  $n$ -strands.

Operad of little disks  $D_2$  has  $D_2(n) = K(P_{n+1})$ .

Corresponding operad in groups? basepoint issues.

Instead  $\pi_{\leq 1} D_2$  operad in groupoids s.t.  $|\pi_{\leq 1} D_2| \simeq D_2$

Assume  $D_2(0) = \emptyset$

Casepts:  $D_2(1) \ni x :=$  

$D_2(2) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{rooted binary planar trees} \\ \text{with leaves labelled} \\ \text{with } \{1, \dots, n\} \end{array} \right\}$

$D_2(n) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{rooted binary planar trees} \\ \text{with leaves labelled} \\ \text{with } \{1, \dots, n\} \end{array} \right\}$

Def.  $PaB = \pi_{\leq 1}(D_2, \text{rooted binary trees})$  get  $|S\pi PaB| \simeq D_2$ .

another definition.  $PaB$  is the operad that captures  
the definition of a braided monoidal cat.  $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes)$

(namely, have assoc. isos and braiding  $\alpha_{A,B,C} : (A \otimes B) \otimes C \rightarrow A \otimes (B \otimes C)$   $\beta_{A,B} : A \otimes B \rightarrow B \otimes A$  sat. pentagon 2 hexagon )

Def [Drinfeld] Let  $\underline{GT}$  = the monoid of automorphisms of  $PaB$  fixing the objects.  
( $\Leftrightarrow$  fix  $\otimes$  in  $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes)$  but change  $\alpha, \beta$ )

Let  $GT$  = the group of units of  $\underline{GT}$ .

For example  $\forall A, B \in \mathcal{C} \quad T_{A,B}^{\text{new}} = T_{B,A}^{-1} \Rightarrow (\mathcal{C}^{\text{new}}, \otimes)$  a new braided monoidal cat.

This induces an automorphism of  $\text{PaB}$  of order 2.

Gives  $\mathbb{Z}/2 \hookrightarrow \text{GT}$ .

Theorem [Drinfeld] This is our iso.

Why care about braided monoidal cats?

Theorem [Tangle hypothesis]

The cat. of tangles is the free braided monoidal cat with duals on one object.

## Pro-unipotent completion

Def. A  $\mathbb{Q}$ -unipotent group is a group that can be built from finite-dim  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector spaces using finitely many central extensions

Equivalently, it is a nilpotent group s.t. the associated graded pieces in the L.C.S filtration are fin. dim.  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector spaces.

Given a group  $G$  consider  $\text{Hom}_{\text{Grp}}(G, -) : \text{Grp}^{\text{uni}} \longrightarrow \text{Sets}$ . \*

This functor is pro-representable:

there is a filtered cat.  $\mathcal{I}$  and a diagram  $\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{I}^{\text{op}} & \longrightarrow & \text{Grp}^{\text{uni}} \\ i \longmapsto & & G_i \end{array}$

s.t.  $\text{Hom}(G, -) \cong \text{colim } \text{Hom}(G_i, -)$

This pro-object is by definition the pro-unipotent completion of  $G$ . (terms of if)  
an \*

Denote it by  $\hat{G}_{\mathbb{Q}}$

Example.  $(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Q}$

For fin-gen gp  $G$  explicit construction:  $\hat{G}_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong \text{grlike}(\mathbb{Q}[G]_{\mathcal{I}}^{\wedge})$  (Malcev completion).

For  $G$  a group or a groupoid have:  $\hat{G}$  profinite completion

$\hat{G}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  pro-unipotent completion

So have:  $\hat{P}aB$  and  $\hat{P}aB_{\mathbb{Q}}$

Def.  $\hat{GT} := \text{Aut}_{\text{fixing objects}}(\hat{P}aB)$  and  $\hat{GT}_{\mathbb{Q}} := \text{Aut}_{\text{fixing objects}}(\hat{P}aB_{\mathbb{Q}})$

Theorem.  $\hat{GT}$  coincides with Thara's group.

---

## Homotopy theory

non-completed version:  $\text{Aut}_{Ho(Op)}(D_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2 \cong \text{Aut}_Op(PaB)$   
 $(cx \text{ conj})$

profinite version:  $\hat{GT} = \text{Aut}_Op(\hat{PaB}) \longrightarrow \text{Aut}_{Ho(Op)}(\hat{D}_2)$   
 Theorem [Hurel]  
 This is an equivalence.

pro-unipotent version:  $\hat{GT}_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \text{Aut}_{Ho(Op)}(\hat{D}_2)_{\mathbb{Q}}$

Theorem [Fresse]

This is an equivalence.

$\text{Aut}_{Ho(Hop \text{ col } Op)}(\Omega_{Sul} D_2)$

||

## Drinfeld associators

Formality Theorem [Kontsevich]

$\Omega_{Sul}(D_2)$  is weakly equivalent to  $H^*(D_2)$ .

Theorem.  $\{\text{so}_{Ho(Hop \text{ col } Op)}(\Omega_{Sul}(D_2), H^*(D_2))\}$

} set of Drinfeld associators.

$\cup \hat{GT}_{\mathbb{Q}}$