

# Intermediate Jacobians and the rationality of intersections of two quadrics

Olivier Wittenberg

CNRS and Université Sorbonne Paris Nord

joint work with Olivier Benoist

Swinerton-Dyer Memorial

Isaac Newton Institute, May 20, 2021

# Intersections of two quadrics

Focus today:

$X \subset \mathbf{P}_k^n$  smooth complete intersection of two quadrics over  $k$ , i.e.  
 $q(x_0, \dots, x_n) = q'(x_0, \dots, x_n) = 0$ .

# Intersections of two quadrics

Focus today:

$X \subset \mathbf{P}_k^n$  smooth complete intersection of two quadrics over  $k$ , i.e.  
 $q(x_0, \dots, x_n) = q'(x_0, \dots, x_n) = 0$ .

A bit of geometry:

$n = 3$ : curve of genus 1 (including all elliptic curves)

$n = 4$ : del Pezzo surface of degree 4 (all of them)

$n = 5$ : Fano threefold (... , Reid, Donagi, Cassels, Wang, ...).

## A few articles by Peter Swinnerton-Dyer

*Rational zeros of two quadratic forms*, Acta Arith. **9** (1964), 261–270.

(with B. Birch) *The Hasse problem for rational surfaces*, J. reine angew. Math. **274/275** (1975), 164–174.

(with J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and J.-J. Sansuc) *Intersections of two quadrics and Châtelet surfaces, I, II*, J. reine angew. Math. **373** (1987), 37–107, **374** (1987), 72–168.

*Rational points on certain intersections of two quadrics*, in: Abelian varieties (Egloffstein, 1993), 273–292, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1995.

(with A. O. Bender) *Solubility of certain pencils of curves of genus 1, and of the intersection of two quadrics in  $\mathbf{P}^4$* , Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **83** (2001), no. 2, 299–329.

*Weak approximation on del Pezzo surfaces of degree 4*, in: Arithmetic of higher-dimensional algebraic varieties (Palo Alto, CA, 2002), 235–257, Progr. Math., 226, Birkhäuser, 2004.

## A few articles by Peter Swinnerton-Dyer

*Rational zeros of two quadratic forms*, Acta Arith. **9** (1964), 261–270.

(with B. Birch) *The Hasse problem for rational surfaces*, J. reine angew. Math. **274/275** (1975), 164–174.

(with J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and J.-J. Sansuc) *Intersections of two quadrics and Châtelet surfaces, I, II*, J. reine angew. Math. **373** (1987), 37–107, **374** (1987), 72–168.

*Rational points on certain intersections of two quadrics*, in: Abelian varieties (Egloffstein, 1993), 273–292, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1995.

(with A. O. Bender) *Solubility of certain pencils of curves of genus 1, and of the intersection of two quadrics in  $\mathbf{P}^4$* , Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **83** (2001), no. 2, 299–329.

*Weak approximation on del Pezzo surfaces of degree 4*, in: Arithmetic of higher-dimensional algebraic varieties (Palo Alto, CA, 2002), 235–257, Progr. Math., 226, Birkhäuser, 2004.

Over number fields, existence of rational points? Weak approximation?  
To start with: rationality?

# A few articles by Peter Swinnerton-Dyer

*Rational zeros of two quadratic forms*, Acta Arith. **9** (1964), 261–270.

(with B. Birch) *The Hasse problem for rational surfaces*, J. reine angew. Math. **274/275** (1975), 164–174.

(with J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and J.-J. Sansuc) *Intersections of two quadrics and Châtelet surfaces, I, II*, J. reine angew. Math. **373** (1987), 37–107, **374** (1987), 72–168.

*Rational points on certain intersections of two quadrics*, in: Abelian varieties (Egloffstein, 1993), 273–292, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1995.

(with A. O. Bender) *Solubility of certain pencils of curves of genus 1, and of the intersection of two quadrics in  $\mathbf{P}^4$* , Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **83** (2001), no. 2, 299–329.

*Weak approximation on del Pezzo surfaces of degree 4*, in: Arithmetic of higher-dimensional algebraic varieties (Palo Alto, CA, 2002), 235–257, Progr. Math., 226, Birkhäuser, 2004.

## Over number fields, existence of rational points? Weak approximation? To start with: rationality?

*The birationality of cubic surfaces over a given field*, Michigan Math. J. **17** (1970), 289–295.

*The Brauer group of cubic surfaces*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **113** (1993), no. 3, 449–460.

# Rationality

$X$  smooth projective variety over a field  $k$ .

$X$  is *rational*  $\Leftrightarrow \exists$  birational map  $\mathbf{P}_k^{\dim(X)} \dashrightarrow X$   
 $\Leftrightarrow k(X)/k$  is purely transcendental

## Proposition

If  $X$  is a curve, then  $X$  is rational  $\Leftrightarrow X(k) \neq \emptyset$  and  $g(X) = 0$ .

## Example

$X \subset \mathbf{P}_k^3$  smooth complete intersection of two quadrics  
 $\Rightarrow X$  curve of genus 1: not rational.

## Rationality in dimension 2

Theorem (Swinnerton-Dyer, 1970; Manin, Iskovskikh, 1979)

*Let  $k$  be a field and  $X \subset \mathbf{P}_k^4$  a smooth intersection of two quadrics. Then:  $X$  is rational  $\Leftrightarrow X(k) \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_{\bar{k}}$  contains a line that meets none of its conjugates under  $\text{Aut}(\bar{k}/k)$ .*

Today, rationality completely understood for smooth proper surfaces (Castelnuovo, Segre, Manin, Iskovskikh).



# Rationality in higher dimension

Still very mysterious despite major progress since the 1970's.

- 1 Noether–Fano method  
(Manin–Iskovskikh for surfaces, quartic threefolds; ...),
- 2 unramified cohomology  
(Artin–Mumford, Bogomolov–Saltman, Colliot-Thélène–Ojanguren, ...)
- 3 intermediate Jacobians  
(Clemens–Griffiths, Beauville, Murre, ...),
- 4 degeneration methods  
(Kollár, Voisin, ...).

# Rationality in higher dimension

Still very mysterious despite major progress since the 1970's.

- 1 Noether–Fano method  
(Manin–Iskovskikh for surfaces, quartic threefolds; ...),
- 2 unramified cohomology  
(Artin–Mumford, Bogomolov–Saltman, Colliot-Thélène–Ojanguren, ...)
- 3 **intermediate Jacobians**  
(Clemens–Griffiths, Beauville, Murre, ...),
- 4 degeneration methods  
(Kollár, Voisin, ...).

**Theorem (Clemens–Griffiths, 1972)**

*Let  $X \subset \mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^4$  be a smooth cubic threefold. Then  $X$  is not rational.*

Theorem (Clemens–Griffiths, 1972)

Let  $X \subset \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{C}}^4$  be a smooth cubic threefold. Then  $X$  is not rational.

Theorem (Clemens–Griffiths, 1972)

Let  $X \subset \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{C}}^4$  be a smooth cubic threefold. Then  $X$  is not rational.

Tool: intermediate Jacobian.

$X$  smooth projective threefold over  $\mathbf{C}$  with  $h^{1,0} = h^{3,0} = 0$

$\rightsquigarrow J$  principally polarised abelian variety over  $\mathbf{C}$

$J$  is built from the Hodge structure  $H^3(X(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Z})$ :

$$J(\mathbf{C}) = \frac{H^2(X, \Omega^1)}{\text{Im}(H^3(X(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Z}))}$$

Theorem (Clemens–Griffiths, 1972)

Let  $X \subset \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{C}}^4$  be a smooth cubic threefold. Then  $X$  is not rational.

Tool: intermediate Jacobian.

$X$  smooth projective threefold over  $\mathbf{C}$  with  $h^{1,0} = h^{3,0} = 0$

$\rightsquigarrow J$  principally polarised abelian variety over  $\mathbf{C}$

$J$  is built from the Hodge structure  $H^3(X(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Z})$ :

$$J(\mathbf{C}) = \frac{H^2(X, \Omega^1)}{\text{Im}(H^3(X(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Z}))}$$

Clemens and Griffiths prove:

- 1  $X$  is any rational threefold  $\Rightarrow J$  is a Jacobian of a curve
- 2  $X$  is a cubic in  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{C}}^4 \Rightarrow J$  is not a Jacobian of a curve

Theorem (Clemens–Griffiths, 1972)

Let  $X \subset \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{C}}^4$  be a smooth cubic threefold. Then  $X$  is not rational.

Tool: intermediate Jacobian.

$X$  smooth projective **threefold** over  $\mathbf{C}$  with  $h^{1,0} = h^{3,0} = 0$

$\rightsquigarrow J$  **principally polarised** abelian variety over  $\mathbf{C}$

$J$  is built from the Hodge structure  $H^3(X(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Z})$ :

$$J(\mathbf{C}) = \frac{H^2(X, \Omega^1)}{\text{Im}(H^3(X(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Z}))}$$

Clemens and Griffiths prove:

- 1  $X$  is any rational threefold  $\Rightarrow J$  is a Jacobian of a curve
- 2  $X$  is a cubic in  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{C}}^4 \Rightarrow J$  is not a Jacobian of a curve

## Clemens–Griffiths over non-closed fields

Method adapted to non-closed fields (BW, 2019).

### Example/Theorem (BW, 2019)

*The affine threefold defined by  $x^2 + y^2 + z^4 + w^4 = 1$  is*

- 1 *rational over  $\mathbf{C}$ ,*
- 2 *unirational over  $\mathbf{R}$ ,*
- 3 *but not rational over  $\mathbf{R}$ .*

# Intersections of two quadrics in $\mathbf{P}_k^5$

Theorem (Swinnerton-Dyer, 1970; Manin, Iskovskikh, 1979)

Let  $k$  be a field and  $X \subset \mathbf{P}_k^4$  a smooth intersection of two quadrics. Then:

$X$  is rational  $\Leftrightarrow X(k) \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_{\bar{k}}$  contains a line that meets none of its conjugates under  $\text{Aut}(\bar{k}/k)$ .



# Intersections of two quadrics in $\mathbf{P}_k^5$

Theorem (Swinnerton-Dyer, 1970; Manin, Iskovskikh, 1979)

Let  $k$  be a field and  $X \subset \mathbf{P}_k^4$  a smooth intersection of two quadrics. Then:

$X$  is rational  $\Leftrightarrow X(k) \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_{\bar{k}}$  contains a line that meets none of its conjugates under  $\text{Aut}(\bar{k}/k)$ .

## Theorem (BW, 2019)

*Let  $k$  be a field and  $X \subset \mathbf{P}_k^5$  a smooth intersection of two quadrics. Then:  
 $X$  is rational  $\Leftrightarrow X$  contains a line of  $\mathbf{P}_k^5$ .*

History:

- In 2014, question raised by Auel, Bernardara, Bolognesi, for special  $k$  such as  $k = \mathbf{C}(t)$ .
- In 2019, conjectured by Kuznetsov and Prokhorov and proved for  $k = \mathbf{R}$  by Hassett and Tschinkel, building on our previous work on rationality via intermediate Jacobians and on Krasnov's topological classification of  $X(\mathbf{R})$ .
- Underlying tools later applied by Kuznetsov and Prokhorov to other Fano threefolds.

Theorem (BW, 2019)

Let  $k$  be a field and  $X \subset \mathbf{P}_k^5$  a smooth intersection of two quadrics. Then  $X$  is rational  $\Leftrightarrow X$  contains a line of  $\mathbf{P}_k^5$ .

Corollary (inseparable counterexamples to the Lüroth problem)

*There exist a purely inseparable extension of fields  $k'/k$  and a smooth projective threefold  $X$  over  $k$  such that*

- *$X$  is unirational (over  $k$ ) and  $X_{k'}$  is rational (over  $k'$ ), but*
- *$X$  is not rational (over  $k$ ).*

### Theorem (BW, 2019)

Let  $k$  be a field and  $X \subset \mathbf{P}_k^5$  a smooth intersection of two quadrics. Then  $X$  is rational  $\Leftrightarrow X$  contains a line of  $\mathbf{P}_k^5$ .

### Corollary (inseparable counterexamples to the Lüroth problem)

*There exist a purely inseparable extension of fields  $k'/k$  and a smooth projective threefold  $X$  over  $k$  such that*

- $X$  is unirational (over  $k$ ) and  $X_{k'}$  is rational (over  $k'$ ), but
- $X$  is not rational (over  $k$ ).

### Example

Let  $k = \kappa((t))$  and  $k' = \kappa((\sqrt{t}))$  with  $\kappa$  algebraically closed of characteristic 2, and choose  $a, b, c \in \kappa$  pairwise distinct.

$$X : \begin{cases} tx_0x_1 + x_2x_3 + x_4x_5 = 0 \\ t(x_0^2 + ax_0x_1 + x_1^2) + (x_2^2 + bx_2x_3 + x_3^2) + (x_4^2 + cx_4x_5 + x_5^2) = 0 \end{cases}$$

# Plan for rest of the talk

Theorem (BW, 2019)

Let  $k$  be a field and  $X \subset \mathbf{P}_k^5$  a smooth intersection of two quadrics. Then  $X$  is rational  $\Leftrightarrow X$  contains a line of  $\mathbf{P}_k^5$ .

General idea:

- 1  $X$  any smooth projective  $\bar{k}$ -rational threefold over  $k$   
 $\rightsquigarrow$  intermediate Jacobian  $J$  (p.p.a.v. over  $k$ )
- 2  $X$  rational  $\Rightarrow J$  can be found inside the Jacobian of curve
- 3  $X \subset \mathbf{P}_k^5$  a smooth intersection of two quadrics  
 $\Rightarrow J$  cannot be found inside the Jacobian of curve

# Plan for rest of the talk

Theorem (BW, 2019)

Let  $k$  be a field and  $X \subset \mathbf{P}_k^5$  a smooth intersection of two quadrics. Then  $X$  is rational  $\Leftrightarrow X$  contains a line of  $\mathbf{P}_k^5$ .

General idea:

- 1  $X$  any smooth projective  $\bar{k}$ -rational threefold over  $k$   
 $\rightsquigarrow$  intermediate Jacobian  $J$  (p.p.a.v. over  $k$  + torsors)
- 2  $X$  rational  $\Rightarrow J$  can be found inside the Jacobian of curve (+ torsors)
- 3  $X \subset \mathbf{P}_k^5$  a smooth intersection of two quadrics  
 $\Rightarrow J$  cannot be found inside the Jacobian of curve (+ torsors)

## Intermediate Jacobians over $k$

$X$  smooth projective  $\bar{k}$ -rational threefold over  $k$ .

- $k = \mathbf{C}$ : Abel–Jacobi map  $\mathrm{CH}^2(X)_{\mathrm{alg}} \xrightarrow{\sim} J(\mathbf{C})$  (Bloch, Srinivas)
- $k = \bar{k}$ : Murre (1983)  
 $\rightsquigarrow$  an abelian variety  $J$  over  $k$ , and  $\mathrm{CH}^2(X_{\bar{k}})_{\mathrm{alg}} \xrightarrow{\sim} J(\bar{k})$
- descends to perfect  $k$  (Achter, Casalaina-Martin, Vial, 2017)

## Intermediate Jacobians over $k$

$X$  smooth projective  $\bar{k}$ -rational threefold over  $k$ .

- $k = \mathbf{C}$ : Abel–Jacobi map  $\mathrm{CH}^2(X)_{\mathrm{alg}} \xrightarrow{\sim} J(\mathbf{C})$  (Bloch, Srinivas)
- $k = \bar{k}$ : Murre (1983)  
 $\rightsquigarrow$  an abelian variety  $J$  over  $k$ , and  $\mathrm{CH}^2(X_{\bar{k}})_{\mathrm{alg}} \xrightarrow{\sim} J(\bar{k})$
- descends to perfect  $k$  (Achter, Casalaina-Martin, Vial, 2017)

Murre's definition will not descend to imperfect  $k$ .

## Intermediate Jacobians over $k$

$X$  smooth projective  $\bar{k}$ -rational threefold over  $k$ .

- $k = \mathbf{C}$ : Abel–Jacobi map  $\mathrm{CH}^2(X)_{\mathrm{alg}} \xrightarrow{\sim} J(\mathbf{C})$  (Bloch, Srinivas)
- $k = \bar{k}$ : Murre (1983)  
 $\rightsquigarrow$  an abelian variety  $J$  over  $k$ , and  $\mathrm{CH}^2(X_{\bar{k}})_{\mathrm{alg}} \xrightarrow{\sim} J(\bar{k})$
- descends to perfect  $k$  (Achter, Casalaina-Martin, Vial, 2017)

Murre's definition will not descend to imperfect  $k$ .

What we do: mimic  $\mathrm{Pic}_{X/k}$ . Define an fppf sheaf

$$\mathrm{CH}_{X/k}^2 : (\mathrm{Sch}/k)^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow (\mathrm{Ab}),$$

prove its representability, and construct a principal polarisation.



# Mimicking the Picard functor

To do (for  $X$  a smooth projective  $\bar{k}$ -rational threefold over  $k$ )

Define an fppf sheaf

$$\mathrm{CH}_{X/k}^2 : (\mathrm{Sch}/k)^{\mathrm{opp}} \rightarrow (\mathrm{Ab})$$

prove its representability, and construct a principal polarisation.

Picard functor:  $T \mapsto \mathrm{Pic}(X \times T)$ , then sheafify.

# Mimicking the Picard functor

To do (for  $X$  a smooth projective  $\bar{k}$ -rational threefold over  $k$ )

Define an fppf sheaf

$$\mathrm{CH}_{X/k}^2 : (\mathrm{Sch}/k)^{\mathrm{opp}} \rightarrow (\mathrm{Ab})$$

prove its representability, and construct a principal polarisation.

Picard functor:  $T \mapsto \mathrm{Pic}(X \times T)$ , then sheafify.

First idea:  $T \mapsto \mathrm{CH}^2(X \times T)$ .

# Mimicking the Picard functor

To do (for  $X$  a smooth projective  $\bar{k}$ -rational threefold over  $k$ )

Define an fppf sheaf

$$\mathrm{CH}_{X/k}^2 : (\mathrm{Sch}/k)^{\mathrm{opp}} \rightarrow (\mathrm{Ab})$$

prove its representability, and construct a principal polarisation.

Picard functor:  $T \mapsto \mathrm{Pic}(X \times T)$ , then sheafify.

First idea:  $T \mapsto \mathrm{CH}^2(X \times T)$ . **Fails: not a contravariant functor.**

# Mimicking the Picard functor

To do (for  $X$  a smooth projective  $\bar{k}$ -rational threefold over  $k$ )

Define an fppf sheaf

$$\mathrm{CH}_{X/k}^2 : (\mathrm{Sch}/k)^{\mathrm{opp}} \rightarrow (\mathrm{Ab})$$

prove its representability, and construct a principal polarisation.

Picard functor:  $T \mapsto \mathrm{Pic}(X \times T)$ , then sheafify.

First idea:  $T \mapsto \mathrm{CH}^2(X \times T)$ . **Fails: not a contravariant functor.**

Better idea:  $K$ -theory. Jouanolou:

$$\mathrm{Ker} \left( K_0(X_{\bar{k}}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{rk} \times \det \times \chi} \mathbf{Z} \times \mathrm{Pic}(X_{\bar{k}}) \times \mathbf{Z} \right) \xrightarrow[\sim]{c_2} \mathrm{CH}^2(X_{\bar{k}})$$

## Definition

$K_{0,X/k} :=$  the fppf sheafification of  $T \mapsto K_0(X \times T)$ .

$$\mathrm{CH}_{X/k}^2 := \mathrm{Ker} \left( K_{0,X/k} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{rk} \times \det \times \chi} \mathbf{Z} \times \mathrm{Pic}_{X/k} \times \mathbf{Z} \right)$$

Thus  $\mathrm{CH}_{X/k}^2(\bar{k}) = \mathrm{CH}^2(X_{\bar{k}})$ .

## Representability and rationality

### Theorem (representability)

Let  $X$  be a smooth projective  $\bar{k}$ -rational threefold over a field  $k$ .

- 1  $\mathrm{CH}_{X/k}^2$  is represented by a smooth group scheme over  $k$ ;
- 2  $J = (\mathrm{CH}_{X/k}^2)^0$  is (canonically) a p.p.a.v.;
- 3  $J(\bar{k}) = \mathrm{CH}^2(X_{\bar{k}})_{\mathrm{alg}}$ , hence  $V_\ell(J) = H^3(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(2))$  (Bloch).

# Representability and rationality

## Theorem (representability)

Let  $X$  be a smooth projective  $\bar{k}$ -rational threefold over a field  $k$ .

- 1  $\mathrm{CH}_{X/k}^2$  is represented by a smooth group scheme over  $k$ ;
- 2  $J = (\mathrm{CH}_{X/k}^2)^0$  is (canonically) a p.p.a.v.;
- 3  $J(\bar{k}) = \mathrm{CH}^2(X_{\bar{k}})_{\mathrm{alg}}$ , hence  $V_\ell(J) = H^3(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(2))$  (Bloch).

## Theorem (condition for rationality)

If furthermore  $X$  is rational, then

- 4 there exist a smooth projective curve  $B$  over  $k$  and a group scheme  $G$  over  $k$  such that

$$\mathrm{CH}_{X/k}^2 \times G \simeq \mathrm{Pic}_{B/k},$$

inducing an isomorphism of p.p.a.v.

$$(\mathrm{CH}_{X/k}^2)^0 \times G^0 \simeq \mathrm{Pic}_{B/k}^0.$$

## Beginning of the proof

To prove representability of  $\mathrm{CH}_{X/k}^2$ , may freely extend the scalars:

### Lemma

*Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an fppf sheaf on  $(\mathrm{Sch}/k)$  and  $k'/k$  be a finite extension.  
If  $\mathcal{F} \times_k k'$  is representable by a smooth group scheme over  $k'$ ,  
then  $\mathcal{F}$  is representable by a smooth group scheme over  $k$ .*

Hence may assume  $X$  rational:  $X \dashrightarrow \mathbf{P}_k^3$  birational.

## Beginning of the proof

Abhyankar, Cossart–Piltant (2009): can resolve indeterminacies.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} X' = Y_N & \longrightarrow & Y_{N-1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & Y_1 & \longrightarrow & Y_0 \\ \downarrow h & & & & & & & & \parallel \\ X & \longleftarrow & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \mathbf{P}_k^3 \end{array}$$

$h$  birational morphism and  $Y_{j+1}$  blow-up of  $Z_j \subset Y_j$  irreducible regular. All  $Y_j$  are projective regular. For simplicity assume the  $Z_j$  are curves.

$$\mathrm{CH}_{Y_{j+1}/k}^2 = \mathrm{CH}_{Y_j/k}^2 \times \mathrm{Pic}_{Z_j/k} \quad (\text{Thomason}) ; \quad \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbf{P}_k^3/k}^2 = \mathbf{Z} ;$$

$$\mathrm{CH}_{X/k}^2 \xleftarrow[h_*]{h^*} \mathrm{CH}_{X'/k}^2 \quad \text{satisfy } h_* h^* = \mathrm{Id} \quad (\text{Chatzistamatiou–Rülling}).$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \quad \mathrm{CH}_{X/k}^2 \times \mathrm{Ker}(h_*) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{CH}_{X'/k}^2 \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Z} \times \prod \mathrm{Pic}_{Z_j/k}$$



## Beginning of the proof

Abhyankar, Cossart–Piltant (2009): can resolve indeterminacies.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 X' = Y_N & \longrightarrow & Y_{N-1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & Y_1 & \longrightarrow & Y_0 \\
 \downarrow h & & & & & & & & \parallel \\
 X & \leftarrow & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \mathbf{P}_k^3
 \end{array}$$

$h$  birational morphism and  $Y_{j+1}$  blow-up of  $Z_j \subset Y_j$  irreducible regular. All  $Y_j$  are projective regular. For simplicity assume the  $Z_j$  are curves.

$$\mathrm{CH}_{Y_{j+1}/k}^2 = \mathrm{CH}_{Y_j/k}^2 \times \mathrm{Pic}_{Z_j/k} \quad (\text{Thomason}) ; \quad \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbf{P}_k^3/k}^2 = \mathbf{Z} ;$$

$$\mathrm{CH}_{X/k}^2 \xleftarrow[h_*]{h^*} \mathrm{CH}_{X'/k}^2 \quad \text{satisfy } h_* h^* = \mathrm{Id} \quad (\text{Chatzistamatiou–Rülling}).$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \underbrace{\mathrm{CH}_{X/k}^2 \times \mathrm{Ker}(h_*)}_G \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{CH}_{X'/k}^2 \xleftarrow{\sim} \underbrace{\mathbf{Z} \times \prod \mathrm{Pic}_{Z_j/k}}_{\mathrm{Pic}_{B/k}}$$

## Beginning of the proof

Abhyankar, Cossart–Piltant (2009): can resolve indeterminacies.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 X' = Y_N & \longrightarrow & Y_{N-1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & Y_1 & \longrightarrow & Y_0 \\
 \downarrow h & & & & & & & & \parallel \\
 X & \longleftarrow & \cdots & \longleftarrow & \cdots & \longleftarrow & \cdots & \longleftarrow & \mathbf{P}_k^3
 \end{array}$$

$h$  birational morphism and  $Y_{j+1}$  blow-up of  $Z_j \subset Y_j$  irreducible regular. All  $Y_j$  are projective regular. For simplicity assume the  $Z_j$  are curves.

$$\mathrm{CH}_{Y_{j+1}/k}^2 = \mathrm{CH}_{Y_j/k}^2 \times \mathrm{Pic}_{Z_j/k} \quad (\text{Thomason}) ; \quad \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbf{P}_k^3}^2 = \mathbf{Z} ;$$

$$\mathrm{CH}_{X/k}^2 \xrightleftharpoons[h_*]{h^*} \mathrm{CH}_{X'/k}^2 \quad \text{satisfy } h_* h^* = \mathrm{Id} \quad (\text{Chatzistamatiou–Rülling}).$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \underbrace{\mathrm{CH}_{X/k}^2 \times \mathrm{Ker}(h_*)}_G \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{CH}_{X'/k}^2 \xleftarrow{\sim} \underbrace{\mathbf{Z} \times \prod \mathrm{Pic}_{Z_j/k}}_{\mathrm{Pic}_{B/k}}$$

For rationality criterion: need to track polarisations and to prove the non-smooth  $Z_j$  do not contribute to the intermediate Jacobian.

## Back to intersections of two quadrics

$X \subset \mathbf{P}_k^5$  smooth intersection of two quadrics.

$F$  the variety of lines on  $X$ .

**Theorem (classical in characteristic  $\neq 2$ )**

*The intermediate Jacobian of  $X$  is  $\text{Pic}_{D/k}^0$  for a unique genus 2 curve  $D$ .*

*There is an exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Pic}_{D/k}^0 \longrightarrow \text{CH}_{X/k}^2 \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathbf{Z} \longrightarrow 0$$

*and identifications  $F = \delta^{-1}(1)$  and  $\text{Pic}_{D/k}^1 = \delta^{-1}(2)$ .*

$X \subset \mathbf{P}_k^5$  smooth intersection of two quadrics  $\rightsquigarrow$  intermediate Jacobian =  $\text{Pic}_{D/k}^0$  for a genus 2 curve  $D$  ;

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{D/k}^0 \rightarrow \text{CH}_{X/k}^2 \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow 0 ; \text{ variety of lines } F = \delta^{-1}(1) ; \text{Pic}_{D/k}^1 = \delta^{-1}(2).$$

Assume  $X$  is rational, so  $\text{CH}_{X/k}^2 \overset{\curvearrowright}{\longrightarrow} \prod \text{Pic}_{B_j/k}$  for smooth projective connected curves  $B_1, \dots, B_m$  over  $k$ , compatibly with polarisations.

May assume  $\text{Pic}_{D/k}^0 = \text{Pic}_{B_1/k}^0$ , and then  $D = B_1$  (Torelli).

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}_{D/k}^0 & \longrightarrow & \text{CH}_{X/k}^2 & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \mathbf{Z} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \prod \text{Pic}_{B_j/k}^0 & \longrightarrow & \prod \text{Pic}_{B_j/k} & \longrightarrow & \prod \text{NS}_{B_j/k} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \text{pr}_1 & & \downarrow \text{pr}_1 & & \downarrow \text{pr}_1 \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}_{D/k}^0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}_{D/k} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Z} \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

so  $[F] = n[\text{Pic}_{D/k}^1]$  in  $H^1(k, \text{Pic}_{D/k}^0)$  for some  $n$ .

As  $[\text{Pic}_{D/k}^1] = 2[F]$  and  $2[\text{Pic}_{D/k}^1] = 0$ , get  $[F] = 0$ , hence  $F(k) \neq \emptyset$ .